Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3

Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment

December 2010

Merseyside Transport Partnership

LOCAL TRANSPORT PLAN
MERSEYSIDE

www.transportmerseyside.org

Mott MacDonald

Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3

Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment

December 2010

Merseyside Transport Partnership

24 Hatton Garden
Liverpool
L3 2AN

Mott MacDonald, Spring Bank House, 33 Stamford Street, Altrincham, Cheshire WA14 1ES, United Kingdom
T +44(0) 161 926 4000 F +44(0) 161 926 4100, www.mottmac.com

Issue and revision record

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Description
A	20.10.10	N Levy	K Leather	E Thomas	Draft for comment
B	17.12.10	G Middleton	N Levy	K Leather	Revision incorporating Consultee Comments

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

Mott MacDonald, Spring Bank House, 33 Stamford Street, Altrincham, Cheshire WA14 1ES, United Kingdom
T +44(0) 161 926 4000 F +44(0) 161 926 4100, www.mottmac.com

Content

Chapter	Title	Page
Abbreviations		i
Glossary		iii
Non-Technical Summary		v
1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Terms of Reference	1
1.2	Purpose of SA/SEA and the SA Report	1
1.3	Structure of the SA Report	1
1.3.1	Components that make up the SA Report	2
1.4	Limitations of the SA/SEA	2
2.	Approach to the SA/SEA	4
2.1	Strategic Environmental Assessment Legislative Requirements	4
2.2	Sustainability Appraisal Legislative Requirements	4
2.3	Integrating NATA into the SA/SEA Process	4
2.4	Integrated Assessment Project Team	6
2.5	Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Timetable	7
2.6	SA/SEA Methodology	8
2.7	Scoping Consultation Results	10
2.8	SA Report Consultation Results	10
3.	LTP3 Context	11
3.1	Context and Background	11
3.2	Merseyside LTP3 Vision and Goals	12
4.	Stage A Scoping Results	13
4.1	Relationship with other Plans and Programmes	13
4.1.1	Introduction	13
4.1.2	Policy Context	16
4.2	Baseline Conditions and Sustainability Issues	20
4.2.1	Baseline Conditions	20
4.2.2	Evolution of the Baseline	20
4.2.3	Key Issues	21
4.3	Developing the SA/SEA Framework	27
4.3.1	Developing SA/SEA Objectives	27
4.3.2	Developing SA/SEA Indicators	28
5.	Compatibility of LTP3 and SA/SEA Objectives	31
5.1	Testing the LTP3 Objectives against the SA/SEA Objectives	31
5.2	Compatibility Summary	33

6.	Development and Appraisal of LTP3 Strategic Options	34
6.1	Development of LTP3 Preferred Strategy	34
6.2	Appraisal of LTP3 Strategic Options	34
7.	Appraisal of LTP3 Strategy	37
7.1	Assessment Workshop	37
7.2	Appraisal Results	38
7.2.1	LTP3 Goal One Appraisal	39
7.2.2	LTP3 Goal One Summary	39
7.2.3	LTP3 Goal Two Appraisal	39
7.2.4	LTP3 Goal Two Summary	40
7.2.5	LTP3 Goal Three Appraisal	42
7.2.6	LTP3 Goal Three Summary	42
7.2.7	LTP3 Goal Four Appraisal	43
7.2.8	LTP3 Goal Four Summary	44
7.2.9	LTP3 Goal Five Appraisal	46
7.2.10	LTP3 Goal Five Summary	46
7.2.11	LTP3 Goal Six Appraisal	47
7.2.12	LTP3 Goal Six Summary	48
7.3	Cumulative Assessment	48
7.3.1	Cumulative effects on each goal	48
7.3.2	Cumulative effects on each SA/SEA objective	49
7.4	Assessment of the LTP3 Major Schemes	49
7.5	Risks, Uncertainties and Assumptions	57
8.	SA/SEA Mitigation and Enhancement	58
8.1	Mitigation and Enhancement Measures	58
8.2	Major Schemes Mitigation and Enhancement	63
9.	Conclusions	64
9.1	Overall Conclusions	64
9.2	Incorporating the Results of the SA/SEA into the LTP3	64
9.2.1	Informing development of the LTP3	64
9.2.2	Mitigation and Enhancement Measures following Implementation of the LTP3	65
10.	Implementation and Monitoring	66
10.1	Links to Other Tiers of Plans, Programmes and the Project Level	66
10.2	Proposals for Monitoring	66
11.	References	70

Appendices 71

Appendix A.	Scoping Report Comments	72
Appendix B.	Policies, Plans, Programmes and Environmental Objectives relevant to the Merseyside LTP3	83
Appendix C.	Baseline Conditions and Key Issues	114
Appendix D.	Appraisal Tables	162

D.1. Goal One Appraisal 163
D.2. Goal Two Appraisal (Part 1) 168
D.3. Goal Two Appraisal (Part 2) 174
D.4. Goal Three Appraisal 181
D.5. Goal Four Appraisal (Part 1) 189
D.6. Goal Four Appraisal (Part 2) 194
D.7. Goal Four Appraisal (Part 3) 198
D.8. Goal Five Appraisal 203
D.9. Goal Five Appraisal (Part 2) 214
D.10. Goal Six Appraisal 218
Appendix E. SA/SEA Consultation Reponses 222

Abbreviations

AMR	Annual Monitoring Report
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
BAP	Biodiversity Action Plan
BC	Borough Council
CHD	Coronary Heart Disease
CO_2	Carbon Dioxide
DaSTS	Delivering a Sustainable Transport System
DCLG	Department of Communities and Local Government
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DfT	Department for Transport
EC	European Community
EqIA	Equality Impact Assessment
EU	European Union
HIA	Health Impact Assessment
HRA	Habitat Regulations Assessment
IA	Integrated Assessment
IMD	Index of Multiple Deprivation
LADs	Local Authority Districts
LDF	Local Development Framework
LTP	Local Transport Plan
LTP2	Second Local Transport Plan
LTP3	Third Local Transport Plan
MBC	Metropolitan Borough Council
NATA	New Approach to Appraisal
NI	National Indicator
NO_2	Nitrogen Dioxide
NVQ	National Vocational Qualifications
NW	North West
NWDA	North West Development Agency
ONS	Office for National Statistics
PCT	Primary Care Trust
PPG	Planning Policy Guidance
PPS	Planning Policy Statement
RIGS	Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites
RPI	Retail Price Index

RSS	Regional Spatial Strategy
RTS	Regional Transport Strategy
SA	Sustainability Appraisal
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SAM	Scheduled Ancient Monument
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
SUDS	Sustainable Urban Drainage System
TAG	Transport Analysis Guidance
TaSTS	Towards a Sustainable Transport System

Glossary

Baseline	A description of the present and future state of an area, in the absence of any development, taking into account changes resulting from natural events and from other human activities
Consultation Body	An authority which because of its environmental responsibilities is likely to be concerned by the effects of implementing plans and programmes and must be consulted under the SEA Directive. The Consultation Bodies designated in the SEA Regulations are Natural England, English Heritage and the Environment Agency
Climate Change Adaptation	Involves adjustments to natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities
Climate Change Mitigation	Involves taking action to reduce the impact of human activity on the climate system, primarily through reducing greenhouse gas emissions
Indicator	A measure of variables over time, often used to measure achievement of objectives
Local Development Framework (LDF)	Sets out, in the form of a 'portfolio', the Local Development Documents which collectively deliver the spatial planning strategy for the area in question. The LDF also includes the Statement of Community Involvement, the Local Development Scheme and the Annual Monitoring Report.
Mitigation Measures	Refers to measures to avoid, reduce or offset significant adverse effects
Objective	A statement of what is intended, specifying the desired direction of change in trends
Scoping	The process of deciding the scope and level of detail of an SA, including the sustainability effects and options which need to be considered, the assessment methods to be used, and the structure and contents of the SA Report
SEA Directive	European Directive 2001/42/EC 'on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment'. Transposed into UK law via The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004
Strategic Environmental Assessment	Generic term used internationally to describe environmental assessment as applied to policies, plans and programmes. In this report, 'SEA' is used to refer to the type of environmental assessment required under the SEA Directive
Sustainability Appraisal	Generic term used in this report to describe the form of assessment that considers environmental, social and economic effects. However, for this report it is not the formal process associated with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
Sustainability Appraisal Framework	This is the objectives and criteria developed for the project
Sustainability Objectives	These are specific objectives that have been developed for this project.

They are also part of the SA Framework, against which the project objectives and design have been tested for the purposes of this SA

Non-Technical Summary

Introduction

Mott MacDonald was commissioned by the Merseyside Transport Partnership to undertake an Integrated Assessment (IA) of the Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP3). Merseyside Transport Partnership is made up of Merseytravel (the passenger transport executive for Merseyside) and the Merseyside Local Authorities. An Integrated Assessment is made up of several different types of assessments as part of an integrated approach. The assessments are:

- Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA);
- Sustainability Appraisal (SA);
- Health Impact Assessment (HIA);
- Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA);
- Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA).

Separate reports have been produced for each element of the Integrated Assessment in order to comply with legislative requirements. This document is the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report which covers Stages A-C of the SA/SEA process as defined in the DfT Guidance (January 2010). The report should be read in conjunction with the Merseyside LTP3 Strategy Document.

The Merseyside LTP3 Scoping Report was sent out for formal consultation in April 2010 to the three statutory consultees (the Environment Agency, Natural England and English Heritage) and other key stakeholders. The comments received have been taken into consideration in preparation of the SA Report and the LTP3. The draft SA Report was sent out for formal consultation in November 2010 to the statutory consultees, stakeholders and the public. Comments received are highlighted and addressed in this final SA Report.

Merseyside Third Local Transport Plan

The current Merseyside Second LTP covers the period until 2011. The Merseyside LTP3 is currently being prepared by the Merseyside Transport Partnership and will build on the aims and objectives of LTP2.

The Merseyside LTP3 will consist of:

- long term Transport Strategy – (covers period from April 2011 until March 2024); and
- short term Implementation Plan – every three years (first Plan covers period April 2011 until March 2014).

The national framework for the third LTP is set by the DaSTS goals. These now replace the four 'shared' priorities that governed the second LTP. The new priorities for LTP3 are:-

- Reduce transport's carbon output and help tackle climate change;
- Support economic competiveness;
- Contribute to better safety, security and health;
- Promote greater equality of opportunity; and
- Improve quality of life and promote a healthy natural environment.

Scoping Results

The scoping process identified the relevant plans and programmes at International, National, Regional and Local level and their implications for the SA/SEA and LTP3. Scoping has also set the environmental, social and economic baseline context the LTP3 area, and identified key sustainability challenges and opportunities. From an initial review of baseline it is likely that the following baseline trends and key issues will continue:

- Air quality – it is likely that increased economic growth and development will lead to increased car use and congestion leading to localised air quality issues. National and local air quality targets and European Emission Standards for new cars should contribute to reducing this predicted increase;
- Biodiversity – it is likely that increased economic growth and development, and climate change effects will result in loss of habitats and species. Protection of designated areas should protected internationally and nationally important sites;
- Climate change – it is likely that climate change effects will continue including increased temperatures, gales, severe storms and flooding. It also likely that the number of renewable energy schemes and sites will continue to increase;
- Cultural heritage – heritage assets are likely to continue to be preserved through legislation. Development could put pressure on heritage assets and their setting;
- Water quality – increased economic growth is likely to cause an increase in run-off and potential contamination and disruption of flows for surface water and groundwater. The Water Framework Directive and River Basin Management Plan will help reduce this predicted effect on water quality as they plan on how to protect and improve watercourse;
- Landscape – it is likely that continued development and changing farming practices will affect the countryside character;
- Employment – economic growth and employment is likely to continue and the proportion of people of working age in employment is expected to continue to increase;
- Education - it is presumed that educational achievement would increase in line with that of the national average;

- Crime - it is likely that overall crime figures will continue to fall if current aspirations with respect of community are met;
- Health – obesity is a growing problem and is likely to continue. Active lifestyles and healthy eating campaigns will help reduce this trend;
- Waste – it is likely that current increases in recycling rates will continue.

An SA/SEA Framework consisting of sustainability objectives and indicators was developed for the Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA. The SA/SEA objectives for the LTP3 been taken forward from LTP2 to ensure consistency, and aligned to current Government guidance on transport including 'Delivering a Sustainable Transport System' (DaSTS). The proposed SA/SEA objectives are:

- 1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions
- 2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates
- 3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion
- 4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets
- 5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance
- 6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region
- 7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters
- 8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality
- 9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality
- 10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities
- 11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime
- 12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance
- 13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes
- 14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk
- 15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geodiversity
- 16. To provide good quality, affordable and resource efficient housing

Assessment Results

Options Appraisal

In developing Merseyside's LTP3 strategy, four high level options were assessed:

- Low funding scenario;
- Strengthened low-carbon agenda;
- Concessions to motorists; agenda; and
- Strong economic recovery scenario.

Merseyside Transport Partnership took a number of factors into account when determining the preferred strategic option for the LTP3 strategy. The preferred option is a combined approach integrating 'low funding' and 'low carbon' in the short term, with a move towards 'economic recovery' in the medium term. This preferred option was taken forward by Merseyside Transport Partnership and developed into a detailed LTP3 strategy document containing priorities, objectives and actions for transport in Merseyside.

LTP3 Appraisal

The provisional LTP3 strategy was appraised against the sustainability framework by determining the level of sustainability performance of the LTP3 against each of the framework objectives. For each objective a score (where possible or appropriate) and record of decision was recorded in an appraisal matrix. A cumulative assessment for each LTP3 objective as a whole has also been assessed.

Goal One Appraisal Summary

Goal One generally supports the SA/SEA objectives. The goal is mainly about partnerships and collaborative working. Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and strategic priorities such as a low carbon economy, sustainable waste management, improved water quality, and an integrated and fully accessible transport network. This would have positive effects on climate change, water quality, accessibility, sustainable transport, and waste. Partnership and collaborative working may also have social and health benefits through creating a joint approach between land use planning and transport integration. For example, linking deprived areas with new employment sites through good public transport. Wider engagement with residents will allow key local issues facing communities to be addressed and may encourage social cohesion.

Goal Two Appraisal Summary

LTP3 Goal Two and its associated actions are likely to have either a positive or no interaction with the SA/SEA objectives. Infrastructure to support electric vehicles was considered to have positive effects on climate change, air quality and health. Several of the SA/SEA objectives were recorded as either having no interaction/neutral effect or the

effect depended on implementation. Modal shift and the provision of a charging network for electric vehicles could have a positive effect on local accessibility if charging points are located where there are local services and amenities. Modal shift actions are likely to have positive effects on climate change, deprivation, air quality, environmental quality, health, accessibility and sustainable transport. Procurement policies to support the uptake of low emission freight vehicles were considered to positively contribute to the development of a low carbon transport system, having positive benefits for air quality, climate change and health. Measures to integrate sustainable transport planning and design and Low Emission Strategy principles into the planning process would produce positive outcomes for the majority of the SA/SEA objectives. Actions were considered to have potential to produce substantial measurable changes in emissions, and provide the opportunity to integrate climate change adaptation measures into design. However, stakeholders identified that such measures needed to be integrated into national, as well as local and regional planning policy. It was also considered that sustainable transport commitments made by developers may ensure that deprived social groups have better access to services, especially where there is affordable housing.

Goal Three Appraisal Summary

The level of support for the SA/SEA objectives varied according to the sub-topic being assessed. The cycling and walking sub-topic focused on increasing the network of cycle and walking routes, expanding cycle and rail, and cycle and bus integration, cycle parking, and examining funding streams for cycle training. These actions are likely to have positive effects on deprivation, air quality environmental quality, health, accessibility, sustainable transport and climate change. It was considered that the infrastructure required for new and improved cycle and walking routes could potentially negatively effect heritage assets, biodiversity and landscape, and involve landtake. However, walking and cycling infrastructure is likely to have less of a negative effect in comparison to other types of infrastructure such as roads. The road safety sub-topic focused on police partnerships within road safety, continued spending on road safety equivalent to 2010 levels, and expanding the network of low speed zones. These actions are likely to have positive effects for deprivation, air quality, environmental quality, health and safety. There may be negative effects in terms of accessibility depending on what road safety measures are implemented. The health and equality sub-topic focused on ensuring all actions are governed by the need to meet the Equalities legislation, and examining the potential for major development proposals to be subject to a transport/health impact assessment. It was considered that the majority of SA/SEA objectives would have no interaction/neutral effect. However, it is likely that there will positive effects on health and accessibility.

Goal Four Appraisal Summary

LTP3 Goal Four and its associated actions are likely to have either a positive or no interaction with the SA/SEA objectives. Accessibility improvements are likely to increase access to local, key services and employment, helping to reduce levels of poverty and promote social cohesion. Such actions, if implemented are unlikely to have any effects on biodiversity, landscape and waste as little or no development of the existing transportation network will be required. Actions to improve ticketing, fares and information are likely to encourage a modal shift and in particular, benefit socially deprived areas through the provision of more affordable and discounted fares. It was, however highlighted that long-term commitment would be required from all operators and partners to ensure that the supporting actions are successfully implemented. For example, it is important that private bus operators work collaboratively with the health and education sectors to provide more efficient and reliable services.

Goal Five Appraisal Summary

Overall the SA/SEA objectives perform well against Goal Five. A number of negative interactions were identified during the assessment for interventions relating to Public Transport and Cycling. These were mostly associated with infrastructure improvements to the road and rail network, for example the development of new Park and Ride sites is likely to have short-term construction impacts on biodiversity, water quality and heritage assets. Such impacts can, however be mitigated through, for example habitat creation, the aftercare and maintenance of landscaping and Sustainable Urban Drainage Techniques (SUDS). Actions to improve the movement of people and goods focus on promoting the use of more environmentally friendly modes. Smarter Choices and personal travel planning, if targeted correctly are likely to aid behaviour change and identify opportunities for more efficient travel patterns. Actions that address the maintenance of and capacity/efficiency improvements to the highways network will improve accessibility and environmental quality; and seek to develop the region's economy.

Goal Six Appraisal Summary

Overall, the LTP3 Goal Six and supporting actions perform neutrally or have no interaction against the SA/SEA objectives. The 'Complete Asset Management' action focuses on completion of the Highways Asset Management Plan/Transport Asset Management Plan, including the consideration of Climate Change. The 'Produce effective asset management programme' actions focus on the implementation of new transport projects, delivery of Liverpool's Green Strategy and the consideration of the environment in planning maintenance schemes. Maintenance of the roads and rail network through the specified actions outlined in the Draft LTP3 strategy is likely to have positive effects on accessibility and efficiency. There may be some negative effects on

climatic factors, landscape and environmental quality; however this will be dependant upon the specific actions that are implemented.

Cumulative Assessment

Overall all the LTP3 goals will have positive cumulative effects in terms of reducing congestion and carbon emissions, encouraging healthy sustainable travel options such as walking and cycling, encouraging more public transport use, and providing a better transport network that is accessible and reliable. Although some neutral and negative effects were recorded in the full assessment, it was considered that the positive effects have greater importance and benefits, and that some of the negative effects can be mitigated. Therefore, all the LTP3 goals were assessed as having a cumulative positive effect.

The cumulative effects of all the LTP3 goals on the individual SA/SEA objectives was also assessed. In general the LTP3 goals collectively support the SA/SEA objectives in terms of proposing actions and interventions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improving air quality and environmental quality, promoting economic inclusion, accessibility, sustainable transport, and safety and health benefits. There is likely to be both positive and negative effects on waste, heritage assets, biodiversity, landscape and water quality. Therefore, an overall neutral effect has been recorded. Whilst actions and intervention to reduce congestion and emissions may benefit biodiversity, landscape and water quality, they may also involve disturbance to these assets from new infrastructure. Land and soil has been recorded as a negative cumulative effect as many of the actions and interventions involve landtake.

Major Schemes Appraisal

The LTP3 includes several project specific major schemes that are either currently being investigated as part of the LTP3 or are proposed for implementation during the plan period. These major schemes have been assessed against the SA/SEA objectives to demonstrate their sustainability performance.

Conclusions

The SA/SEA process has demonstrated the predicted effects of implementing the Merseyside LTP3 Strategy. Overall the transport Goals and associated actions/interventions set out in the LTP3 are likely to have positive effects in terms of relieving congestion, encouraging modal shift, improving public transport, maximising use of the existing network, and increasing road safety, which will have positive effect on accessibility, health, safety, air quality, climate change, sustainable transport and

economic development. Some measures outlined in the LTP3 are likely to have negative effects, such as landtake, habitat loss, waste generation, resource use and disturbance to heritage assets.

Mitigation and enhancement measures have been suggested to help enhance and mitigate the predicted effects of implementing the LTP3. Mitigation measures include measures that can be used to inform the development of the LTP3 e.g. changes to strategy wording, addition of interventions etc; and measures to be taken following implementation of the LTP3 e.g. design, construction, operation and maintenance mitigation and enhancements.

Monitoring the significant sustainability effects of implementing the LTP3 is an essential ongoing element of the SA/SEA process. Monitoring ensures that the identified SA/SEA objectives are being achieved, allows early identification of unforeseen adverse effects and thus appropriate remedial action can be taken. Monitoring will be an important requirement to measure performance and ensure the LTP3 is being successfully implemented. Monitoring proposals have been developed based in the SA/SEA indicators and focus on predicted significant affects.

1. Introduction

1.1 Terms of Reference

Mott MacDonald was commissioned by the Merseyside Transport Partnership to undertake an Integrated Assessment (IA) of the Merseyside Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP3). Merseyside Transport Partnership consists of Merseytravel (the passenger transport executive for Merseyside) and the Merseyside Local Authorities). An Integrated Assessment is a process which involves several different types of assessments as part of an integrated approach. The assessments are:

- Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA);
- Sustainability Appraisal (SA);
- Health Impact Assessment (HIA);
- Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA);
- Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA).

The Integrated Assessment was undertaken in accordance with the Department for Transport (DfT) Draft Guidance 'Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes – TAG Unit 2.11D' (Janaury 2010), the SEA Directive and resulting Regulations, the Race Relations Act, and the Habitats Directive and Regulations. Separate reports are being produced for each element of the Integrated Assessment in order to comply with legislative requirements.

This document is the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report which covers Stages A-C of the SA/SEA process as defined in the DfT Guidance. The report should be read in conjunction with the Merseyside LTP3 Strategy Document.

1.2 Purpose of SA/SEA and the SA Report

This SA Report is required as an output of the appraisal process by Article 5(1) of the SEA Directive, and Stage C of the Department for Transport (DfT) 'Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes – TAG Unit 2.11D'. The report presents information on the effects of the Plan, which forms the basis for formal consultation. This report also includes the findings from Stage A of the SA/SEA process as set out in the Scoping Report (April 2010).

1.3 Structure of the SA Report

The SA Report has been structured into the following Chapters:

- Chapter 1: Introduction – sets out the terms of reference for the project, purpose of the SA/SEA, components in the SA Report that are required by the SEA Directive and any limitations of the SA/SEA;
- Chapter 2: Approach to the SA/SEA – details the legislative requirements for SA and SEA, the project team and timetable, the methodology used and scoping consultation results;
- Chapter 3: LTP3 Context – presents information about the context and process of LTP3, and the LTP3 objectives and priorities;
- Chapter 4: Stage A Scoping Results – presents information from the Scoping Report including the review of plans and programmes, baseline information, evolution of the baseline, key challenges and opportunities, and the SA/SEA Framework;
- Chapter 5: Compatibility of LTP3 and SA/SEA Objectives – demonstrates whether the LTP3 and SA/SEA objectives support or conflict with each other;
- Chapter 6: Development and Appraisal of LTP3 Strategic Options – details the strategic options considered for LTP3, an assessment of the options, and the preferred option;

- Chapter 7: Appraisal of LTP3 Strategy – presents the results of the assessment of the LTP3 strategy, the assessment workshop methodology, and any assumptions, risk or uncertainties encountered in the assessment;
- Chapter 8: SA/SEA Mitigation and Enhancement – details the mitigation and enhancement measures proposed for the LTP3 as a result of the assessment;
- Chapter 9: Conclusions – provides an overall conclusions to the SA/SEA; and
- Chapter 10: Implementation and Monitoring – describes the implementation of LTP3 in relation to other plans and the project level, and sets out proposals for monitoring the effects of implementing the LTP3.

1.3.1 Components that make up the SA Report

This SA Report incorporates the requirements for an Environmental Report as required by the SEA Directive. Table 1.1 below indicates where specific requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive can be found within this report.

Table 1.1: SEA Directive Requirements Checklist

Environmental Report Requirements	Section of the Report
a) an outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes;	Chapter 3
b) the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the plan or programme;	Chapter 4, Section 4.2 and 4.3
c) the environmental characteristics of areas likely to be significantly affected;	Chapter 4, Section 4.3
d) any existing environmental problems which are relevant to the plan or programme including, in particular, those relating to any areas of a particular environmental importance, such as areas designated pursuant to Directives 79/409/EEC and 92/43/EEC;	Chapter 4, Section 4.2
e) the environmental protection objectives, established at international, Community or Member State level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation;	Chapter 4, Section 4.1 and Appendix B
f) the likely significant effects on the environment, including on issues such as biodiversity, population, human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climatic factors, material assets, cultural heritage including architectural and archaeological heritage, landscape and the interrelationship between the above factors;	Chapter 7 and Appendix C
g) the measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and as fully as possible offset any significant adverse effects on the environment of implementing the plan or programme;	Chapter 8
h) an outline of the reasons for selecting the alternatives dealt with, and a description of how the assessment was undertaken including any difficulties (such as technical deficiencies or lack of know-how) encountered in compiling the required information;	Chapter 6 and Chapter 7
i) a description of the measures envisaged concerning monitoring in accordance with Article 10;	Chapter 10
j) a non-technical summary of the information provided under the above headings.	Prior to Chapter 1 Introduction

1.4 Limitations of the SA/SEA

Merseyside Transport Partnership and Mott MacDonald have relied on published data and information provided by Merseyside Transport Partnership and other organisations in the production of this SA Report. The compiled baseline data has been used to provide a 'snapshot' of current key issues associated with the LTP3.

A number of specialists with no prior knowledge of the local area have been involved in the production of this SA Report and more specifically in the assessment process. However, the assessment was undertaken in a workshop with input from local stakeholders.

2. Approach to the SA/SEA

2.1 Strategic Environmental Assessment Legislative Requirements

An SEA is required for the Merseyside LTP3 under the European Union Directive 2001/42/EC, more commonly known as the SEA Directive. The Directive was transposed into UK law via the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, which requires an assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment.

Some of the key objectives of the SEA process are to provide for a high level of protection of the environment and to contribute to the integration of environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans with a view to promoting sustainable development. The SEA process also aims to inform the decision-making process through the identification and assessment of the significant and cumulative effects a plan or programme will have on the environment at the strategic level and to enable consultation on the potential effects with a wide range of stakeholders.

2.2 Sustainability Appraisal Legislative Requirements

In additional to the SEA Directive, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 has introduced a wider requirement for a SA to be undertaken for a range of planning policy documents.

SA is a generic term used to describe the form of assessment that considers the social, environmental and economic affects of implementing a particular planning policy document. It is considered by the UK Government that the implementation of the SA process helps local planning authorities to fulfil the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development when preparing their plans.

2.3 Integrating NATA into the SA/SEA Process

The New Approach to Appraisal (NATA) is an approach for improving the consistency and transparency with which transport decisions are made. It presents the key economic, environmental and social impacts of decision in a clear, consistent and balanced way. NATA is the basis for appraising multi-modal studies, Highway Agency road schemes, Local Transport Plans, major road and public transport schemes, Strategic Rail Authority schemes, seaports, and the Government's airports strategy. The NATA approach aims to:

- Environment – to protect the built and natural environment;
- Safety – to improve safety;
- Economy – to support sustainable economic activity and get good value for money;
- Accessibility – to improve access to facilities for those without a car ad to reduce severance;
- Integration – to ensure that all decisions are taken in the context of the Government's integrated transport policy.

The DfT Guidance (January 2010) (TAG Unit 2.11D) on the SEA process integrates SEA requirements with the existing NATA processes. Therefore, this SEA will make reference to the links between SEA and NATA as defined in the Table 2.2. Table 2.3 shows how the NATA objectives and sub-objectives fit within the SEA Directive topics.

Table 2.1: Stages, Decisions and Outputs of SEA

NATA stage (from TAG Unit 2.5)	SEA Stage	Similarities/ differences between NATA & SEA
1.Setting objectives and problem definition 2.Understanding the current situation 3.Understanding the future situation 4.Consultation, participation, information	**A: Setting the context and objectives, establishing the baseline and deciding on the scope** A1: Identifying other relevant plans, programmes and environmental protection objectives A2: Collecting baseline information A3: Identifying environmental problems A4: Developing SEA objectives A5: Consulting on the scope of the SEA	This SEA stage adds emphasis to the need to consider environmental issues at this stage of the process. SEA requires more information on the environmental baseline and identification of environmental problems.
5.Options for solutions 6.Appraisal framework 7.Appraisal tools and procedures 8.Costs 9.Options testing and appraisal	**B: Developing and refining alternatives and assessing effects** B1: Testing the plan objectives against the SEA objectives B2: Developing strategic alternatives B3: Predicting the effects of the draft plan, including alternatives B4: Evaluating the effects of the draft plan, including alternatives B5: Considering ways of mitigating adverse effects B6: Proposing measures to monitor the environmental effects of plan implementation	Plan alternatives should also aim to deal with environmental problems, or at least not make them worse. NATA and SEA Directive topics are similar but not exactly the same. Requirements regarding environmental mitigation are strengthened under SEA.
10.Distillation and comparison of options	**C: Preparing the Environmental Report** C1: Prepare an Environmental Report in which the likely significant effects on the environment of implementing the plan, and reasonable alternatives taking into account the objectives and geographical scope of the plan, are identified, described and evaluated. The information to = be given is listed in Article 5 and Annex 1 of the SEA Directive.	The requirement to show how the environment has been taken into account in decision-making is more specific in the SEA Directive than in NATA.
11.Consultations 12.Outputs from the study 13.Funding sources	**D: Consulting on the draft plan and the Environmental Report** D1: Consulting on the draft plan and Environmental Report D2: Assessing significant changes D3: Decision making and providing information	The Directive requires consultation on a *draft* plan.
14.Implementation programme 15.Monitoring and evaluation	**E: Monitoring the significant effects of implementing the plan on the environment** E1: Developing aims and methods for monitoring E2: Responding to adverse effects	NATA does not currently address monitoring.

Source: DfT (Januaryl 2010) Draft: Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes - TAG Unit 2.11D

Table 2.2: NATA Objectives and SEA Topics

NATA Objective	NATA Sub-Objective	SEA Topic (SEA Directive, Annex If)
Environment	Noise	Human health, population, inter-relationships
	Local air quality	Air, human health, population
	Greenhouse gases	Climatic factors
	Landscape	Landscape
	Townscape	
	Heritage	Cultural heritage including architectural and archaeological heritage
	Biodiversity	Biodiversity, fauna, flora, soil
	Water environment	Water
	Physical fitness	Human health, population
Safety	Accidents	Human health, population
	Security	
Accessibility	Community severance	Population
	Access to the transport system	
Economy	Public accounts	Material assets
	Business users and providers	
	Consumer users	

Source: DfT (January 2010) Draft: Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes - TAG Unit 2.11D

2.4 Integrated Assessment Project Team

Although this SA Report only covers the SA/SEA, it is important to understand the overall project team and interactions. The IA project team for the Merseyside LTP3 consists of transport, planning and sustainability officers from the Merseyside Transport Partnership (Merseytravel and the five Merseyside local authorities; Liverpool City Council, Sefton Council, Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council, Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council, St. Helens Metropolitan Borough Council), and sustainability specialists and environmental planning consultants from Mott MacDonald (Figure 2 1). It was felt that it is important in the sustainability appraisal process to include both people who are involved in the production and development of the LTP3 as well as consultants, who can contribute a more independent view to the sustainability appraisal exercise.

Figure 2.1: Integrated Assessment Team Organogram



2.5 Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Timetable

Table 2.3 establishes who carried out/will carry out each stage of the SA/SEA process. It also incorporates the SA/SEA and LTP3 process timetables into an integrated programme.

Table 2.3: Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Timetable

LTP3 Process	SA/SEA Stage	Who carried / will carry this out	When
Evidence Gathering	**A: Setting the context and objectives, establishing the baseline and deciding on the scope**		
	A1: Identifying other relevant plans, programmes, and sustainability objectives	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	Jan/Feb 2010
	A2: Collecting baseline information	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	Jan/Feb 2010
	A3: Identifying sustainability issues and problems	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	Jan/Feb 2010
	A4: Developing the SA/SEA Framework	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport	Jan/Feb 2010

		Partnership	
	A5: Consulting on the scope of the SA/SEA	Merseyside Transport Partnership / MM Consultancy Team	Mar/Apr 2010
Preparation of draft LTP3	**Stage B: Developing and refining alternatives and assessing effects**		
	B1: Testing the LTP3 objectives against the SA/SEA Framework	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	May 2010
	B2: Developing strategic alternatives	Merseyside Transport Partnership / MM Consultancy Team	Jun 2010
	B3: Predicting the effects of the draft LTP3, including alternatives	MM Consultancy Team/ Merseyside Transport Partnership	Sep 2010
	B4: Evaluating the effects of the draft LTP3, including alternatives	MM Consultancy Team/ Merseyside Transport Partnership	Sep 2010
	B5: Considering ways of mitigating adverse effects and maximising beneficial effects	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	Sep 2010
	B6: Proposing measures to monitor the significant effects of implementing the LTP3	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	Sep 2010
	Stage C: Preparing the SA Report		
	C1:Preparing the SA Report	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	May/Sep 2010
Public participation on draft LTP3	**Stage D: Consulting on the draft LTP3 and SA Report**		
	D1: Public Participation on the draft LTP3 and SA Report	Merseyside Transport Partnership / MM Consultancy Team	Oct/Nov 2010
Representations and finalise LTP3	D2: Appraising significant changes	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	Nov/Dec 2010
Adoption	D3: Making decisions and providing information	MM Consultancy Team with input from Merseyside Transport Partnership	TBC
Implementing, monitoring and review	**Stage E: Monitoring the significant effects of implementing the LTP3**		
	E1: Finalising aims and methods for monitoring	Merseyside Transport Partnership and Local Authorities	TBC
	E2: Responding to adverse effects	Merseyside Transport Partnership and Local Authorities	TBC

2.6 SA/SEA Methodology

The SA/SEA was carried out in accordance with the DfT Draft Guidance 'Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes – TAG Unit 2.11D' (January 2010), and will meet the requirements of the SEA Directive (and resulting SEA Regulations).

Figure 2.2: SA/SEA Process



Figure 2.3 shows how the SA/SEA methodology fits into the Integrated Assessment process.

Figure 2.3: Mott MacDonald Approach to Integrated Assessment Method Diagram



2.7 Scoping Consultation Results

The Merseyside LTP3 Scoping Report was sent out for formal consultation in April 2010 to the three designated bodies with environmental responsibilities – the Environment Agency, Natural England and English Heritage, and wider key stakeholders. Comments were received from:

- Natural England;
- English Heritage;
- Environment Agency; and
- Liverpool First (Local Strategic Partnership)

The comments received have been taken into consideration in preparation of the SA Report and the LTP3. The comments received have been recorded in Appendix A.

2.8 SA Report Consultation Results

The Merseyside LTP3 draft SA Report was sent out for formal consultation in November 2010 to the statutory consultees, stakeholders and the public. Comments received are presented in Appendix E, along with how these have been addressed in the final SA Report.

3. LTP3 Context

3.1 Context and Background

The current Merseyside LTP2 is due to expire in March 2011. The Merseyside LTP3 will start in April 2011. Development of the LTP3 will be undertaken in line with guidance provided by DfT, which is itself driven by the framework provided within Delivering a Sustainable Transport System, (DaSTS), (DfT November 2008).

The Merseyside LTP3 will consist of:

- long term Transport Strategy – (covers period from April 2011 until March 2024); and
- short term Implementation Plan – every three years (first Plan covers period April 2011 until March 2014).

The national framework for the third LTP is set by the DaSTS goals. These now replace the four 'shared' priorities that governed the second LTP. The new priorities are:-

- Reduce transport's carbon output and help tackle climate change;
- Support economic competiveness;
- Contribute to better safety, security and health;
- Promote greater equality of opportunity; and
- Improve quality of life and promote a healthy natural environment.

LTP3 will cover the five Merseyside Authorities of Sefton, Liverpool, St. Helens, Knowsley and Wirral. Figure 3.1 shows the geographical scope of the LTP3.

Figure 3.1: Geographical Scope of LTP3



Source: Merseyside Local Authorities

3.2 Merseyside LTP3 Vision and Goals

The vision for the transport network in Merseyside set out in the LTP3 is:

'A City Region, committed to a low carbon future which has a transport network and mobility culture which positively contributes to a thriving economy and the health and well being of its citizens and where sustainable travel is the option of first choice.'

The LTP3 recognises that it has a key role to play in delivery the high level city region objectives:

- Create a city of opportunity where all sections of the community can make contact with as many goods and services as possible including jobs, training, education and social, leisure and recreational activities that increase quality of life;
- Create a resilient city that will support a strong and vigorous internationally competitive economy at the same time as increasing its ability to deal with challenges in the future from climate change, increases in oil prices, interruptions in oil supply and economic down turns;
- Contribute to a low carbon city that recognises the responsibilities of all cities to play a leadership role in carbon reduction and celebrates the opportunities this provides to create competitive and sustainable jobs in green technology industries and activities;
- Create a healthy city where all transport options including walking and cycling facilities link to spatial planning and send strong signals in support of high levels of physical activity; and
- Create a high quality liveable city that improves air quality, reduces noise levels and creates highly attractive public spaces and cultural offering building on the achievements of the capital of culture;

To achieve these ambitions the LTP3 sets out the following goals:

- Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region, the proposed Local Enterprise Partnership and the Local Strategic Partnerships;
- Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system;
- Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health and well-being;
- Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities;
- Ensure the transport network supports the economic success of the LCR by the efficient movement of people and goods; and
- Maintain our assets to a high standard.

4. Stage A Scoping Results

4.1 Relationship with other Plans and Programmes

4.1.1 Introduction

Mott MacDonald reviewed the key International, European, National, Regional and Local policies, plans, programs and local documents relevant to the LTP3. Their implications for the SA/SEA have been assessed in order to comply with Annex 1(a) of the SEA Directive and Task A1 of the DfT Guidance (April 2009). The findings are detailed in a Policy Register in Appendix B. The documents reviewed include:

Table 4.1: Plans and Programmes

International and European Plans and Programmes
Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development (2002)
Convention on Wetlands of International Importance 1971 (amended 1982)
Convention on Biodiversity, Rio de Janeiro, 1992
United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1994
Kyoto protocol 1997
EU Landfill Directive (1999) 99/31/EC
World Summit on Sustainable Development, 2002 (Johannesburg)
European Climate Change Programme
EU Environmental Noise Directive
EU Sustainable Development Strategy (2006)
EU Air Quality Framework Directive
EU Air Quality Directive (2008) 2008/50/EC
EU Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds
EU Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Flora & Fauna
EU Waste Framework Directive (2008) 2008/98/EC)
European Transport White Paper 'European Transport Policy for 2010: Time to Decide'
Keep Europe Moving - Sustainable Mobility for our Continent - Mid term review of the White Paper
Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC
Habitats Directive (1992) 92/43/EEC
Birds Directive (1979) 79/409/EEC
European Landscape Convention (1991) 91/676/EC
The Ramsar Convention
Copenhagen Accord (2009)
UNESCO World Heritage Convention of 1972
Zagreb Declaration for Healthy Cities: Health and health equity in all local policies (2009)
National Plans and Programmes
The UK Government Sustainable Development Strategy – Securing the Future (2005)
Climate change – UK Programme (2000)
The Public Health White Paper - Choosing Health: Making Healthy Choices, 2004
Ports: Draft National Policy Statement for England & Wales (2009)
The UK Government Low Carbon Transition Plan (2009)
Planning for a Sustainable Future (2007)
Land Use & Transport: Settlement Patterns and Demand for Travel (2009)
Tackling Health Inequalities. A Programme for Action, 2003 (Department for Health)
New Environmental Strategy for the NHS, July 2005
Energy White Paper: Our Energy Future – creating a low carbon economy (Feb 2003)
Walking and Cycling: An Action Plan (DFT, June 2004)
National Cycling Strategy (September 1996) and Modified (DFT, October 2004)
Encouraging Walking: Advice to Local Authorities (DETR 2000)
Power of Place (2000)
Transport 10 Year Plan 2000
The Future of Transport: A Network for 2030, 2004
Delivering a Sustainable Transport System - Department for Transport (2008)
LTP and ROWIP Integration – Good Practice Note (2009)
Guidance on Local Transport Plans and the Natural Environment (2009)
UK Biodiversity Indicators in Your Pocket (2009)
Climate Change and Biodiversity Adaptation: The Role of the Spatial Planning System (2009)
Biodiversity by Design (2004)

Open Space Strategies – Best Practise Guidance (2009)
NE176 – Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance (2009)
Accessible Natural Green Space Standards in Towns and Cities (2003)
By All Responsible Means: Inclusive Access to the Outdoors for Disabled People – 2003 (the Countryside Agency)
The Countryside In and Around Towns - a vision for Connecting Town and Country in Pursuit of Sustainable Development (2005)
Transport in Tomorrows Countryside, 2003 (The Countryside Agency)
Towards a Sustainable Transport System (2008)
Active Travel Strategy (2010)
Planning for Sustainable Travel (2009)
Delivering Low Carbon Travel: An Essential Guide for Local Authorities (2009)
Strategic Environmental Assessment, Sustainability Appraisal and the Historic Environment
Land Use and Transport: Settlement Patterns and the Demand for Travel, 2009 (CfIT)
The UK Government Rural Strategy, 2004
UK Biodiversity Action Plan, 1994
Working with the Grain of Nature: A Biodiversity Strategy for England, 2002
Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales & Northern Ireland, 2007
Making the Connections: Final Report on Transport and Social Exclusion, 2003 (Social Exclusion Unit)
Sustainable Communities Plan - Sustainable Communities: Building for the future (2003)
UK White Paper - Our Towns & Cities: The Future - Delivering an Urban Renaissance, 2000 (ODPM)
Rural White Paper: Our Countryside: The Future (2000)
Landscape Indicators for Strategic Environmental Assessment of LTPs – issues to consider (2005) (Countryside Agency)
Treatment of Landscape, Biodiversity, Access & Recreation in Sixteen Provisional Local Transport Plans (2005) (Countryside Agency)
Heritage White Paper: Heritage Protection for the 21st Century (Consultation) (2007)
The Historic Environment - A Force for our future (English Heritage)
UK Sustainable Development Strategy
Waste Strategy for England, 2007
Low Carbon Transport: A Greener Future (DfT, 2009)
Climate change – UK Programme, 2000
UK Legislation
The Transport Act 2008
Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981
Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000 (CRoW)
The Conservation (Habitats & c.) Regulations 1994 (Habitats Regulations)
Part IV Environment Act 1995 (England & Wales)
Air Quality Standards Regulations 2007
Air Quality Limit Values Regulations 2003
The Water Environment (Water Framework Directive)(England & Wales) Regulations 2003
Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and Regulations 2009
Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979
Planning Policy
Minerals Planning Statement 1
PPG 2: Greenbelt
PPG 13: Transport
PPG 17: Planning for open space, sport & recreation
PPG 20: Coastal Planning
PPG 24: Planning & Noise
PPS 1: Delivering Sustainable Development
Draft PPS: Planning for a Natural and Healthy Environment
Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change – Supplement to Planning Policy Statement 1
Planning Policy Statement 3 (PPS3): Housing
Planning Policy Statement 4 (PPS4): Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth
PPS5: Planning for the Historic Environment
PPS 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas
PPS 9: Biodiversity & Geological Conservation
PPS 10: Planning for Sustainable Waste Management
PPS 12: Local Development Frameworks
PPS 22: Renewable Energy
PPS 23 Planning & Pollution Control
PPG 25: Development & Flood Risk
Regional Plans and Programmes
North West Strategic Health Authority Annual Report 2008/2009
Investment for Health: A Plan for North West England, 2003
Moving Forward - The Northern Way, 2004
Regional Sustainable Development Framework (Action for Sustainability)

North West Sustainable Development Integrated Appraisal Toolkit (June 2009)
Regional Spatial Strategy for the North West, including partial review, 2008
RS2010: Principles & Issues Paper
Wild about the North West: A Biodiversity Audit of North West England (1999)
North West Cultural Strategy (2002)
Investment for Health – A plan for North West England (2003)
Regional Funding Advice
North West Economic Strategy (2006)
North West Regional Housing Strategy (2009)
Regional Waste Strategy for the North West (2004)
North West Sustainable Energy Strategy (July 2006)
North West Regional Freight Strategy (November 2003)
Operation North West England Programme under the Regional competitiveness and employment objective 2007-2013 (2007)
Regional DaSTS Stage One Programme, 2009
The North West Climate Change Action Plan 2010-2012
North West Strategic Health Authority Annual Report 2008/09
Tourism Strategy
Water for Life and Livelihoods: River Basin Management Plan North West River Basin District (2009)
North West Green Infrastructure Guide (2007)
North West Biodiversity Forum
CCP536 - Countryside Character Volume 2: North West
North West Regional Landscape Character Framework
Regional Sustainable Development Framework Integrated Appraisal Toolkit, 2009
Regional Employment and Skills Action
Sub-Regional Plans and Programmes
Merseyside Local Transport Plan 2, 2006-2011
City Region Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) Aims & Objectives Statements
Liverpool City Council Air Quality Action Plan
New Heartlands Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder
Heart of Merseyside Initiative, 2002
Merseyside Economic Strategy MESAP
Liverpool City Region Spatial Strategy
Liverpool City Region Housing Strategy
Liverpool City Region Multi Area Agreement, 2009
Liverpool Superport
Liverpool 2024: A Thriving International City – Sustainable Community Strategy
Knowsley: The Borough of Choice - Sustainable Community Strategy 2008 - 2023
A Vision for Sefton - Sustainable Community Strategy 2006-2011
St Helens Sustainable Community Strategy (Revised 2009)
Wirral 2025: More Equal, More Prosperous - The Community Strategy (2009)
Liverpool City Region Development Programme Update
Merseyside Noise Study, 2004
Code of Practice on Access and Mobility (2002)
Sefton Physical Activity Strategy 2001 – 2011 (Review 2009)
Heart of Merseyside Initiative
City region 'Mini-Stern' report; The Economic Impact of EU and UK Climate Change Legislation on Liverpool and the Liverpool City Region, 2009
Knowsley UDP (2006)
St Helens UDP (1998)
Liverpool UDP (November 2002)
Sefton UDP (June 2006)
Wirral UDP (February 2000)
Wirral LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2007)
Liverpool LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2010)
Sefton LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2009)
St. Helens LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2009)
Knowsley LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2009)
'Liverpool First' Liverpool Community Strategy 2005-2008
Code of Practice on Access and Mobility
Wirral's Biodiversity Action Plan
North Merseyside Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP)
Liverpool PCT
Sefton PCT
Knowsley PCT
Wirral PCT

Halton and St. Helens PCT
Knowsley Council and Sefton Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2009)
Liverpool City Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2008)
St. Helens Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2009)
The Knowsley Partnership: Local Area Agreement Pilot
Sefton Local Area Agreement 2008 - 2011
Liverpool Local Area Agreement 2008 - 2011
St. Helens Local Area Agreement 2008 – 2011
Wirral's Partnership Agreement 2008/9 – 2010/11 (2008)
Liverpool World Heritage Site Management Plan and Supplementary Planning Document
The North Biodiversity Action Plan
Merseyside Local Geodiversity Action Plan

4.1.2 Policy Context

Details of plans and programmes listed in Section 4.1.1 are presented in Appendix B. A few key national, regional and local sustainability and transport plans have been reviewed in more detail below.

National Context

Delivering a Sustainable Transport System (2008)

At the national level the Government published 'Delivering a Sustainable Transport System' (DaSTS) in 2008. This document describes how the Government will take 'Towards a Sustainable Transport System' (TaSTS) forward. In DaSTS, the Government continues its commitment to long term transport planning and identifies the priorities for transport investment in England from 2014 across all transport networks. The biggest challenge is considered to be tackling climate change and growth together. DaSTS builds on the goals identified in TaSTS and there is an expectation that there will be a strong synergy between goals, for example, measures encouraging a modal shift to public transport will help tackle congestion and are therefore likely to make a positive contribution to economic growth, cutting emissions and enhancing the local environment, as well as improving health. The five goals for transport are:

- to support national economic competitiveness and growth, by delivering reliable and efficient transport networks;
- to reduce transport's emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, with the desired outcome of tackling climate change;
- to contribute to better safety, security and health and longer life expectancy by reducing the risk of death, injury or illness arising from transport, and by promoting travel modes that are beneficial to health;
- to promote greater equality of opportunity for all citizens, with the desired outcome of achieving a fairer society; and
- to improve quality of life for transport users and non-transport users, and to promote a healthy natural environment.

The LTP framework is critical to the successful delivery of this strategy and should reflect the five goals contained within DaSTS.

Securing the Future, Delivering the UK Sustainable Development Strategy (2005)

The national strategy for delivery of Sustainable Development was published by the UK Government in March 2005, 'Securing the Future, Delivering the UK Sustainable Development Strategy'. The strategy provides a set of shared UK guiding principles that the Government will use to achieve our sustainable

development purposes. The guiding principles bring together and build on the various previously existing UK sustainability principles to set out an overarching approach which will focus the basis for policy in the UK. These are identified below:

- living within environmental limits;
- ensuring a strong, healthy and just society;
- achieving a sustainable economy;
- promoting good governance; and
- using sound science responsibly.

The strategy also provides a set of 'shared priorities for UK action' which will also help to shape the way the UK works internationally in ensuring that the UK's objectives and activities are aligned with international goals. The shared priorities are set out below:

- sustainable consumption and production;
- climate change and energy;
- natural resource protection and environmental enhancement; and
- sustainable communities.

Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development (2005)

Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 1 'Delivering Sustainable Development' (2005) outlines the general principles under which the planning system operates following the introduction of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. It sets out an overview and general statement on the objectives of the planning system. PPS1 follows the Government's sustainable development themes of:

- social cohesion and inclusion;
- prudent use of natural resources;
- sustainable economic development; and
- integrating sustainable development plans.

PPS1 recognises the importance of reducing the need to travel and encouraging accessible public transport provision to secure more sustainable patterns of transport development; and ensuring that development makes the fullest use of public transport, focusing development in existing centres and near to major public transport interchanges.

Regional context

The North West of England Plan – Regional Spatial Strategy (2008)

Recent changes to the planning system were announced in May 2010 by the new coalition Government and on 6th July 2010 the new Secretary of State for Communities, Eric Pickles, announced the revocation of Regional Spatial Strategies (RSSs) with immediate effect. As such, RSS (in this case, the North West Plan, 2008) no longer forms part of the 'Development Plan' and the policies are no longer relevant in making planning decisions. Local planning authorities must still have regard to the 'Development Plan' in making planning decisions, however, this now consists of adopted DPDs, 'saved policies' and any old style plans that have not yet lapsed. The new coalition Government may issue further changes to the planning system over the coming months and as such it would be advisable to regularly monitor any changes that may be relevant to any future development proposals. It was decided to include the RSS within the SA/SEA because much of the LTP3 development has been influenced by policies within the RSS.

The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the North West of England 'The North West of England Plan' was published in September 2008. The RSS provides a framework for development and investment in the region over the next fifteen to twenty years. It establishes a broad vision for the region and its sub-regions, priorities for growth and regeneration, and policies to achieve sustainable development across a wide range of topics – from jobs, housing and transport to climate change, waste and energy. The RSS contains the Regional Transport Strategy (RTS).

RSS spatial policy DP6 is concerned with managing travel demand, reducing the need to travel and increasing accessibility.

The RTS embraces the spatial principles (DP1-9) and the regional and sub-regional spatial frameworks (policy RDF1) and sub regional policies. In particular it seeks to:

- maintain existing transport infrastructure in good order;
- improve journey time reliability, tackle congestion and overcrowding in the region's main transport corridors, particularly within and between City Regions;
- secure a shift towards the use of more sustainable modes of transport;
- secure safe and efficient access between residential areas and key destinations, including centres of employment, schools, shops and other services;
- improve surface access and interchange arrangements at the international, national and regional gateways;
- reduce the adverse impacts of transport, in terms of safety hazards, climate change, environmental degradation, residential amenity and social exclusion;
- integrate the management and planning of transport systems.

As stated in the RSS the Liverpool City Region Vision is to:

'*…regain our status as a premier European city region by 2025. We will secure an internationally competitive economy and cultural offer; and outstanding quality of life; and vibrant communities contributing to and sharing in sustainable wealth creation.*'

Furthermore, the RSS states that the *'Liverpool City Region is already established as an important driving force in the North of England's economy and as a strategic sea and air gateway to the European Union. The potential exists to expand the City Region's strategic economic and cultural assets, the strength of its knowledge industries and its transport connections'*. RSS aims to see it deliver its full potential by ensuring that policies:

- maximise the City Region's economic potential and promotes urban renaissance, social inclusion and environmental sustainability;
- stabilise population;
- recognise and promote the role of Liverpool as the core city and major economic driver for its City Region, whilst also recognising and utilising the assets and potential of other locations throughout the City Region, including those in rural areas;
- connect areas of economic opportunity to areas of greatest need, with a particular focus on those areas in need of economic, social and physical restructuring and regeneration.

Local context

Merseyside Second Local Transport Plan (LTP2) (2006)

The Merseyside LTP2 covers the period from 2006 to 2011. It is a statutory document, and sets out proposals for improving transport in Merseyside over the next five years within the context of the longer term strategy. The vision for LTP2 was:

"a fully integrated safe transport network for Merseyside which supports economic and social regeneration and ensures good access for all, and which is operated to the highest standards to protect the environment and ensure quality of life".

The long term strategy is to support the continuing economic development of Merseyside by managing for growth in travel demand to ensure the efficient movement of goods and people.

The LTP2 identified objectives to help achieve this vision:

- Provide the appropriate infrastructure to support social and economic growth and regeneration;
- Provide access for all to ensure an inclusive community;
- Manage demand to provide an efficient transport network;
- Support a healthier community by ensuring transport actively improves health, does not impair quality of life; and ensures the safety and security for all users;
- Protect and enhances the environment;
- Make best use of existing resources and strive to ensure value for money at all times.

Merseyside Local Authorities Sustainable Community Strategies

Environmental protection and sustainability is an important element of the local planning frameworks and the community strategies for all the Merseyside authorities. Table 4.2 below highlights the key sustainability objectives and themes from the community strategies.

Table 4.2: Community Strategy Objectives (Sustainability)

Community Strategy	Community Strategy Objectives and Themes
Liverpool Sustainable Community Strategy	• Increased sustainable wealth creation, jobs and businesses, particularly in the knowledge economy • Connecting Liverpool as an international gateway for goods, people and information • Improving public transport, reducing congestion and enhancing pedestrian movement • Cohesive open communities that value diversity • A dynamic third sector, efficient, effective and responsive local services with a cleaner greener environment
Sefton Sustainable Community Strategy	• Safe Communities - Improve the quality of the local environment • Prosperous Communities - Sustain business growth; Increase employment; Reduce waste • Strong Communities - Increase levels of social capital and local guardianship; Encourage all people to participate in local democracy and decision-making; Increase the level of volunteering and the growth of the voluntary and community sector; Build respect within communities
Knowsley Sustainable Community Strategy	• Attractive, sustainable neighbourhoods with a wide choice of housing and excellent community facilities • Vibrant and welcoming town centres • High quality employment areas which help to drive economic growth in the Liverpool City Region
St Helens Sustainable Community Strategy	• A diverse, modern economy, offering a wide range of job opportunities and releasing the productivity and economic potential of our most deprived local areas and their residents • Stronger, more inclusive communities with better opportunities for disadvantaged groups. A healthy, attractive and rich built and natural environment offering quality choices in transport, homes, leisure and sport facilities and a vibrant cultural life

Community Strategy	Community Strategy Objectives and Themes
Wirral Sustainable Community Strategy	• A strong local economy for Wirral • Safer, stronger communities in all parts of the borough • Excellent life chances for children and young people • A high quality living and working environment • Sustainable, appropriate housing for all

4.2 Baseline Conditions and Sustainability Issues

4.2.1 Baseline Conditions

Task A2 of the DfT Guidance (April 2009) is concerned with the collecting of baseline information. Baseline information provides the basis for predicting and monitoring effects and helps to identify sustainability problems and alternative ways of dealing with them in respect of national, regional and local targets and trends including those set out in the Local Area Agreement. Baseline has been collected for the LTP3 area for each of the SA/SEA objectives under specific indicators. The baseline is presented in Appendix C. It should be noted that baseline information may be applicable under more than one SA/SEA objective.

4.2.2 Evolution of the Baseline

The SEA Directive requires that 'the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the plan or programme are identified'. Prediction of future trends is difficult because they depend on a wide range of global, national and regional factors and decision-making. A 'Do Nothing' or 'Business as Usual' scenario has been assessed and the results presented in Table 4.3.

From an initial review of baseline it is likely that the following trends will continue:

- **Air quality** – it is likely that increased economic growth and development will lead to increased car use and congestion leading to localised air quality issues. National and local air quality targets and European Emission Standards for new cars should contribute to reducing this predicted increase;
- **Biodiversity** – it is likely that increased economic growth and development, and climate change effects will result in loss of habitats and species. Protection of designated areas should protected internationally and nationally important sites;
- **Climate change** – it is likely that climate change effects will continue including increased temperatures, gales, severe storms and flooding. It also likely that the number of renewable energy schemes and sites will continue to increase;
- **Cultural heritage** – heritage assets are likely to continue to be preserved through legislation. Development could put pressure on heritage assets and their setting;
- **Water resources** – increased economic growth is likely to cause an increase in run-off and potential contamination and disruption of flows for surface water and groundwater. The Water Framework Directive will help reduce this predicted effect on water quality. There is also likely to be an increase in demand for water;
- **Landscap**e – it is likely that continued development and changing farming practices will affect the countryside character;
- **Employment** – Economic growth and employment is likely to continue and the proportion of people of working age in employment is expected to continue to increase;

- **Education** - it is presumed that educational achievement would increase in line with that of the national average;
- **Crime** - it is likely that overall crime figures will continue to fall if current aspirations with respect of community are met;
- **Health** – obesity is a growing problem and is likely to continue. Active lifestyles and healthy eating campaigns will help reduce this trend;
- **Waste** – it is likely that current increases in recycling rates will continue.

Table 4.3: Evolution of the Baseline

Ref	Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Objectives	
1	To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	-
2	To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	0
3	To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	0
4	To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	0
5	To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	-
6	To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	0
7.	To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	0
8.	To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	-
9.	To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	0
10.	To improve health and reduce health inequalities	0
11.	To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	+
12.	To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	0
13.	To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	0
14.	To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	-
15.	To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	0
16.	To provide good quality, affordable and resource efficient housing	+

4.2.3 Key Issues

Task A3 in the DfT Guidance (April 2009) involves highlighting any key issues and concerns raised in the baseline data. Environmental 'problems' therefore signify any key findings within the SA/SEA indicators. Stage A3 also attempts to focus the SEA on local issues and streamline subsequent stages by highlighting specific objectives.

The key issues are presented with the baseline conditions in Appendix C. A summary of the key sustainability issues are identified in Table 4.4. They represent an outline of the possible transport related challenges and opportunities that the LTP3 and SA/SEA should consider addressing.

Table 4.4: Key Sustainability Issues

Topic	Sustainability Issue	Opportunity	Constraint
Resource Use, Energy, Greenhouse Gases	Transport and the demands it places on energy resources, as well as the pollutants the sector emits, are strongly linked to climate change. Global climate change is one of the most significant and complex cumulative effects arising from an accumulation of multiple actions, each of which is of limited impact but together will have serious effects. Per capita emissions for transport are highest in Knowsley (2.3t CO2) and lowest in Sefton (1.1t CO2) across Merseyside.	Reducing carbon emissions Use of renewable energy to power road signs, lighting, traffic lights etc Making the best use of existing transport infrastructure. Increase electric charging point network and infrastructure for low emission vehicles and fuels. Reducing the need to travel Shifting necessary travel to more sustainable modes (public rights of way and wider access network improvements) and behaviours, and locking in the benefits.	Climate change is a global issue. Difficulty in achieving significant modal shift.
Waste Management	Generally recycling rates in Merseyside are increasing. Transport can generate waste material through maintenance and construction or demolition of transport infrastructure.	Opportunity to use recycled material in transport infrastructure, and opportunity to re-use waste material in other developments.	Cost of treating contaminated waste/soils for re-use. Availability of appropriate recycled material for purpose.
Deprivation, Economic Inclusion	Merseyside has seen considerable improvements in the relative deprivation ranking when comparing the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2004 and 2007. However, the gap between the most and least deprived SOAs in Merseyside seems to be widening.	Potential to improve accessibility of deprived areas to key centres, services, employment opportunities and goods. Potential to increase investment into the area through an improved, more efficient and more reliable transport network. The LTP3 could promote improved access to employment centres and educational facilities. Opportunity to link new employment development to existing or new transport infrastructure and particularly to locate such economic development close to existing urban population centres in order to reduce transport, especially that by private car.	Congestion can reduce the efficiency and reliability of the transport network, hindering economic growth.
Cultural Heritage	Sensitivities and due legal regard with respect to accessing and potentially harming cultural, historical, built environment and archaeological assets will continue to be applied.	Contributing to the social, cultural and economic life of the area by promoting improved public access to historic assets. Opportunity to enhance historic character by reinforcing the identity and character of an area e.g. by clearing street clutter, street maintenance, and improving street paving or furniture.	Development can be restricted by heritage assets such as conservation areas, listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments and archaeology as inappropriate development which affects their setting is usually not permitted under planning.
Biodiversity	Overall, Merseyside has a rich and diverse range of habitats and species, which are important to biodiversity and connections between habitats. The majority of SSSIs are favourable although some sites need better	Potential exists to integrate sites of nature conservation into the LTP3. However, their protection should be borne in mind in any integration.	The LTP3 will be constrained by the existence of designated and non-designated nature

Topic	Sustainability Issue	Opportunity	Constraint
	management. All sites and connections between them need to be conserved. It is important for indirect pressures on biodiversity and habitats to be considered, such as fragmentation of habitats, impacts of recreational use and water usage and loss of non- designated wildlife and landscape areas. Other key issues include: impacts on the natural environment from transport and associated infrastructure; poor access to the natural environment; and car based visitor pressure affecting protected landscapes and sites of biodiversity value.	The LTP3 could also promote public access to nature conservation sites, where this does not conflict with the nature conservation interest of a site. Opportunity to use transport infrastructure to provide wildlife corridors, through, for instances, native wildflower verge and embankment planting. Maintaining and enhancing green infrastructure as part of the transport network for its wide ranging contribution to biodiversity; geo-diversity; accessible recreation and associated health benefits; adapting to climate change (e.g. carbon storage, drainage and water conservation). Protected sites becoming exemplars of sustainable transport.	conservation sites and the protection of these areas. Impact of implementing LTP3 measures on compensation designated habitat created in Merseyside.
Landscape	Merseyside has many important local landscapes and coastal landscape areas. Traffic infrastructure can affect the landscape through noise and visual intrusion.	Conserving and enhancing local landscape (and townscape) character and quality, and local distinctiveness (including reducing noise and light pollution. Maintaining and enhancing access to green and open spaces. Maintaining and improving the public rights of way and wider access network (through integration with and implementation of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan). More sustainable access in rural locations that provide benefits for residents as well as visitors.	Protecting the tranquillity and openness of the countryside.
Water Resources	Road traffic management potentially has a significant role to play in water quality because of the amount of pollutants cumulatively entering the water system via surface discharges.	Potential to improve and promote public access to the River Mersey and riverside routes. Opportunity to further improve existing ferry crossings and use of the River Mersey for transportation. Location of transport infrastructure to avoid flood risk areas.	LTP3 constrained by the presence of nature conservation designations within and around the River Mersey. Existing developments on flood risk areas still need transportation links
Air Quality	Transport emissions are a major contributor to air pollution at both the national and the local level. There are currently six AQMAs in the Merseyside. The total number of 'air pollution days' in Merseyside has been tracked since 1997. The latest information shows there were 30 days in 2007 compared to 43 in 2006 and 25 in 2005. Estimated traffic flows for all Motor Vehicles have been increasing since 1994 but appear to be levelling off in most districts	Potential to help reduce air pollution through promotion of sustainable transport modes, park and ride sites, and deterrents to using the private car. Opportunity to reduce freight movements and encourage alternative fuels and modes as freight is a significant contributor to air quality problems in certain areas. Opportunity to encourage strategic freight networks to tackle congestion and increase capacity.	Difficulty in changing people's behaviour to use sustainable transport modes rather than the private car to create modal shift.

Topic	Sustainability Issue	Opportunity	Constraint
	during the two years to 2008.		
Environmental Quality	Transport is strongly linked to the local environmental quality by its impact on noise levels and traffic intrusion. According to the Hepworth report 'Ambient Noise on Merseyside', road traffic, followed by neighbours, aircraft/airports and construction/renovation noise featured in the top four sources of noise nuisance. However, neighbours and other entertainment/leisure are the main sources cited.	Opportunity to include innovative noise screening and barriers as part of transport infrastructure. Encourage use of quieter transport modes such as walking, cycling and electric vehicles. Locate strategic and primary road routes away from villages. Ensure HGV's use strategic road networks.	Roads need to be located near to residential properties for access.
Health	Some transport impacts on health are better known and more direct than others, e.g. road traffic accidents or annoyance from traffic noise. Evidence of the direct effects of air pollution on mortality and respiratory diseases have also emerged in recent years. Children, the elderly, and those with pre-existing respiratory and cardiac conditions are the most susceptible to the health impacts of transport. Also car use (as a driver or as a passenger) is strongly associated with a sedentary lifestyle which is viewed as one of the most important risk factors for early mortality in western populations.	The LTP3 provides a good opportunity to encourage healthy and active lifestyles through investment in cycle and pedestrian routes and facilities and public transport. Aiming to encourage modal shift and reduce reliance on cars, this may have other health benefits in terms of air quality.	Difficulty in changing people's behaviour and getting modal shift from car to non-car modes of transport.
Safety, Crime	Transport is an important contributor to the objective of improving safety and reducing crime and disorder at the national and local level. The risk people are exposed to varies from place to place and with mode of travel, (for example young pedestrians are particularly vulnerable). Transport's links with safety are strongly associated with traffic accidents. Transport and crime are strongly linked by issues such as car related crimes, safe parking and crime on public transport. Numbers of people killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents have fallen across Merseyside from 781 in 2003 to 545 in 2007. By 2007, rates in all LADs except Wirral were better than the regional and national averages with St. Helens and Sefton sharing the lowest rates per 1,000 population.	Potential to improve transport related crime and anti-social behaviour through improved safety and security measures. Potential to further increase road safety through road safety awareness campaigns and road safety measures.	Perception of crime in more deprived areas and town centres maybe difficult to change, even with increased measures.
Accessibility	Transport is clearly linked with accessibility issues at the national and local level. For example, 89% of British households have a bus stop within a six-minute walk. It is also important to understand how much travel an individual should be prepared to undertake in order to access a service e.g. work. Given the current distribution of opportunities, some people need both the access to services and also to accept the need to travel more if they are to be	Opportunity to increase accessibility via sustainable transport modes from residential areas to town centres and other key areas of employment, services and goods.	Cost of public transport for deprived areas, there needs to be concessions built into public transport ticketing, and bike hire schemes.

Topic	Sustainability Issue	Opportunity	Constraint
	economically included. Accessibility to local goods, services and amenities is strongly linked to transport especially in areas where community severance exists.		
Sustainable Transport	To reduce the need to travel, and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes is an important national issue. It is now widely recognised that many urban areas cannot provide the road space in response to traffic growth projects. Demand management or the reduction of the need to travel is now widely accepted. Transport plays a central role in reducing the need to travel and improving the choice and use of more sustainable transport modes. The most common purposes for trips are work, shopping and social/recreation. Driving a car and walking have continually been the most common mode of transport for trips over the years of the surveys (Countywide travel surveys from 1987-88). Walking is the most common mode of transport to school, decreasing only slightly since the 2006/07 baseline. Bus patronage has decreased in all metropolitan areas since 2001/02 except in Greater Manchester and also in London. Conversely, rail patronage has increased since the 1995/96 baseline in terms of millions of passenger trips per year (although volumes are lower than they are for bus).	The LTP3 has the potential to make a large beneficial contribution to reducing congestion through improvements to public transport, cycle and walking routes. Promoting rail and water transportation for freight. Introducing deterrents to using the private car such as increased car parking fees in town centres. Travel planning and initiatives for schools, workplaces and individuals could be investigated.	Changing behaviour to get modal shift away from the private car.
Climate Change	Climate change effects such as increased temperatures, gales, snow and other severe weather conditions could have effects on the transport network. Flood risk is a continued risk to particular areas and a constraint to be considered for new transport infrastructure. Carbon emissions from transport.	Reducing carbon emissions. Making the best use of existing transport infrastructure. Increase electric charging point network and infrastructure for low emission vehicles and fuels. Making use of green infrastructure associated with transport networks for climate change adaptation e.g. carbon storage, sustainable drainage, energy generation and water conservation. Reducing the need to travel. Shifting necessary travel to more sustainable modes (public rights of way and wider access network improvements) and behaviours, and locking in the benefits.	Climate change is a global issue. Cost involved in climate proofing transport infrastructure. Difficulty in achieving significant modal shift.
Land, Soil	There are no direct links between transport and soil management at the local level. However, the location and extent of (potentially) contaminated land, and the proportion of development on previously used land, have prospective implications regarding any new transport-related works.	Upgrading of existing transport infrastructure in preference to new infrastructure. Potential to remediate contaminated land as part of transport infrastructure works.	
Housing	There are few direct links between transport	Link planned new housing	

Topic	Sustainability Issue	Opportunity	Constraint
	and the provision of good quality affordable and resource efficient housing. The location of housing in relation to provision of public transport, and the level of car parking provided with housing units, can help contribute towards use of more resource efficient modes of transport.	developments with new or existing transport infrastructure, especially public transport, and cycle and pedestrian routes.	

4.3 Developing the SA/SEA Framework

4.3.1 Developing SA/SEA Objectives

A key stage in the appraisal process is the development of a range of SA/SEA objectives against which the effects of implementing the LTP3 can be assessed. SA/SEA objectives had been previously developed by the Merseyside Transport Partnership for use on the LTP2. Mott MacDonald has reviewed these objectives and will take them forward into the LTP3 to provide consistency. The objective on climate change has now been split into two objectives. One on climate change mitigation and one on climate change adaptation. The objective was split to align with current Government guidance on transport including 'Delivering a Sustainable Transport System' (DaSTS).

The paragraphs below show how the original LTP SA/SEA objectives were developed.

In response to the implementation of the SEA Directive in the UK, a task group of officers from each of the Merseyside local authorities, the Environmental Advisory Service (EAS) and Government Office was established to consider the implementation of SEA across Merseyside. One of the actions that was agreed would be valuable was to identify a common set of environmental objectives that could be used across Merseyside as a starting point for all SEAs. This was subsequently expanded to encompass additional objectives to make it suitable for full sustainability appraisal (SA).

The existing environmental objectives in the Action for Sustainability (AfS) Integrated Appraisal Toolkit, the national sustainable development strategy objectives and local UDP and community strategy objectives were all used to inform the process. An indicative list of objectives had already been developed for the SEA of the LTP and this list formed the starting point for the development of an agreed set of Merseyside SA/SEA objectives. The proposed Merseyside SA/SEA objectives were adopted for the SEA of the LTP.

The Merseyside SEA objectives were intended to be a generic set of objectives applicable to the SEA of any plan or programme, so it is inevitable that some of the objectives will be more relevant and applicable than others. As part of the scoping process it was decided to scope out SA/SEA objective 16 on housing. This was because it was not considered relevant for the LTP3. Accessibility of housing development to key centres and services was considered to be important but this was covered under SA/SEA objective 12 on accessibility. Table 4.5 presents the LTP3 SA/SEA objectives in the context of the SEA Directive topics, and NATA sub-objectives.

Table 4.5: SA/SEA Objectives

Ref	LTP3 SA/SEA Objectives	SEA Topic (Directive, Annex If)	NATA Sub-Objective
1	To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Climatic Factors Material Assets	Greenhouse gases
2	To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	Soil Material Assets	-
3	To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	Population Human Health	Community severance Public accounts Business users and providers Consumer users

Ref	LTP3 SA/SEA Objectives	SEA Topic (Directive, Annex If)	NATA Sub-Objective
4	To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	Cultural Heritage (including architectural and archaeological heritage)	Heritage Townscape
5	To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	Biodiversity Flora Fauna	Biodiversity
6	To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	Landscape	Landscape Townscape
7	To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	Water	Water environment
8	To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	Air Human Health	Local air quality
9	To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	Population Human Health	Noise
10	To improve health and reduce health inequalities	Human Health	Physical fitness Accidents
11	To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	Population Human Health	Accidents Security
12	To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	Population Material Assets	Community severance Access to the transport system
13	To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	Population Air Materials Assets	Physical fitness Access to the transport system
14	To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	Water Climatic Factors	Greenhouse gases
15	To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geodiversity	Soil	Landscape
16	To provide good quality, affordable and resource efficient housing	Material Assets	-

4.3.2 Developing SA/SEA Indicators

The second part of developing the SA/SEA framework is to develop indicators for each objective (Table 4.6). This helps determine the criteria for each objective and allows the baseline to be more focused.

The indicators will be used as the basis for monitoring proposals to monitor the implementation of the LTP3, but they may need to be more tailored to the LTP3 effects. Monitoring proposals and specific indicators chosen will depend on the results of the assessment. Monitoring should be focused where negative effects are identified.

Table 4.6: SA/SEA Indicators

LTP3 SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce	Quantity of electricity generated from renewable sources
	Proportion (%) of electricity generated from renewable sources UK

LTP3 SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators
greenhouse gas emissions	Number of existing renewable energy schemes (by type)
	Renewable Energy Potential (by type)
	Estimated greenhouse gas emissions by sector
	Amount of secondary/recycled aggregates used
	Per capita reduction in CO_2 emissions
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	Total annual volume of waste generated, Municipal waste arisings
	Proportion of waste recycled/disposed by method of disposal
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	Indices of deprivation ranking
	Percentage of working age population unemployed
	Percentage of population (or numbers) receiving state benefits
4. To protect, enhance and manage the Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	Merseyside Heritage Assets at Risk
	Listed Heritage Assets in Merseyside
	Number of listed buildings and percentage on English Heritage's Buildings at Risk Register - BAR
	Number and total area of conservation areas
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	Number and total area of internationally and nationally designated nature conservation & geologically important sites and reported condition
	Reported levels of damage to designated sites
	Progress against Biodiversity Action Plan targets
	Number of Locally Designated Sites
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	Total area of publicly accessible open land/green space and Total area of publicly accessible urban green space
	Extent of Green Belt and areas of designated landscape value/importance
	Total area of woodland/extent of tree cover
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	Water quality (chemical & biological) classification of rivers, canals, estuaries and coastal waters, Bathing water quality
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	Background pollutant concentrations
	Number of 'air pollution days'
	Annual quantity of emissions by sector
	Number and total area of Air Quality Management Areas and population living in AQMAs
	Number of significant 'point sources' – Part A processes
	Traffic volumes (annual average daily and peak hour) on main roads
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	Number of people reporting disturbance due to environmental noise
	Percentage of population exposed to noise levels above acceptable thresholds (to be derived from DEFRA noise mapping).
	Extent of (designated) tranquil areas
	% of people who agree that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together
	% of people who are satisfied with their local areas as a place to live
	% people ages 65 and over who are satisfied with both home and neighbourhood
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	Coronary Heart Disease (CHD)
	Model-Based Estimates of Current Smoking for LADs in England
	Estimates of Obesity and of overweight children

LTP3 SA/SEA Objectives	Indicators
	Years of healthy life expectancy (NI 137 - healthy life expectancy age 65)
	Mortality (standardised mortality ratios) by main cause
	% people who think that drug use or drug dealing is a problem in their local area
	% people who say their health is good or very good
	% adult participation in sport and active recreation
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	Numbers of people killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents
	Numbers of children killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents
	Recorded crime per 1,000 population
	Number of people reporting fear of crime
	% people who think that anti-social behaviour is a problem in their local area
	% people who agree that the police and other public services are successfully dealing with anti-social behaviour and crime in their local area
	% people who agree that the police and other local public services seek people's views about anti-social behaviour and crime in their local area
	% people who think that drunk and rowdy behaviour is a problem in their local area
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	Travel time to key services by public transport/walk
	Transport accessibility and mobility – Connectivity Score
	Access for disabled people to goods, services and amenities
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	Trends in public transport fares, motoring costs and the retail price index
	Average commercial peak bus fare per mile and average commercial off-peak bus fare per mile (in pence)
	Personal Travel – distances, purposes and modes
	Travel to school, work and shops by mode
	Bus and Rail patronage
	Quality of Bus Fleet (age/engine standard
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	Extent of flood risk areas – riverine and coastal
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geodiversity	Agricultural land quality classification
	Location and extent of (potentially) contaminated land - PCL
	Proportion of development on previously used land

5. Compatibility of LTP3 and SA/SEA Objectives

5.1 Testing the LTP3 Objectives against the SA/SEA Objectives

Table 5.1 shows the compatibility of the Merseyside LTP3 principles, which underpin the LTP3 Strategy, and the SA/SEA objectives.

Table 5.1: Compatibility of LTP3 and SA/SEA Objectives

SA/SEA Objectives	LTP3 Principles								
	Policy Focus				Delivery Focus				
	Ensure maintenance of core assets – maintain and make best use of existing resources, and plan for a system resilient to changing weather patterns	Support growth and carbon reduction – target available resources to support city region priorities and plan for a less oil dependent transport system	Safe and inclusive – ensuring equality of travel opportunity, addressing disadvantage, health inequalities with a continuing commitment to reducing road traffic accidents	Promote health and well being – focus on the promotion of public transport, and active modes in particular, to increase levels of cycle and walking in order to promote physical and mental health and reduce carbon emissions	Making maximum use of technological improvement – using Intelligent Transport Systems and smartcards to make existing provision work better and encouraging green technology	Smarter choices – promote sustainability and support behaviour change linked to a programme of targeted improvements that improve the attractiveness, safety, and marketability of the walking, cycling and public transport networks, in particular	Collaboration and co-operation – working with planners and developers to improve existing assets and reduce reliance on transport capital solutions	Address multiple objectives – with key partners and stakeholders to assist more innovative and clever use of available resources including pooling and sharing	Maximise funding opportunities – work with the private sector, operators and other agencies to achieve our ambitions
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	✓						✓	✓	
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓

SA/SEA Objectives	LTP3 Principles								
	Policy Focus				Delivery Focus				
	Ensure maintenance of core assets – maintain and make best use of existing resources, and plan for a system resilient to changing weather patterns	Support growth and carbon reduction – target available resources to support city region priorities and plan for a less oil dependent transport system	Safe and inclusive – ensuring equality of travel opportunity, addressing disadvantage, health inequalities with a continuing commitment to reducing road traffic accidents	Promote health and well being – focus on the promotion of public transport, and active modes in particular, to increase levels of cycle and walking in order to promote physical and mental health and reduce carbon emissions	Making maximum use of technological improvement – using Intelligent Transport Systems and smartcards to make existing provision work better and encouraging green technology	Smarter choices – promote sustainability and support behaviour change linked to a programme of targeted improvements that improve the attractiveness, safety, and marketability of the walking, cycling and public transport networks, in particular	Collaboration and co-operation – working with planners and developers to improve existing assets and reduce reliance on transport capital solutions	Address multiple objectives – with key partners and stakeholders to assist more innovative and clever use of available resources including pooling and sharing	Maximise funding opportunities – work with the private sector, operators and other agencies to achieve our ambitions
secure economic inclusion									
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	✓					✓			
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓		
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	✓			✓		✓	✓		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	✓	✓		✓		✓			
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality		✓		✓		✓			
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)						✓	✓		
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities			✓	✓		✓			
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime			✓			✓			

SA/SEA Objectives	LTP3 Principles								
	Policy Focus				Delivery Focus				
	Ensure maintenance of core assets – maintain and make best use of existing resources, and plan for a system resilient to changing weather patterns	Support growth and carbon reduction – target available resources to support city region priorities and plan for a less oil dependent transport system	Safe and inclusive – ensuring equality of travel opportunity, addressing disadvantage, health inequalities with a continuing commitment to reducing road traffic accidents	Promote health and well being – focus on the promotion of public transport, and active modes in particular, to increase levels of cycle and walking in order to promote physical and mental health and reduce carbon emissions	Making maximum use of technological improvement – using Intelligent Transport Systems and smartcards to make existing provision work better and encouraging green technology	Smarter choices – promote sustainability and support behaviour change linked to a programme of targeted improvements that improve the attractiveness, safety, and marketability of the walking, cycling and public transport networks, in particular	Collaboration and co-operation – working with planners and developers to improve existing assets and reduce reliance on transport capital solutions	Address multiple objectives – with key partners and stakeholders to assist more innovative and clever use of available resources including pooling and sharing	Maximise funding opportunities – work with the private sector, operators and other agencies to achieve our ambitions
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	✓						✓	✓	

5.2 Compatibility Summary

In general the SA/SEA objective and LTP3 principles support each other. There is unlikely to be any conflict between objectives. LTP3 principles on carbon reduction, green technology, smarter choices and sustainable transport modes will support SA/SEA objectives on climate change, air quality, biodiversity, environmental quality, sustainable transport and health. LTP3 principles on safety, health and well being and smart choices will support SA/SEA objectives on health, deprivation, safety, and accessibility. Maximising use of existing assets will support SA/SEA objectives on resource use and land and soil.

6. Development and Appraisal of LTP3 Strategic Options

6.1 Development of LTP3 Preferred Strategy

Development of the preferred strategy for the Merseyside LTP3 has taken place over a number of years through a range of stages and consultation workshops which are explained below.

- Stage One – Clarify Goals – Based on the DaSTS goals and other regional and local priorities, the local goals for the Merseyside LTP3 were developed and consulted on.
- Stage Two – Specify Problems/Challenges – An evidence base report was prepared highlighting key emerging challenges and opportunities for Merseyside and the LTP3. A workshop was undertaken to categorise and prioritise the list of challenges and opportunities.
  Stage Three – Generate Options – A package of options were developed to deliver the LTP3 goals in the context of the identified challenges and opportunities. Four different future scenarios were used to develop the strategy. Each option had a slightly different focus on the components and interventions that made up the option. Full details of the components under each option are presented in Annexe Three of the LTP3. The four options were:
  - **Low funding scenario** – represents a package with a substantially constrained budget;
  - **Strengthened low-carbon agenda** – provides for an accelerated policy response to tackle CO_2 emissions;
  - **Concessions to motorists' agenda** – provides for a policy environment where the role and importance o the private vehicle is protected. However, this is interpreted as a move away from 'stick' measures that actively penalise motorists, but continues to invest in 'carrots' to improve the alternatives;
  - **Strong economic recovery scenario** – assumes a strong economic recovery is in progress driving accelerated local regeneration and associated increases in funding available to the transport sector.
- Stage Four – Strategy Appraisal – Each scenario was modelled to test variants of the strategy. A workshop was undertaken to determine which of the four scenarios the preferred strategy should be based on. The general consensus was that the strategy should be placed towards low funding and low carbon in the short term, with a move towards economic recovery in the medium term.
- Stage Five – Strategy Selection – Following the workshop, elements from the four scenarios were combined as per the workshop consensus in order to develop a Preferred Strategy.
- Stage Six – Preferred Strategy – Details of the Preferred Strategy were refined.

6.2 Appraisal of LTP3 Strategic Options

The four strategy options were appraised against the SA/SEA objectives to determine their sustainability performance. Table 6.1 summarises the results of the options appraisal. The 'Do Nothing' option has been previously appraised in the 'Evolution of the Baseline' section in this report. Due to the subtle differences between the options the following key was used to differentiate between the significance of positive and negative effects.

Key

+++	Significant positive effect
++	Moderate positive effect
+	Marginal positive effect
0	Neutral or no effect
-	Marginal negative effect
--	Moderate negative effect
---	Significant negative effect
D	Effect depends on implementation

Table 6.1: Appraisal of LTP3 Options against SA/SEA Objectives

SA/SEA Objective (Topic)	LTP3 Strategy Options			
	Low funding	Strengthened low-carbon	Concessions to motorists	Strong economic recovery
1. Resource use, Renewable energy, GHG emissions	0	+	0	0
2. Waste	D	D	D	D
3. Poverty, Economic inclusion	+	+++	+	+++
4. Heritage assets	D	D	D	D
5. Biodiversity	D	D	D	D
6. Landscape	D	D	D	D
7. Water Quality	D	D	D	D
8. Air Quality	+	+++	0	++
9. Environmental Quality	+	++	0	++
10. Health	+	+++	+	++
11. Crime, Safety	+	++	0	++
12. Accessibility	+	+++	+	+++
13. Sustainable Transport	+	+++	+	++
14. Climate change	+	+++	0	++
15. Land, Soil	-	--	--	--

Low funding scenario

The 'Low funding scenario' option is likely to support most of the SA/SEA objectives. This option includes a reduced package of interventions to improve cycle, pedestrian, rail and bus networks; smarter choices training; public transport fares; increase parking charges. This is likely to have marginal positive effects on economic inclusion, air quality, environmental quality, health, safety, accessibility, sustainable transport and climate change. There may be a marginal negative effect on land and soil because improvements are proposed which are likely to involve some landtake. Effects on heritage assets, biodiversity, landscape and water quality will depend on the detail, location and implementation of interventions. A 'D' has been recorded in the appraisal under the SA/SEA objective on waste. This is because waste may be generated as a result of components and interventions set out under the option, but following current Council best practice re-use and recycling of materials would be undertaken.

Strengthened low-carbon agenda

The 'Strengthened low-carbon agenda' option is likely to significantly support most of the SA/SEA objectives. This option includes a range of rail, bus, cycle and pedestrian enhancements; green vehicle infrastructure; flexible working; park and ride; increased parking charges; public transport fares and smarter choices training. This is likely to have significant positive effects on economic inclusion, air quality, health, accessibility, sustainable transport and climate change. There is also likely to be moderate positive effects on environmental quality and safety. There may be a moderate negative effect on land and soil because road, rail, bus, cycle and pedestrian improvements are proposed which are likely to involve landtake. Effects on heritage assets, biodiversity, landscape and water quality will depend on the detail, location and

implementation of interventions. A 'D' has been recorded in the appraisal under the SA/SEA objective on waste. This is because waste may be generated as a result of components and interventions set out under the option, but following current Council best practice re-use and recycling of materials would be undertaken.

Concessions to motorists' agenda

The 'Concessions to motorists' option is likely to have an overall neutral or marginal positive effect against the SA/SEA objectives. This option does include rail and cycle enhancements, and smarter choices training. However, its main focus is on highways and car parking. There is likely to be marginal positive effects on economic inclusion, health, accessibility and sustainable transport through rail, cycle and smarter choices interventions. Increasing parking availability in centres and relaxing parking allowances for out of town developments may encourage private vehicle use, reducing the benefits of the other interventions. Therefore, several of the SA/SEA objectives have been recorded as neutral. There may be a moderate negative effect on land and soil because road, rail, and cycle improvements are proposed which are likely to involve landtake. Effects on heritage assets, biodiversity, landscape and water quality will depend on the detail, location and implementation of interventions. A 'D' has been recorded in the appraisal under the SA/SEA objective on waste. This is because waste may be generated as a result of components and interventions set out under the option, but following current Council best practice re-use and recycling of materials would be undertaken.

Strong economic recovery scenario

The 'Strong economic recovery scenario' option is likely to support most of the SA/SEA objectives. This option includes a range of rail, bus and pedestrian enhancements; highway maintenance and freight infrastructure improvements; park and ride provision; public transport fares and smarter choices training. This is likely to have significant positive effects on economic inclusion and accessibility. The focus on public transport and pedestrian enhancements is likely to have moderate positive effects on air quality, environmental quality, health, safety, sustainable transport and climate change. Highway maintenance and freight network improvements will also have positive effects but they take some of the focus away from more sustainable modes of transport. There may be a moderate negative effect on land and soil because road, rail, bus and cycle improvements are proposed which are likely to involve landtake. Effects on heritage assets, biodiversity, landscape and water quality will depend on the detail, location and implementation of interventions. A 'D' has been recorded in the appraisal under the SA/SEA objective on waste. This is because waste may be generated as a result of components and interventions set out under the option, but following current Council best practice re-use and recycling of materials would be undertaken.

7. Appraisal of LTP3 Strategy

7.1 Assessment Workshop

The provisional LTP3 strategy was appraised against the sustainability framework by determining the level of sustainability performance of the LTP3 in support of each of the framework objectives. It should be noted that the assessment was a high level, strategic evaluation of implementing policy. The appraisal took place in the form of a workshop with specialists from Mott MacDonald and Merseyside Transport Partnership to ensure a robust assessment with valuable, multi-discipline input.

The methodology used for the appraisal in the workshop was based on the DfT's Tag Unit 2.11D guidance 'Draft: Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes' and Merseytravel's own methodology, as adopted for the SEA of Merseyside's LTP2. As well as predicting and evaluating the effects of the provisional LTP3 strategy, it also focused on identifying sustainability opportunities/mitigation measures. To assess an objective a group discussion took place to gain views and opinions on effects. A consensus of opinion was then reached as to the predicted effects and the specialist in that area gave their expert views.

During the workshop the six core goals and actions/interventions (described in Appendix D) were assessed in support of each of the fifteen SA/SEA objectives. For each objective a score (where possible or appropriate) and record of decision was recorded in an appraisal matrix. In making the evaluation it was assumed that no mitigation measures would be adopted. Where appropriate, mitigation measures were recommended and recorded during the workshop; and are discussed in Section 8 of this report.

Prediction and evaluation of effects was undertaken based on three criteria:

- Interaction;
- Magnitude; and
- Importance.

Interaction

Predictions of effects were undertaken using an interaction matrix as outlined below. Where an interaction was identified commentary was provided to describe the nature of the interaction and how it would affect the SA/SEA objective.

SA/SEA Objectives	LTP3 Goal		
	LTP3 Action/Intervention Topic	*LTP3 Action/Intervention Topic*	*LTP3 Action/Intervention Topic*
Objective 1			
Objective 2			
Objective 3			

Key

+	Potential positive interaction
0	Neutral or no interaction
-	Potential negative interaction
D	Dependent upon implementation

Magnitude

Having identified the effects of the LTP3, an assessment of the significance of these effects was then conducted. For each potential interaction identified in the interaction matrix, an evaluation of predicted impact magnitude was undertaken using the following criteria:

Magnitude	Description
Negligible	No measurable effect on the baseline. Effects would be one or more of the following: possible community/local, short-term, temporary or indirect
Minor	Slight measurable change in the baseline. Effects would be one or more of the following: likely community/local, short term, temporary, direct or indirect
Moderate	Measurable change in the baseline. Effects would be one or more of the following: definite, local borough, medium term, semi permanent or temporary, direct or indirect or reversible
Major	Substantial measurable change in the baseline. Effects would be one or more of the following: definite, borough/regional/national/European, long term, permanent, direct or irreversible

Importance

For each potential interaction identified an evaluation of the sustainability value of the indicators affected was undertaken. The valuation was based on the statutory importance, sensitivity to change, vulnerability, degree of influence on health, quality of life and quality of the local environment. Information from the baseline study was used to inform the evaluation. Importance was measured using the following criteria:

Importance	Description
High	No statutory recognition/designations, not sensitive to change, not vulnerable, minor influence on human health, quality of life and/or local environment
Medium	Local recognition/designations, sensitive to change, has moderate effects on human health, quality of life and/or local environment
Low	International, national, regional statutory recognition/designation, highly sensitive to change, vulnerable, has major effect on human health, quality or life and/or local environment

Significance

For each potential interaction the significance was determined using the following criteria:

Importance	Magnitude			
	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major
Low	Not Significant	Not Significant	Not Significant	Significant
Medium	Not Significant	Not Significant	Significant	Highly Significant
High	Not Significant	Significant	Highly Significant	Highly Significant

7.2 Appraisal Results

The full appraisal results matrices (including magnitude, importance and significance assessments) are presented in Appendix D. Tables 7.1 to 7.6 provide a summary of the appraisal results for each of the six LTP3 goals and associated actions/interventions. A cumulative assessment for each LTP3 goal as a whole has also been assessed.

7.2.1 LTP3 Goal One Appraisal

Table 7.1: LTP3 Goal 1 Summary Appraisal

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships
1. Resource Use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	+
2. Waste	+
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	+
4. Heritage Assets	+
5. Biodiversity	+
6. Landscape	+
7. Water Quality	+
8. Air Quality	0
9. Environmental Quality	0
10. Health	+
11. Crime, Safety	D
12. Accessibility	+
13. Sustainable Transport	+
14. Climate Change	+
15. Land, Soil	+

7.2.2 LTP3 Goal One Summary

Goal One generally supports the SA/SEA objectives. The goal is mainly about partnerships and collaborative working. Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and strategic priorities such as a low carbon economy, sustainable waste management, improved water quality, and an integrated and fully accessible transport network. This would have positive effects on climate change, water quality, accessibility, sustainable transport, and waste. Partnership and collaborative working may also have social and health benefits through creating a joint approach between land use planning and transport integration. For example, linking deprived areas with new employment sites through good public transport. Wider engagement with residents will allow key local issues facing communities to be addressed and may encourage social cohesion. A full, detailed record of decision describing the effects of LTP3 Goal One is presented in Appendix D.

7.2.3 LTP3 Goal Two Appraisal

Table 7.2: LTP3 Goal 2 Summary Appraisal

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system						
	1.Traffic	2.Modal Shift	3.Public Transport	4. Fleet Vehicles	5. Freight Traffic	6. Land-Use Planning	7. Network Maintenance & Management
1. Resource Use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	+	+	+	+	D	+	+
2. Waste	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system						
	1.Traffic	2.Modal Shift	3.Public Transport	4. Fleet Vehicles	5. Freight Traffic	6. Land-Use Planning	7. Network Maintenance & Management
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	0	+	+	0	0	0	0
4. Heritage Assets	0	D	0	0	-	+	+
5. Biodiversity	D	D	D	0	+ D	+	+
6. Landscape	D	D	D	0	+	+	+
7. Water Quality	0	D	0	0	0	+	+
8. Air Quality	+	+	+	+	+	+	0
9. Environmental Quality	D	+	+	+	+	+	+
10. Health	+	+	+	0	+	+	+
11. Crime, Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
12. Accessibility	D	+	D	+	0	+	+
13. Sustainable Transport	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
14. Climate Change	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15. Land, Soil	0	D	0	0	-	+	+

7.2.4 LTP3 Goal Two Summary

Goal two was split into seven sub-topics. The level of support for the SA/SEA objectives varied according to the sub-topic being assessed.

Traffic

The traffic sub-topic focused on delivering the infrastructure to support electric and low emission vehicles and fuels. It was considered that this may have positive effects on climate change, air quality and health. Several of the SA/SEA objectives were recorded as either having no interaction/neutral effect or the effect depended on implementation. For example the contribution to the local environment depends on the design and placement of the infrastructure. Electric vehicles are likely to be cleaner and quieter and therefore contribute to a more pleasant environment. The provision of a charging point network and infrastructure for low emission vehicles and fuels could have a positive effect on local accessibility if charging points are located where there are local services and amenities. The promotion of electric and low emission vehicles will promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport. However, this measure will not reduce the need to travel and may still encourage the use of private, single occupancy modes.

Modal shift

The modal shift sub-topic focused on increasing smarter choices, behavioural change programmes and marketing to encourage modal shift as well as ensuring infrastructure is in place to support higher levels of cycling, walking and public transport use. This was considered likely to have positive effects on climate change, deprivation, air quality, environmental quality, health, accessibility and sustainable transport. The successful implementation of Smarter Choices and behavioural change programmes was considered to require infrastructure improvement. Such infrastructure improvements, if implemented have the potential to negatively impact landscape, biodiversity and cultural heritage. However, infrastructure improvements and

public transport information provision may help improve access to the landscape, nature conservation sites, and cultural heritage assets.

Public transport
The public transport sub-topic focused on a range of public transport actions including partnerships with bus operators, use of low emission vehicles and alternatives fuels, smart ticketing, promotion of public transport, and funding for Merseytram Line 1. It was considered that these actions may have positive effects on climate change, sustainable transport, health, environmental quality, air quality and deprivation. Improvements to bus services could help to increase access to local services, if appropriately targeted at communities where accessibility is currently low.

Fleet vehicles
The fleet vehicles sub-topic is focused on working with bus, taxi and freight fleet operators to improve environmental performance, and investigating offset contributions from developers to fund low emissions infrastructure and vehicles. The majority of SA/SEA objectives were considered to have no interaction/neutral effect under this sub-topic. Positive effects may include climate change, sustainable transport, accessibility, environmental quality and air quality.

Freight traffic
The freight sub-topic focussed on working through Freight Quality Partnership to improve environmental performance, uptake of low emission vehicles through procurement policy, use of alternative fuels, and feasibility of consolidation centres. It was considered that the development of consolidation centres is likely to negatively affect cultural heritage as it may involve landtake and affect the setting of the landscape or of listed buildings. Procurement policies to support the uptake of low emission vehicles were considered to positively contribute to the development of a low carbon transport system, having positive benefits for air quality, climate change and health. A reduction in HGV traffic is likely to improve local environmental quality. A reduction in volume and frequency of large vehicles can help the urban and rural streetscape appear more attractive and safer to other road users. HGVs are also associated with air and noise pollution, especially in urban areas and this influences people's perceptions of their local environment.

Land use planning
The land use planning sub-topic focused on engaging with planners to consider sustainable transport and design including greening of routes, greater enforcement of existing sustainable transport commitments made by developers, and include low emission strategy principles within planning documents. Measures to integrate sustainable transport planning and design and Low Emission Strategy principles into the planning process would produce positive outcomes for the majority of the SA/SEA objectives. Actions were considered to have potential to produce substantial measurable changes in emissions, and provide the opportunity to integrate climate change adaptation measures into design. However, stakeholders identified that such measures needed to be integrated into national, as well as local and regional planning policy. It was also considered that sustainable transport commitments made by developers may ensure that deprived social groups have better access to services, especially where there is affordable housing

Network maintenance and management
The network maintenance and management sub-topic includes a range of actions including ensuring new transport projects take account of future climatic conditions, joined up working between transport and health sectors, consider options to reduce noise from transport, and provision for cycling and walking is embedded as an essential requirement. These actions may have positive effects for many of the SA/SEA objectives including cultural heritage, biodiversity, landscape, water quality, environmental quality, health, accessibility, sustainable transport and climate change.

A full, detailed record of decision describing the effects of LTP3 Goal Two is presented in Appendix D.

7.2.5 LTP3 Goal Three Appraisal

Table 7.3: LTP3 Goal 3 Summary Appraisal

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being				
	1. Cycling and Walking		2. Road Safety		3. Health/Equality
1. Resource Use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	+		-		0
2. Waste	0		0		0
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	+		+		D
4. Heritage Assets	-	D	0		0
5. Biodiversity	+	-	0		0
6. Landscape	+	-	0		0
7. Water Quality	-		0		0
8. Air Quality	+		+		0
9. Environmental Quality	+		+		0
10. Health	+		+		+
11. Crime, safety	+		Safety +	Crime D	D
12. Accessibility	+		-	D	+
13. Sustainable Transport	+		+	-	0
14. Climate Change	+		-		0
15. Land, Soil	-		0		0

7.2.6 LTP3 Goal Three Summary

Goal three was split into three sub-topics. The level of support for the SA/SEA objectives varied according to the sub-topic being assessed.

Cycling and Walking

The cycling and walking sub-topic focused on increasing the network of cycle and walking routes, expanding cycle and rail, and cycle and bus integration, cycle parking, and examine funding streams for cycle training. These actions are likely to have positive effects on deprivation, air quality environmental quality, health, accessibility, sustainable transport and climate change. It was considered that the infrastructure required for new and improved cycle and walking routes could potentially negatively effect heritage assets, biodiversity and landscape, and involve landtake. In relation to heritage assets, improvements to the walking and cycling network may affect the setting of, for example listed buildings. Also, the provision of new infrastructure could potentially disturb archaeological remains, however this is unlikely in urban areas as the ground will have already been disturbed by previous activities. The effects on Heritage Assets are also scheme dependent as some routes may aid accessibility to a cultural heritage site. Improvements to the walking and cycling network could also enhance the historic environment through sympathetic improvements to the public realm through maintenance and decluttering of the

streetscapes. The provision of walking and cycling infrastructure is likely to have less of a negative effect in comparison to other types of infrastructure, such as roads.

Road Safety
The road safety sub-topic focused on police partnerships within road safety, continued spending on road safety equivalent to 2010 levels, and expanding the network of low speed zones. These actions are likely to have positive effects for deprivation, air quality, environmental quality, health and safety. There may be negative effects in terms of accessibility depending what road safety measures implemented.

Health/Equality
The health and equality sub-topic focused on ensuring all actions are governed by the need to meet the Equalities legislation, and examining the potential for major development proposals to be subject to a transport/health impact assessment. It was considered that the majority of SA/SEA objectives would have no interaction/neutral effect. However, it is likely that there will positive effects on health and accessibility.

A full, detailed record of decision describing the effects of LTP3 Goal Three is presented in Appendix D.

7.2.7 LTP3 Goal Four Appraisal

Table 7.4: LTP3 Goal 4 Summary Appraisal

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities						
	1.Access to Employment	2.Access to Healthcare	3.Access to Education	4. Fares, Information & Ticketing	5. Taxis & Community Transport	6. Public Transport	7.Joint Working to address common objectives
1. Resource Use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	+	+	+	+	0	+	+
2. Waste	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	+	+	+	D	+	+ D	+
4. Heritage Assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
5. Biodiversity	0	0	0	0	0	+ -	+
6. Landscape	0	0	0	0	0	+ -	+
7. Water Quality	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
8. Air Quality	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9. Environmental Quality	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
10. Health	+	+	+	+	+	D	+
11. Crime, Safety	+	0	D	+	+	+	D
12. Accessibility	+	+	+	D	+	+	+
13. Sustainable Transport	+	0	0	+	+	+	+
14. Climate Change	+	+	+	+	0	+	+
15. Land, Soil	0	0	0	0	0	0	+

7.2.8 LTP3 Goal Four Summary

The summary table above shows that on the whole the LTP3 Goal Four and its associated actions are likely to have either a positive or no interaction with the SA/SEA objectives. Accessibility improvements are likely to increase access to local, key services and employment, helping to reduce levels of poverty and promote social cohesion. Such actions, if implemented are unlikely to have any effects on biodiversity, landscape and waste as little or no development of the existing transportation network will be required.

Access to Employment
Specific measures to increase access to employment focus on efforts to overcome transport barriers to employment, through programmes such as WorkWise. The provision of free cycles to those in disadvantaged communities is also recommended; along with the implementation of targeted action plans to identify where and what type of improvements are required to increase access. Specific actions to target workless residents and those living in disadvantaged areas (action plans and free cycles), would help to tackle social deprivation, secure economic inclusion and reduce unemployment. Actions are likely to produce positive effects for the local environmental quality. The asset management programme aims to improve environmental quality through fixing highway assets, maintaining and improving lighting (which can help reduce the fear of crime), providing safer pathways, highway cleaning regimes and facilitating recreational access (by maintaining public rights of way).

Access to Healthcare
Both short-term and long term proposals to improve access to healthcare include the promotion of sustainable access to local food shopping through walking and cycling, the promotion of health benefits associated with walking and cycling and the greater commissioning of joint services to improve access to healthcare and health food choices. Interactions identified were mostly positive, for instance the co-ordination of resources and commissioning will help to match the needs of patients with the provision of transport. An opportunity was also identified for wider work with the PCTs. This could be to reduce the need for travel (by delivering services locally) or by influencing travel providers (e.g. operators of bus services). Equitable access to healthcare is likely to have a major, positive effect on areas where levels of poverty and social deprivation are already high.

Access to Education
Specific measures highlighted under this topic that will seek to improve access to education include the development of a joint actions programme to improve access to education in line with the agreed School Transport Policy, the promotion of cycling and walking through a coordinated School Travel Programme and investigations to install cycling facilities at all school sites. Proposals to improve pedestrian links to, and install secure cycle facilities at schools is likely to benefit those on lower incomes who tend to make fewer private car journeys. Examining pooled resources with education sector providers to assist with travel costs to schools for those on low incomes is likely to generate direct benefits for deprived groups. Specific actions to promote more active forms of travel to schools, such as walking and cycling will help to promote healthier lifestyles and is also likely to provide a safe and healthy environment for children.

Fares, Information and Ticketing
Affordable ticketing opportunities, enhanced information provision at the neighbourhood level and a review of the range and availability of multi-operator pre-paid tickets will all help to support equality of travel. Actions to improve ticketing, fares and information are likely to encourage a modal shift and in particular, benefit socially deprived areas through the provision of more affordable and discounted fares. It was however highlighted that long-term commitment would be required from all operators and partners to ensure that the supporting actions are successfully implemented. For example, it is important that private

bus operators work collaboratively with the health and education sectors to provide more efficient and reliable services. The provision of information and education can also help people to understand how to use the bus, as well as make the best financial choices for public transport use, which is particularly important for those living in socially deprived communities.

Taxi and Community Transport
This sub-topic focuses on the development of a Taxi Quality Partnership for Merseyside and examines the potential for an expanded role for the taxi sector to help increase access to local goods and services. Such actions, if implemented are likely to have a positive effect on health, crime and safety, accessibility, sustainable transport and poverty. For example, greater use of community transport or multi-trip transport (such as taxis) could remove the need for some individual trips and therefore have a positive effect on local air quality. Taxis can also prolong independence and provide an alternative for those groups, particularly the elderly who may be considering giving up driving.

Public Transport
Specific public transport measures that are likely to ensure that the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity include an examination of the budget to fund other solutions for improving access and to ring fence efficiency savings into funding for other accessibility improvements. Some of the actions set out were not specific enough to determine whether they will result in health benefits or tackle health inequalities for example and so were dependent upon implementation. A long-term action is to share services with providers in other sectors. This is likely to improve accessibility across the Merseyside region and reduce community severance. Neighbourhood Travel Teams may also support people and consequently encourage them to use public transport. Travel Teams will also identify what services people require and give good advice on how to access local services and amenities.

Joint Working to Address Common Objectives
The integration of transport and land use planning is imperative to improve accessibility. Integration of accessibility with Local Strategic Partnerships should be encouraged to ensure that their priorities are delivered effectively. Other measures include development of joint approaches to ensure that transport helps to deliver the priorities of the City Region Child and Family Poverty Framework. The assessment revealed that joint working is likely to produce positive outcomes for the majority of the SA/SEA objectives. In relation to improving health and reducing health inequalities the coordination and integration of travel and accessibility with other strategies are likely to be fundamental in addressing influencing issues in order to achieve better health outcomes. Through joint working, the integration of transport and land-use planning is likely to be successful and thus, reduce the need to travel. It was noted that efforts should be focused to ensure that new development, particularly housing is centred on town centres to encourage a range of high trip generating uses in town centres. This is because town centres often tend to be the places with best access by public transport. Also, locating different uses together often reduces the number of different journeys that have to be made. Highlighting strategies such as the City Region Child and Family Poverty Framework will help to tackle existing social and health inequalities.

A full, detailed record of decision describing the effects of LTP3 Goal Four is presented in Appendix D.

7.2.9 LTP3 Goal Five Appraisal

Table 7.5: LTP3 Goal 5 Summary Appraisal

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods					
	1. Public Transport	2. Goods	3. Cycling	4. Maintenance	5. Traffic	6. Travelwise
1. Resource Use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	+	+	+	+	+	+
2. Waste	+	+	0	0	0	0
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	D	0	D	0	0	0
4. Heritage Assets	-	+	+	0	0	0
5. Biodiversity	+ -	+	+ -	+	+ -	0
6. Landscape	+ -	+	+ -	+	+ -	0
7. Water Quality	-	+	+	0	0	0
8. Air Quality	+	+	+	0	+	+
9. Environmental Quality	+	+	+	+	0	+
10. Health	+	+	+	+	0	+
11. Crime, Safety	0	0	+	+	0	+
12. Accessibility	+	0	+	+	0	+
13. Sustainable Transport	+	+	+	0	+	+
14. Climate Change	+	+	+	+	+	+
15. Land, Soil	-	+	0	0	0	0

7.2.10 LTP3 Goal Five Summary

Overall the SA/SEA objectives perform well against Goal Five. The Goal was sub-divided into the following six sub-topics:

Public Transport
A number of interventions are proposed for modes including bus, rail, cycling and tram. Specific interventions for each focus on capacity improvements, flexible services and investment protection for the Merseytram. Specific measures, such as real time information and smartcards have been proposed to improve the ticketing system and provision of information. A number of negative interactions were identified during the assessment for interventions relating to Public Transport and Cycling. These were mostly associated with infrastructure improvements to the road and rail network, for example the development of new Park and Ride sites is likely to have short-term construction impacts on biodiversity, water quality and heritage assets. Such impacts can, however be mitigated through, for example habitat creation, the aftercare and maintenance of landscaping and Sustainable Urban Drainage Techniques (SUDS). Specific mitigation measures have been outlined in Section 8 of this report.

Travelwise
The Travel-Wise sub-topic focuses on the development and implementation of travel plans for visitors and local businesses. Smarter Choices and personal travel planning, if targeted correctly are likely to aid behaviour change and identify opportunities for more efficient travel patterns. Many of the interactions identified are positive and such initiatives are likely to help people make environmentally friendly travel choices and make more use of the public transport system. This will, in turn reduce reliance on motorised

transport and consequently is likely to have a positive effect on air quality. A reduced reliance on motorised transport would have a positive impact on resource use, sustainable transport and climate change.

Traffic
This sub-topic focuses on the effective targeting of information through collaborative working and partnerships. This was considered to have positive effects on resource use, air quality, sustainable transport and climate change. Working with partners to educate and provide information on sustainable vehicle choice and fuel efficient driving techniques is likely to produce measurable reductions in transport emissions.

Goods
Specific measures under this action seek to identify and implement essential highways and Strategic Freight Network improvements and establish partnership working with the Freight Quality Partnership to improve environmental performance through the promotion of best practice examples. Again, most of the interactions identified were positive; with no interactions identified between three of the SA/SEA objectives. Interventions to improve the movement of people and goods are likely to promote the use of more environmentally friendly modes, reducing the need to travel by car. Actions to manage the volume of freight traffic are likely to have a positive effect on health. A targeted approach to addressing the issue within existing Air Quality Management Areas will help to improve air quality and improve the health of people already exposed to pollutants that could be damaging to their health.

Maintenance
Specific interventions under this action focus on the creation of links between maintenance planning and the planning of highway improvements, resilience to climate change and the establishment of partnerships. Interventions that address the maintenance of and capacity/efficiency improvements to the highways network will improve accessibility and environmental quality; and seek to develop the region's economy. There is also the potential for recycled aggregates to be used for the resurfacing of roads and footpaths, which will reduce the consumption of energy and water and increase recycling rates.

A full, detailed record of decision describing the effects of LTP3 Goal Five is presented in Appendix D.

7.2.11 LTP3 Goal Six Appraisal

Table 7.6: LTP3 Goal 6 Summary Appraisal

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard	
	1. Complete Asset Management Register	2. Produce effective asset management programme
1. Resource use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	0	0
2. Waste	0	0
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	0	0
4. Heritage Assets	0	+
5. Biodiversity	0	+
6. Landscape	0	+
7. Water Quality	0	+
8. Air Quality	0	0
9. Environmental Quality	0	+
10. Health	0	+

SA/SEA Objectives (Topic)	LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard	
	1. Complete Asset Management Register	2. Produce effective asset management programme
11. Crime, Safety	0	0
12. Accessibility	0	0
13. Sustainable Transport	0	+
14. Climate Change	+	+
15. Land, Soil	0	+

7.2.12 LTP3 Goal Six Summary

Overall, the LTP3 Goal Six and supporting actions perform neutrally or have no interaction against the SA/SEA objectives. The 'Complete Asset Management' action focuses on completion of the Highways Asset Management Plan/Transport Asset Management Plan, including the consideration of Climate Change. The 'Produce effective asset management programme' actions focus on the implementation of new transport projects, delivery of Liverpool's Green Strategy and the consideration of the environment in planning maintenance schemes.

Maintenance of the roads and rail network through the specified actions outlined in the Draft LTP3 strategy is likely to have positive effects on accessibility and efficiency. There may be some negative effects on climatic factors, landscape and environmental quality; however this will be dependant upon the specific actions that are implemented. A full, detailed record of decision describing the effects of LTP3 Goal Six is presented in Appendix D.

7.3 Cumulative Assessment

7.3.1 Cumulative effects on each goal

Table 7.7 shows the overall cumulative effects of each goal. Overall the goals will have positive effects in terms of reducing congestion and carbon emissions, encouraging healthy sustainable travel options such as walking and cycling, encouraging more public transport use, and providing a better transport network that is accessible and reliable. Although some neutral and negative effects were recorded in the full assessment in Appendix D, it was considered that the positive effects have greater importance and benefits, and that some of the negative effects can be mitigated. Therefore, all the LTP3 goals were assessed as having a cumulative positive effect.

Table 7.7: Cumulative Assessment of Goals

LTP3 Goal	Cumulative Assessment
Goal One	+
Goal Two	+
Goal Three	+
Goal Four	+
Goal Five	+
Goal Six	+

7.3.2 Cumulative effects on each SA/SEA objective

Table 7.8 shows the cumulative effects of all the LTP3 goals on the individual SA/SEA objectives. In general the LTP3 goals collectively support the SA/SEA objectives in terms of proposing actions and interventions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improving air quality and environmental quality, promoting economic inclusion, accessibility, sustainable transport, and safety and health benefits. There is likely to be both positive and negative effects on waste, heritage assets, biodiversity, landscape and water quality. Therefore, and overall neutral effect has been recorded. Whilst actions and intervention to reduce congestion and emissions may benefit biodiversity, landscape and water quality, they may also involve disturbance to these assets from new infrastructure. Land and soil has been recorded as a negative cumulative effect as many of the actions and interventions involve landtake.

Table 7.8: Cumulative Assessment against SA/SEA Objectives

SA/SEA Objectives	Cumulative Assessment of all LTP3 Goals
1. Resource use, Renewable Energy, GHG emissions	+
2. Waste	0
3. Poverty, Economic Inclusion	+
4. Heritage Assets	0
5. Biodiversity	0
6. Landscape	0
7. Water Quality	0
8. Air Quality	+
9. Environmental Quality	+
10. Health	+
11. Crime, Safety	+
12. Accessibility	+
13. Sustainable Transport	+
14. Climate Change	+
15. Land, Soil	-

7.4 Assessment of the LTP3 Major Schemes

The LTP3 includes several project specific major schemes that are either currently being investigated as part of the LTP3 or are proposed for implementation during the plan period. These major schemes have been assessed against the SA/SEA objectives to demonstrate their sustainability performance. It should be noted that this is a high level assessment and the schemes will be subject to further environmental assessment before they are constructed. Details of each of the major schemes can be found in the LTP3. The major schemes assessed were:

- Bidston Moss Viaduct;
- Edge Lane (West) / Eastern Approaches;
- Hall Lane Strategic Gateway;
- Merseytram Line 1;
- Thornton Switch Island Link;

- Liverpool Central Station;
- Merseytram Line 2;
- St Helens Central – Junction Rail Link;
- Merseytram Line 3;
- Sandhills Lane Link;
- Kirkby Headbolt Lane Rail Extension;
- Bootle – Aintree – Edge Hill Link;
- Borderlands Electrification;
- Access to Port of Liverpool;
- Halton Curve; and
- Mersey Gateway.

It should be noted that the LTP3 includes six major schemes which have now been completed. Therefore, these schemes have not been assessed in the SA/SEA.

Table 7.9: Major Schemes Assessment

LTP3 Major Schemes	SA/SEA Objectives															Comments
	1. Resource use, renewable energy, GHG emissions	2. Waste	3. Poverty, economic inclusion	4. Heritage assets	5. Biodiversity	6. Landscape	7. Water quality	8. Air quality	9. Environmental quality	10. Health	11. Crime, safety	12. Accessibility	13. Sustainable transport	14. Climate change	15. Land, soil	
Bidston Moss Viaduct	0	0	+	0	0 -	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	Strengthening will allow HGV to use the viaduct, creating more direct route. Potential for bats in the viaduct. There is unlikely to be any overall effect on air quality. However, there may be localised effects from moving HGV from Dock Road to the viaduct. Reduction of noise along Dock Road, with HGV moved back onto the strategic freight network. Taking HGV off Dock Road and back onto the strategic fright network may increase safety along Dock Road. However, this is unlikely to be significant because Dock Road is an industrial area.
			Neg		Mod				Neg		Min	Mod				
			L		H				L		M	M				
Edge Lane (West) / Eastern Approaches	+ -	0	+	0	D	0	0	+ -	+ -	+	+	+	+	0	-	Road improvements to Edge Lane will help reduce congestion, increasing traffic flow, which may reduce greenhouse emissions and improve local air quality. However, reducing congestion may encourage more vehicles onto the road. Road improvements and reduced congestion will help increase accessibility to local business and communities. Reduced congestion may benefit health through better air quality and reduced driver stress levels associated with queuing traffic. Reduced congestion will improve accessibility to goods and services, particularly Liverpool town centre, and will help reduce community severance. Reduced congestion may encourage use of buses along Edge Lane. Small amount of landtake may be necessary for road improvements.
	Mod		Mod		Min			Mod	Neg	Mod	Mod	Maj	Min		Neg	
	L		M					M	L	M	M	H	M		L	
Hall Lane Strategic Gateway	+ -	-	+	0	D	+	0	+ -	+ -	+	+ -	+	+	0	-	Widening of the roadspace from single to dual carriageway will reduce congestion and therefore reduce emissions associated with congested traffic. A lot of waste is likely to be generated as a result of the proposed widening; however there is the potential for some of the waste material to be re-used or recycled. There are likely to be improvements in the efficiency of freight traffic movement and access improvement to local employment sites and Liverpool town centre. The volume of traffic is likely to
	Mod	Mod	Maj		Min	Min		Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	Maj	Min		Mod	

LTP3 Major Schemes	SA/SEA Objectives															Comments
	1. Resource use, renewable energy, GHG emissions	2. Waste	3. Poverty, economic inclusion	4. Heritage assets	5. Biodiversity	6. Landscape	7. Water quality	8. Air quality	9. Environmental quality	10. Health	11. Crime, safety	12. Accessibility	13. Sustainable transport	14. Climate change	15. Land, soil	
	L	M	H			L		M	M	M	H	H	M		M	increase as a result of the widening scheme, therefore it is likely that this will contribute to an increase in localised emission levels; however free flowing traffic will reduce the emissions associated with stop/start driving. Moderate improvements to health may be experienced as access will be increased to the nearby Royal Liverpool Hospital. Widening of the road may encourage faster speeds and more overtaking which could result in an increase of road traffic accidents. Reduced congestion is likely to encourage the use of bus services. Areas of on-street parking are likely to be lost as a result of the widening scheme.
Merseytram Line 1	+ -	-	+	-	-	-	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	D	-	The Merseytram will use resources during the construction of Line 1. It is likely that some resources will also be used during the operation of Line 1 (energy and water). Merseytram Line 1 is likely to add to carbon emissions from electricity use however it is likely to encourage a modal shift and reduce the number of cars on the road. Excavations and construction of Line 1 are likely to result in waste arisings. It is likely that temporary employment opportunities will be created during the construction phase which will help to tackle poverty and promote economic inclusion. The proposed Line 1 will run through a number of Conservation Areas within and on the outskirts of the city centre. It will also run within the vicinity of a number of Listed Buildings. It is likely that a number of trees and habitats will be lost/displaced as a result of the proposed Line 1. There will be landtake for the scheme, including public greenspace and open space. It is likely that, as a result of modal shift, transport related emissions will be reduced, improving local air quality. The new line may encourage people to walk and cycle, reducing the risk of developing illnesses, such as heart disease and obesity. If designed carefully and the potential impacts of climate change are considered within the design, Merseytram Line 1 could reduce the flood risk associated with the area.
	Mod	Maj	Mod	Maj	Maj	Maj		Mod	Min	Mod	Mod	Maj	Maj		Mod	
	M	L	H	M	M	M		H	M	M	M	H	H	H	L	
Thornton Switch Island	-	-	+	D	-	-	-	+ -	+ -	+ -	-	+	-	-	-	The proposal of a new road is likely to lead to an increase in vehicle use and thus a decrease in local air quality levels;

LTP3 Major Schemes	SA/SEA Objectives															Comments
	1. Resource use, renewable energy, GHG emissions	2. Waste	3. Poverty, economic inclusion	4. Heritage assets	5. Biodiversity	6. Landscape	7. Water quality	8. Air quality	9. Environmental quality	10. Health	11. Crime, safety	12. Accessibility	13. Sustainable transport	14. Climate change	15. Land, soil	
Link	Min	Maj	Min		Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	Maj	Min	Mod	Maj	however there are likely to be improvements in air quality/greenhouse gas emissions in areas surrounding the A5036, Green Lane, Lydiate Lane and the Northern Parameter Road. Waste will be generated as a result of the proposals, however it is likely that materials will be recycled or re-used within the scheme. A new road is likely to improve access to employment opportunities and key centres, such as Southport and the Ports. The effects on heritage assets will be dependant upon the location of the final route option. It is likely that green areas and fields may be lost due to the new road proposal, resulting in potential negative biodiversity effects. A new road is likely to negatively affect the character and setting of the existing landscape, which is a mixture of urban and rural areas. The new road may cross the Leeds/Liverpool Canal and the River Alt, which could potentially affect water quality. There may also be negative effects on groundwater supply. Health benefits for road users and local residents where congestion is relieved. However, negative effects on health will be experienced in areas surrounding the new road. The new road will increase accessibility to Southport and the ports, as well as the areas surrounding the new roads. A new road is likely to encourage car use. An increase in hardstanding is likely to result in more surface water run-off.
	L	M	L		H	H	M	M	M	M	M	H	L	M	H	
Liverpool Central Station	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	Improvements in facilities and a fully accessible station are likely to increase access to Liverpool city centre. Station improvements are likely to create a more attractive and safer environment for users and encourage the use of rail as a more sustainable mode of transport. The station is likely to become fully accessible to all users.
			Mod							Min	Min	Mod	Min			
			M							L	L	M	L			
Merseytram Line 2	+ -	-	+	-	-	-	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	D	-	There are two indicative, proposed route alignments for Line 2 of the Merseytram scheme (Edge Lane and Wavertree Technology Park). It is likely that the high level comments on the impacts made against Merseytram Line 1 (see above) will also apply to Line 2. However, the specific impacts of Line 2 will depend on which of the two proposed routes are taken forward. It is worth
	Mod	Maj	Maj	Maj	Maj	Maj		Mod	Min	Maj	Mod	Maj	Maj		Maj	

LTP3 Major Schemes	SA/SEA Objectives															Comments
	1. Resource use, renewable energy, GHG emissions	2. Waste	3. Poverty, economic inclusion	4. Heritage assets	5. Biodiversity	6. Landscape	7. Water quality	8. Air quality	9. Environmental quality	10. Health	11. Crime, safety	12. Accessibility	13. Sustainable transport	14. Climate change	15. Land, soil	
	M	L	H	M	M	M		H	M	H	M	H	H		L	noting that both the two proposed alignments for Line 2 will improve accessibility to Whiston Hospital. The Wavertree Technology Park alignment would also improve access to Wavertree Technology Park Rail Station as well as the Hospital.
St. Helen's Central – Junction Rail Link	+	0	+	0	-	-	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	+	0	The reinstatement of the line is likely to increase capacity and thus, encourage a modal shift from private transport modes to rail and reduce the levels of greenhouse gas emissions associated with car use and help local air quality. Increased access to areas of employment opportunities and key business centres. Disturbance of an established habitat.
	Mod		Min		Mod	Min		Min	Min	Min		Mod	Mod	Min		
	M		L		H	L		M	L	L		M	M	L		
Merseytram Line 3	+ -	-	+	-	-	-	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	D	-	There are three indicative options for the proposed third Merseytravel tram line, all of which link the city centre to Liverpool John Lennon Airport (Railway Route, Mather Avenue and Menliove Avenue). This particular proposed third line will also create links with the bus and rail interchanges, as well as the University. As with Lines 1 and 2 (see above), the proposed route option is likely to have major negative effects on biodiversity and habitats due to land take, the removal of trees and disturbance during construction. It is likely that best practice urban design principals will be applied in order to enhance the environment, however there are still likely to be effects to the townscape as much of the Merseytram network will be segregated from the exiting highway.
	Mod	Maj	Maj	Maj	Maj	Maj		Mod	Min	Min	Mod	Maj	Mod		Maj	
	M	L	H	M	M	M		H	M	L	M	H	M		L	
Sandhills Lane Link	0	-	+	D	D	0	0	0	D	D	D	+	0	0	-	The exact route alignment for the new link road is not yet known. Therefore, many of the effects will be unknown at this stage because it will depend where the new road is located. Wherever the location of the road, landtake will be required, and excavation material will be generated. The new road will increase accessibility, especially for HGVs.
		Min	Neg									Min			Mod	
		L	L									M			M	
Kirkby Headbolt Lane Rail Extension	0	-	+	-	-	-	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	-	0	The Kirkby Headbolt Lane rail electrifications extensions are likely to have moderate to major positive effects on economic inclusion, health and accessibility. The network extension, with

LTP3 Major Schemes	SA/SEA Objectives															Comments
	1. Resource use, renewable energy, GHG emissions	2. Waste	3. Poverty, economic inclusion	4. Heritage assets	5. Biodiversity	6. Landscape	7. Water quality	8. Air quality	9. Environmental quality	10. Health	11. Crime, safety	12. Accessibility	13. Sustainable transport	14. Climate change	15. Land, soil	
		Min	Mod	Min	Mod	Mod		Min	Min	Mod		Maj	Mod	Neg		proposals for a new park and ride site and a new station at Headbolt Lane, will improve accessibility to the rail network, serving a larger area of Kirkby than at present. It is also likely to encourage modal shift. The park and ride site will discourage car use in Liverpool city centre. Line improvements will increase the efficiency and capacity of the Merseyrail network, promoting economic inclusion.
		L	H	L	M	M		L	L	M		H	H	L		
Bootle – Aintree – Edge Hill Link	+	0	+	0	-	-	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	+	0	The reinstatement of the line from Aintree to Bootle and the re-introduction of passenger services from Edge Hill to Bootle are likely to increase capacity and thus, encourage a modal shift from private transport modes to rail and reduce the levels of greenhouse gas emissions associated with car use. Increased access to areas of employment opportunities and key business centres. Disturbance of an established habitat. Reinstating the line and new passenger services will bring trains back onto it which may cause visual landscape and noise effects
	Mod		Mod		Mod	Min		Min	Min	Min		Mod	Mod	Min		
	M		M		H	L		M	L	L		M	M	L		
	+	0	+	0	-	-	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	Electrification of this key cross-boarder line is likely to improve connections and the cross-boarder railway line's capacity. Alterations or upgrades to the existing line and signals is likely to have minor negative effects on biodiversity and the landscape. The instillation of overhead lines to support the cabling is likely to alter the local setting. However, in comparison to diesel powered trains, electric trains produce less carbon dioxide emissions, less noise, lower maintenance requirements and more efficient operation of the network as there is no need to switch between methods of traction.
Borderlands Electrification	Min		Maj		Neg	Min		Min		Min		Maj	Mod	Min		
	L		H		L	L		M		L		H	M	L		
Access to Port of Liverpool	D	D	+	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	+	D	D	D	There are a number of options for improving access to the Port of Liverpool. Optioneering studies are still ongoing and the majority of the effects will depend what option is chosen. However, whichever option is chosen, it is likely that access and economy will benefit.
			Mod									Mod				
			M									M				
Halton Curve	+	0	+	0	-	-	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	+	0	The reinstatement of the line is likely to increase capacity and thus, encourage a modal shift from private transport modes to rail and reduce the levels of greenhouse gas emissions associated with car use. Increased access to areas of employment
	Mod		Mod		Mod	Min		Min	Min	Min		Mod	Mod	Min		

LTP3 Major Schemes	SA/SEA Objectives															Comments
	1. Resource use, renewable energy, GHG emissions	2. Waste	3. Poverty, economic inclusion	4. Heritage assets	5. Biodiversity	6. Landscape	7. Water quality	8. Air quality	9. Environmental quality	10. Health	11. Crime, safety	12. Accessibility	13. Sustainable transport	14. Climate change	15. Land, soil	
	M		M		H	L		M	L	L		M	M	L		opportunities and key business centres. Disturbance of an established habitat. Reinstating the line will bring trains back onto it which may cause visual landscape and noise effects.
Mersey Gateway	-	-	+	+ -	-	+	-	+ -	+	+	+	+	+ -	+ -	-	The project will decrease journey times for commuters and HGV, and enable the job creation from the Mersey Gateway and regeneration of the area. Tolling the Silver Jubilee Bridge and the new bridge may have negative effects for social deprived areas. The proximity of the new crossing will alter the setting, including distant views of the listed Silver Jubilee Bridge, which is a prominent regional landmark. However, this landmark will be protected as essential maintenance will be possible and the excess loading of heavy traffic will be removed. The project will result in the temporary and permanent loss of habitats. However, new habitat and management strategies will be created as part of the scheme. Potential for contaminated run-off to enter the River. In areas where traffic is removed through de-linking and where peak-hour congestion is reduced, there is likely to be an improvement in local air quality. However, the introduction of a new 6 lane bridge may encourage more vehicles. Overall the project will reduce noise impacts to local residents. However, there may be increased traffic noise levels on the Central Expressway. There may be health benefits from reduced air pollution, improved and new walking and cycling facilities/routes and more reliable access for emergency vehicles.
	Neg	Neg	Mod	Mod	Mod	Mod	Neg	Min	Neg	Mod	Min	Mod	Min	Min	Min	
	L	L	M	M	H	M	L	L	L	M	M	M	M	M	L	

7.5 Risks, Uncertainties and Assumptions

The assessment has been undertaken at a high level on strategic policy. Where the LTP3 Strategy refers to a collective set of actions/interventions rather than specific details of individual schemes/actions, an assumption about the predicted effects has been taken based on the nature of the collective actions/interventions.

The assessment has been undertaken by independent consultants with specialist knowledge on environmental, social and economic issues. However, because of the nature of the assessment as a high level qualitative assessment a degree of subjectivity remains.

The assessment has assumed that all actions/interventions listed in the LTP3 Preferred Strategy under each transport goal will be implemented.

When grouping the actions under each goal into policy topic areas it was noted that an action could apply to more than one topic area. However, to avoid duplication the action was only mentioned under one topic area.

8. SA/SEA Mitigation and Enhancement

8.1 Mitigation and Enhancement Measures

Implementation of the LTP3 will have both positive and negative effects. Tables 8.1 to 8.6 set out mitigation and enhancement measures that were suggested during the assessment workshop. Implementation of these measures could further enhance the sustainability performance of the LTP3 and help to mitigate against negative effects. The mitigation and enhancement measures suggested have been split according to which LTP3 Goal and action/intervention they apply to. Mitigation measures include measures that can be used to inform the development of the LTP3 e.g. changes to strategy wording, addition of interventions etc; and measures to be taken following implementation of the LTP3 e.g. design, construction, operation and maintenance mitigation and enhancements.

Table 8.1: LTP3 Goal One - Mitigation and Enhancement

LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships
Mitigation/Enhancement Measures
• Biodiversity/Water - work in partnership with biodiversity/water quality organisations to secure funding opportunities; • Landscape - consultation with citizen and voluntary groups to guide landscape impact management in Merseyside and support the Government Big Society approach; • Environmental Quality - liaise with the local development planning process to secure benefits to local environmental quality; • Health - undertake specific health impact assessments to ensure that health benefits are maximised and health inequalities are minimised e.g. for SuperPort, LEP, and Local Development Documents; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion - steps should be taken to secure more access from deprived communities to employment locations; • Climate Change - strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. Working in partnership with resource use organisations could also provide funding opportunities.

Table 8.2: LTP3 Goal Two - Mitigation and Enhancement

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system	
Action/ Intervention	**Mitigation/Enhancement**
1.Traffic	• Poverty, Economic Inclusion - ally the electric vehicle strategy with initiatives to promote and improve active modes of travel and public transport;; • Crime, Safety - implement security measures, such as lighting and CCTV, as part of the modal shift measures to improve safety and reduce fear of crime; • Accessibility – encourage local incentives for the use of electric vehicles, such as free parking at local centres to further improve access to amenities; • Accessibility – replace poorly used services with alternative services that are more responsive to users' needs (for example taxi services) to increase usage and local accessibility; • Heritage Assets - ensure that all new transport projects are designed to be in keeping with their surroundings; • Health - consider changes to the routeing of freight traffic to improve the local environmental and air quality; • Resource Use, Renewable Energy - seek funds from developer offsetting.
2.Modal Shift	• Poverty, Economic Inclusion – an increase in the provision of education can help people to make more informed choices about their travel modes, increasing the likelihood that more sustainable (including less polluting) modes are considered; • Health - achieve a 'critical mass' of those cycling and walking to help to ensure that potential safety

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system	
Action/ Intervention	**Mitigation/Enhancement**
	blackspots are addressed; • Landscape - public transport should provide options for travel to the natural environment as well as to other facilities and services; • Links should be made to each of the Council's Core Strategies to ensure that the soft measures set out in the smarter choices and behavioural change programmes are supported by infrastructure improvements, of which are fundamental to support the move towards a low carbon transport system and low emission vehicles and fuels.
3.Public Transport	• Health - the cost of using public transport can sometimes be a barrier to those on lower incomes. Implement measures to facilitate access to low-income groups as the cost of using public transport can sometimes be a barrier to these groups; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion - ensure that smart ticketing does not inadvertently discriminate against people from deprived backgrounds that do not have their own bank accounts; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion - with regards to the smartcard system, a "top-up" style system could be introduced in order to militate against the issue of people not having a bank account.
4. Fleet Vehicles	• Climate Change – implementation of the most appropriate scheme for each transport mode will ensure the success of this strategy; • Climate Change - support of legislation and funding is essential to bring about measurable changes in emissions. Funds from developer offsetting may contribute to this goal.
5. Freight Traffic	• Air Quality – promote and encourage the use of low emission vehicles; • Health - Consider alternatives to freight use and changes to the routeing of freight traffic; • Land, Soil - where possible, Consolidation Centres should be developed on brownfield/derelict sites to minimise the impact on local land and soil quality.
6. Land-Use Planning	• Environmental Quality - consider sustainable design measures to ensure that future transport provision contributes to environmental quality, rather than detracting from it; • Climate Change – where possible, integrate climate change adaptation measures into design.
7. Network Maintenance & Management	• Heritage Assets - ensure that all new transport projects are designed to be in keeping with their surroundings; • Water Quality - SUDS and other measures may act as mitigation measures if implemented for any new transport scheme/infrastructure.

Table 8.3: LTP3 Goal Three - Mitigation and Enhancement

Action/ Intervention	**LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being** **Mitigation/Enhancement**
1. Cycling and Walking	• Health - cycle parking facilities should include dry cycle storage; • Health - additional work should be undertaken to remove barriers at schools to help make School Travel Plans more successful. This could include safer cycling routes (not just walking routes); • Health - more regular engagement between transport planners and schools should be encouraged; • Health - cycling training for children should be comprehensive, taking users beyond being able, but not competent, at cycling on roads and to therefore mitigate a potential rise in accidents; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion – ensure that travel routes in socially deprived areas are linked to strategic centres to increase access to employment; • Health – the promotion of walking and cycling through behavioural change programmes could raise awareness of the health benefits associated with physical activity; • Accessibility - ensure links from remote/ inaccessible communities are prioritised and establish links to all relevant goods and amenity centres. • Accessibility - identify key problems with accessibility by foot and introduce measures designed to improve them; • Accessibility - improvements to pavement surfaces may benefit disabled people and older people, who

Action/ Intervention	LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being Mitigation/Enhancement
	traditionally experience accessibility issues, by making them easier to traverse; • Cultural Heritage/Biodiversity/Landscape – where possible, improvements to the cycling and walking network should efforts should avoid sensitive habitats/locations to reduce the impact on cultural heritage, biodiversity and landscape; • Landscape - cycling and walking networks (including the Rights of Way network) should improve access to the local countryside and greenspace close to where people live; • Health - recognise the mental and physical health benefits associated with access to the natural environment; • Water Quality – opportunities should be sought to include Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDs) to reduce surface water run-off in areas where there is an increase in paved areas.
2. Road Safety	• Health - opportunities to consider road safety in the design of new development; • Crime, Safety - combine safety measures with measures designed to promote personal security to reduce feelings of vulnerability and help to target crime and anti-social behaviour; • Crime, Safety –engage local communities to further increase road safety; • Crime, Safety – consider the implementation of lighting solutions, CCTV, safety awareness campaigns and road safety measures • Climate Change – consider the introduction of traffic calming measures that take account the impact on emissions and that reduce stop/start driving cultures and congestion.
3. Health/Equality	• Health - explicitly consider the effects on 'deprived areas' in response to transport/health impact assessments to help to tackle existing social inequalities; • Accessibility – ensure that any proposed investment priorities reflect a diversity of requirements. Cycling improvements are unlikely to be of significant value to social groups who do not use cycling extensively as a mode of transport. Disabled people and older people tend to cycle less than other members of the general public, for example; • Crime, Safety - increased spending on cycling and walking could include enhanced personal security provision, benefiting users significantly; • Crime, Safety – ensure the delivery of child pedestrian training and Bikeability cycle training includes personal security training and education in order to integrate fully personal security and road safety elements of training; • Crime, Safety – ensure the Merseyside cycle network is properly monitored and maintained to promote a safer environment for cyclists. A poorly maintained network is likely to attract crime and anti-social behaviour. • Accessibility - ensure that accessibility to appropriate goods and amenities is provided where concentrations of equality groups are high, particularly for those whose accessibility is usually more restricted.

Table 8.4: LTP3 Goal Four - Mitigation and Enhancement

Action/ Intervention	LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities Mitigation/Enhancement
1.Access to Employment	• Poverty, Economic Inclusion - ensure that targeted action plans for deprived areas and the Let's Get Moving initiatives are accompanied by appropriate safety measures; • Crime, Safety – incorporate best practice measures to improve security, such as CCTV and help points; • Sustainable Transport - recognise the role that walking and cycling (including Rights of Way) can play in accessing employment, education and healthcare.
2.Access to Healthcare	• Health – ensure that the transport system is responsive to the health care system, and vice versa; • Health – measures should be taken to increase access to healthcare facilities, such as the local provision of healthcare facilities close to public transport routes; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion – ensure the availability of information on public transport / non-vehicular

Action/ Intervention	LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities Mitigation/Enhancement
	access to health services is widely advertised, especially to those communities most out-of-reach e.g. ethnic minority groups, disabled people and older people; • Accessibility/Health – the provision of public transport information should be provided in local healthcare facilities to make people aware of the services on offer; • Accessibility/Health; encourage partnership working between transport and health providers to ensure coordination between public transport services and hospital appointments so that people without their own transport are able to meet their appointments; • Accessibility/Health - work with bus operators to ensure that the services coincide with hospital appointments, particularly for older and disabled people and other vulnerable groups with limited accessibility and high healthcare needs; • Sustainable Transport - recognise the role that walking and cycling (including Rights of Way) can play in accessing employment, education and healthcare.
3.Access to Education	• Health **-** additional emphasis should be placed on access to lifelong learning centres, including higher education and community centres; • Health - additional actions for transport and education should be encouraged to work closely at the planning stages of educational facilities, with transport more involved in Building Future Schools initiatives; • Health - prioritise actions in disadvantaged areas to help reduce a widening of health inequalities; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion - examine the possibly of making the criteria for travel passes consistent across all areas of Merseyside, to help make access to education equitable for all; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion – work with educational institutions to encourage / provide reduced fares and season tickets for students; • Accessibility – ensure that interventions are targeted in areas where there is currently little accessibility to education; • Accessibility – seek to increase the provision of/frequency of night services to facilitate access to night and adult learning; • Sustainable Transport - recognise the role that walking and cycling (including Rights of Way) can play in accessing employment, education and healthcare.
4. Fares, Information & Ticketing	• Health - Specific consideration would need to be given to those without access to technology (i.e. internet) and equality groups; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion - ensure that information on new ticketing systems is well publicised to socially isolated groups who may have specific communication needs; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion - promote fares and services at a neighbourhood level.
5. Taxis & Community Transport	• Health – the expansion of community transport should be targeted towards those communities / populations most in need to address health inequalities.
6. Public Transport	• Health - Actions that target disadvantaged neighbourhoods would help to address health inequalities; • Accessibility – channel efficiency savings into improvements in accessibility to/from areas where there are high levels of deprivation; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion – the appropriate targeting of travel training will successfully make public transport users more aware of all aspects of public transport use, including awareness of personal security and crime.
7.Joint Working to address common objectives	• Health – encourage more direct working between transport planning and the health and education sectors, of which are represented as part of Multi Area Agreements and Local Strategic Partnerships.

Table 8.5: LTP3 Goal Five - Mitigation and Enhancement

Action/ Intervention	LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods Mitigation/Enhancement
1. Public Transport	• Accessibility - the use of flexible services to reduce the number of poorly used or marginal buses on some routes must take care not to withdraw services people in socially deprived areas are dependent on; • Crime, Safety - develop a co-ordinated approach to travel training across Merseyside that includes safety training; • Crime, Safety - ensure that Park and Ride car parking facilities are well lit to ensure that people using the car park for daily commuting feel less vulnerable (particularly after dark, for example during winter months); • Climate Change – Park and Ride sites should be strategically placed in areas of high private car use and in areas already well served by public transport, such as train stations
2. Goods	• Crime, Safety – adapt the maintenance of the Strategic Freight Network to include maintenance of security measures to reduce freight crime in the region; • Crime, Safety – include security improvements in any essential highway improvements to the Strategic Freight Network
3. Cycling	• Landscape - cycling and walking networks (including the Rights of Way network) should improve access to the local countryside and greenspace close to where people live; • Health - recognise the mental and physical health benefits associated with access to the natural environment; • Crime, Safety – accompany improvements to the cycle network with enhanced safety and security measures, both to encourage people to make use of the network and to protect them while they are using it; • Accessibility – where possible, increase the network of cross-boundary cycle and walk routes and increase the provision of cycle parking facilities to ensure local journeys are made more accessible using more sustainable modes and to increase access to local routes for active travellers; • Heritage Assets – ensure the Manual for Streets recommendations are applied in the development of cycling infrastructure to enable the consideration cultural heritage into design
4. Maintenance	• Crime, Safety – improvements in environmental quality can be achieved through continual maintenance and improvements in lighting (which can help reduce the fear of crime), the provision of safer pathways, highway cleaning regimes and the facilitation of recreational access (by maintaining public rights of way) • Health - health benefits associated with each asset maintenance action should be explicitly identified and be taken into account in prioritising this particular programme
5. Traffic	• No mitigation/enhancement measures were identified
6. Travelwise	• Poverty, Economic Inclusion - information on Smarter Choices should be targeted towards groups that are less informed and also to all local communities to increase access for all
Other Enhancement Measures	• It was highlighted that the LTP3 does not currently document any actions to support the intervention of walking under Goal 5 – the efficient movement of people and goods. Under this goal, specific measures should be developed to support the intervention of walking, as people often walk to connect to other public transport modes, particularly commuters. It is important that good pedestrian links are provided from office developments to local services; and that actions are developed to ensure that routes are created and maintained for active travellers.

Table 8.6: LTP3 Goal Six - Mitigation and Enhancement

Action/ Intervention	LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard Mitigation/Enhancement
1. Complete Asset Management Register	• No mitigation/enhancement measures were identified
2. Produce effective asset	• Accessibility - the needs of vulnerable members of society should continue to be considered, for

Action/ Intervention	LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard Mitigation/Enhancement
management programme	example through the provision of crossing facilities that are accessible for all equality groups; • Biodiversity – measures to make the transport network more resilient to climate change should ensure that the effects of such measures on biodiversity are considered; • Biodiversity – measures to make the transport network more resilient to climate change should be designed to maximise ecological value e.g. enhancing wildlife connectivity through linear transport features such as canal tow paths, rights of way, road verges, cycle routes and railway embankments; • Climate Change – consideration could be given to the type of surfacing used during highway maintenance to cope with warmer summers and colder winters; • Climate Change – where possible, drainage solutions opportunities such as SUDS should be considered as part of the management programme; • Poverty, Economic Inclusion – local demography of more vulnerable groups could be used to prioritise investment

8.2 Major Schemes Mitigation and Enhancement

The majority of the major schemes will be subject to a formal Environmental Impact Assessment or informal environmental appraisal at the project level. Therefore, specific mitigation and enhancement measures have not been detailed in this report. The mitigation and enhancement table below highlights some general measures to be considered for major schemes.

Table 8.7: Major Schemes – Mitigation and Enhancement

Major Schemes
• Resource Use, Renewable Energy and GHG Emissions – building materials should be sources locally and the Government's Sustainable Construction Guide should be used to promote best practice. • Heritage Assets – promote opportunities to enhance the setting of any heritage assets that may be affected by the scheme, for example encourage sensitive design; and arrange construction work sites to keep the effects on listed buildings to a minimum. • Waste – opportunities to re-use excavated material in the design; and opportunities for waste minimisation segregation on site. • Landscape – use screen planting to improve visual amenity; provide aftercare and maintenance of landscaping; ensure that the character and setting of the existing landscape is an important consideration in the design and that the design is sensitive to the existing landscape; • Water Quality – store run-off water through drainage; use SUDS, e.g. permeable surfacing and where appropriate, attenuation ponds; and maintain drainage systems to avoid blockages; use vegetation to stop overland run-off. • Climate Change – identify drains/watercourses at risk; improve highway drainage and use of porous road surfaces; and consider the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems and measures to divert flood water • Land, Soil – ensure that areas of open space lost as a result of the widening are replaced. • Access – ensure appropriate pedestrian signage for division to allow continued access of the station during the works. • Biodiversity and landscape – acknowledge the need to recognise the importance of protecting and enhancing the natural environment, including biodiversity, landscape, geodiversity and soils by avoiding, mitigating or compensating for negative impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure; and where possible securing environmental gain from all activities affecting the maintenance, operation and improvement of the transport networks.

9. Conclusions

9.1 Overall Conclusions

The SA/SEA process has demonstrated the predicted effects of implementing the Merseyside LTP3 Strategy. Overall the transport Goals and associated actions/interventions set out in the LTP3 are likely to have positive effects in terms of relieving congestion, encouraging modal shift, improving public transport, maximising use of the existing network, and increasing road safety, which will have positive effect on accessibility, health, safety, air quality, climate change, sustainable transport and economic development. Some measures outlined in the LTP3 are likely to have negative effects, such as landtake, habitat loss, waste generation, resource use and disturbance to heritage assets. Mitigation and enhancement measures have been suggested to help enhance and mitigate the predicted effects of implementing the LTP3. Mitigation measures include measures that can be used to inform the development of the LTP3 e.g. changes to strategy wording, addition of interventions etc; and measures to be taken following implementation of the LTP3 e.g. design, construction, operation and maintenance mitigation and enhancements. Specific recommendations identified for changes to the LTP3 format and wording (taken from the mitigation tables in Section 8) are:

- it was highlighted that the LTP3 does not currently document any actions to support the intervention of walking under Goal 5 – the efficient movement of people and goods. Under this goal, specific measures should be developed to support the intervention of walking, as people often walk to connect to other public transport modes, particularly commuters. It is important that good pedestrian links are provided from office developments to local services; and that actions are developed to ensure that routes are created and maintained for active travellers.
- links should be made in the LTP3 to each of the Council's Core Strategies to ensure that the soft measures set out in the smarter choices and behavioural change programmes are supported by infrastructure improvements, of which are fundamental to support the move towards a low carbon transport system.
- measures should be taken to market the benefits of low emission and electric vehicles and a strong business case should be developed to support the long-term action of the LTP3.

9.2 Incorporating the Results of the SA/SEA into the LTP3

9.2.1 Informing development of the LTP3

The provisional Merseyside LTP3, for which this SA/SEA has been undertaken, contains a number of interventions and major schemes that have been identified for implementation during the LTP3 period. The interventions and major schemes will be finalised and prioritised once the levels of funding are confirmed and following a further round of public and stakeholder consultation. The results of the SA/SEA has been used to identify whether the proposed interventions and major schemes, presented in the provisional LTP3, are acceptable in terms of their potential effect on the environment, society and the economy. Following consultation the results of the SA/SEA process will be used to inform the development of the Final LTP3 by assisting with the prioritisation of the interventions and major schemes. Once the LTP3 has been adopted a supplementary SA/SEA note will be produced outlining how the comments from consultation have been incorporated into the SA/SEA process and how the results from the SA/SEA has then been used to inform the development of the final LTP3.

9.2.2 Mitigation and Enhancement Measures following Implementation of the LTP3

In addition to informing the production of the final LTP3 and assisting with the prioritisation of the interventions and major schemes, the SA/SEA process has also been used to develop measures that will seek to prevent, offset or reduce any potential adverse effects that the implementation of those interventions and major schemes presented in the LTP3 may have on the environment, society or the economy. The SA/SEA process has also identified opportunities for environmental, social and economic enhancement. These mitigation and enhancement measures should be taken forward following implementation of the LTP3 as they relate to design, construction, operation and maintenance.

10. Implementation and Monitoring

10.1 Links to Other Tiers of Plans, Programmes and the Project Level

The Merseyside LTP3 helps deliver and support several local national plans and transport priorities including the Local Development Framework and DaSTS. Improvements to the transport network including public transport, walking and cycling will have positive effects on tourism, accessibility, social inclusion and health which may help support strategies on tourism, culture and health.

The LTP3 has been assessed at a high strategic policy level. Specific schemes detailed in the LTP3 may be subject to an Environmental Impact Assessment under the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 1999 (as amended). Requirements for EIA will be determined on a scheme by scheme basis once the scheme is at the stage to be taken forward.

10.2 Proposals for Monitoring

Monitoring the significant sustainability effects of implementing the LTP3 is an essential ongoing element of the SA/SEA process. It is the responsibility of the Merseyside Transport Partnership to undertake LTP and SA/SEA monitoring. Monitoring ensures that the identified SA/SEA objectives are being achieved, allows early identification of unforeseen adverse effects and thus appropriate remedial action can be taken. Monitoring will be an important requirement to measure performance and ensure the LTP3 is being successfully implemented. The DfT guidance states that it is inappropriate to monitor everything. Therefore the monitoring proposals outlined in Table 10.1 have been selected from SA/SEA indicators presented in Table 4.6 and focus on significant affects including those which:

- Indicate a likely breach of international, national or local legislation, recognised guidelines or standards;
- May give rise to irreversible damage, with a view to identifying trends before such damage occurs; and
- Were subject to uncertainty in the SA/SEA and where monitoring would enable prevention or mitigation measures to be taken.

Table 10.1: Monitoring Proposals

Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Objective	Goal & Action/Intervention	SA/SEA Indicators	Type of Data	Format of Data	Monitoring Technique	Data Source	Review Timescale
To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Goal 3 – Road Safety Goal 2 - Fleet Vehicles	Proportion of greenhouse gas emissions from transport	Quantitative	Statistics	Review MAES statistics	Merseyside Atmospheric Emissions Inventory	Annual
To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	Goal 3 – Health/Equality Goal 4 – Fares, Information & Ticketing Goal 4 - Public Transport Goal 5 – Public Transport Goal 5 - Cycling	Accessibility of workless residents to employment locations (LTP PI 13)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Affordability – Index of transport usage costs (LTP PI 12)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	Goal 2- Freight Traffic Goal 3 – Cycling & Walking Goal 5 – Public Transport Goal 2- Modal Shift	Number of applications for transport schemes identified as affecting scheduled monuments, listed buildings, SMR sites or Conservation Areas. Identify if applications approved or rejected and if approved identify planning conditions	Quantitative	Statistics	Collect and review transport planning applications from local authority Development Control departments	Local Authority Development Control departments	Annual
To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	Goal 5 – Public Transport Goal 5 – Cycling Goal 2 - Modal Shift, Goal 2 - Public Transport	Area (ha) of medium and high value ecological land lost to transport projects (that has not been compensated for in additional habitat creation)	Quantitative	Statistics	Collect and review transport planning applications from local authority Development Control departments	Local Authority Development Control departments	Annual

Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Objective	Goal & Action/Intervention	SA/SEA Indicators	Type of Data	Format of Data	Monitoring Technique	Data Source	Review Timescale
	Goal 2 - Traffic Goal 2 - Freight Traffic						
To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	Goal 5 – Public Transport Goal 5 – Cycling Goal 2 - Modal Shift Goal 2 - Public Transport Goal 2 - Traffic	Number of applications for planning for transport schemes with a accompanying EIA or similar assessment where landscape and visual issues have been identified as an issue. Identify if application approved or rejected and if approved identify planning conditions	Quantitative	Statistics	Collect and review transport planning applications from local authority Development Control departments	Local Authority Development Control departments	Annual
To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	Goal 5 – Public Transport Goal 2 - Modal Shift	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to EA advice on flooding or water quality grounds (major transport applications)	Quantitative	Statistics	Collect and review transport planning applications from local authority DC departments / EA website review	Local Authority Development Control departments	Annual
To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	No significant negative effects were identified from the appraisal on air quality; however this objective will continue to be monitored in order to ensure that the LTP does not give rise to significant effects on air quality.	Environment standard of bus fleet (Euro III or equivalent) (LTP PI 18)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Congestion (person delay) (LTP PI LTP7)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Changes in peak period traffic flows in urban centres (LTP PI LTP6)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	Goal 1 Goal 3 – Road Safety Goal 3 - Health/Equality	Total number of people killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents (LTP PI BVPI199(x))	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Number of children killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents (LTP PI BVPI199(y))	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual

Merseyside LTP3 SA/SEA Objective	Goal & Action/Intervention	SA/SEA Indicators	Type of Data	Format of Data	Monitoring Technique	Data Source	Review Timescale
	Goal 4 – Access to Education Goal 4 – Joint Working to address Common Objectives	Crime/fear of crime on and around public transport: - number of broken window incidents recorded on public transport - proportion of people who are discouraged from PT use at night (LTP PI 15)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	Goal 2 - Traffic Goal 2 - Public Transport Goal 3 – Road Safety Goal 4 – Fares, Information & Ticketing	Bus punctuality (LTP PI LTP5)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Number of households within 800m of an hourly or better bus service	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	Goal 2 - Traffic	Mode share of journeys to schools (LTP PI LTP4)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Public transport patronage: - bus - rail (LTP PI BVPI102(a) and (b))	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Cycling - Index of usage (LTP PI LTP3)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
		Travel to work modal share indicator (LTP PI 20)	Quantitative	Statistics	Review information collected for LTP monitoring	Merseyside LTP Annual Progress Reports	Annual
To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geodiversity	Goal 2 - Freight Traffic Goal 5 – Public Transport Goal 2 - Modal Shift	Number of applications for transport schemes on greenfield sites	Quantitative	Statistics	Review planning applications for transport schemes	Local Authority Development Control departments	Annual

11. References

Department for Transport (January 2010) Draft: Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes – TAG Unit 2.11D

Department for Transport (2008) Delivering a Sustainable Transport System

Department of Communities and Local Government (November 2005) Sustainability Appraisal of Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Development Documents

Department of Communities and Local Government (September 2005) A Practical Guide to the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive

Department of Communities and Local Government (2005) Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development

Government Office for the North West (2008) Regional Spatial Strategy for the North West of England

HM Government (March 2005) Securing the Future – Delivering the UK Sustainable Development Strategy

Liverpool First (2009) Liverpool 2024: A Thriving International City - Sustainable Community Strategy

Merseytravel (2006) Merseyside Local Transport Plan 2, 2006-2011

Merseytravel (2010) A New Mobility Culture for Merseyside: The Third Local Transport Plan Preferred Strategy – Draft for Consultation

Sefton Borough Partnership (2007) A Vision for Sefton - The Community Strategy

St. Helens Local Strategic Partnership (2009) St. Helens Sustainable Community Strategy

The Knowsley Partnership (2008) Knowsley: The Borough of Choice - Sustainable Community Strategy 2008 - 2023

Wirral Local Strategic Partnership (2009) Wirral 2025: More Equal, More Prosperous - The Community Strategy

Appendices

Appendix A. Scoping Report Comments 72
Appendix B. Policies, Plans, Programmes and Environmental Objectives relevant to the Merseyside LTP3 83
Appendix C. Baseline Conditions and Key Issues 114
Appendix D. Appraisal Tables 162
Appendix E. SA/SEA Consultation Reponses 222

Appendix A. Scoping Report Comments

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
Natural England Letter dated 12th April 2010 from Clare Warburton, Senior Specialist, Transport Advocacy & Partnerships Team	**General Comments**	
	Natural England are pleased to see the SEA recognising that landscape and nature conservation are important issues in relation to transport planning, as well as countryside access and recreation. However Natural England would like to see green infrastructure being recognised in the same light, and to see Rights of Way Improvement Plans (ROWIPs) being integrated into the LTP3 process	Green infrastructure will be considered as part of the assessment process and if not already incorporated into the LTP3 will be recommended in the SA/SEA as an enhancement measure. The ROWIPs, although it is part of the LTP process it is in itself a separate document, and will developed by Merseytravel as part of the LTP preparation. This SA/SEA focuses on the LTP strategy and implementation plan and will consider the ROWIP if it is sufficiently developed in time for the assessment.
	Natural England has set out its priorities for LTPs in its 'Guidance on Local Transport Plans and the Natural Environment', 2009 (http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/Images/local-trans-plans_tcm6-15159.pdf). Adoption of these priorities within the LTP will help to maximise the benefits for the natural environment as assessed in the SEA.	Section 4.1 and Appendix A in the Scoping Report have been amended to include 'Guidance on Local Transport Plans and the Natural Environment' (Natural England, 2009)
	Natural England is pleased to see that there is detailed information on the SEA, LTP and HRA processes, and their integration. Natural England note that a separate scoping report will be provided for the HRA and Natural England look forward to providing input into this process.	No action required
	Natural England notes that reference is made to the DCLG Guidance 'Sustainability Appraisal of Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Development Documents' (pages ii, 1 and 9). This guidance is no longer current and for local development documents has been superseded by guidance now provided as part of the Plan Making Manual. This is hosted on the Planning Advisory Service website: http://www.pas.gov.uk/pas/core/page.do?pageId=109798	Reference to DCLG Guidance removed as appropriate.
	Methodology	
	Natural England are pleased to see the SEA Scoping report indicating how the LTP's vision, aims, objectives, policies and proposals are to be assessed and documented. Natural England note that in Section 7.1.2 (Task B3) reference is made to the geographical scale of effects and Natural England would encourage you to ensure that this includes cross-boundary effects with other authorities. Similarly Natural England would encourage a full consideration of secondary, cumulative, and synergistic	Report amended on page 37 to include cross-boundary effects with other authorities.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	effects.	
	Review of Relevant Plans, Policies and Programmes	
	Natural England welcome the comprehensive review of relevant plans, policies and programmes, and are pleased to see that the implications for the LTP are being considered.	No action required
	A full list of those plans, policies and programmes that Natural England consider most relevant for the SEA of a local transport plan are included in Appendix 1 and Natural England would like to see that all the documents are taken into account in the ongoing SEA.	Appendix 1 provided by Natural England has been reviewed. Section 4.1 and Appendix A in the Scoping Report have been amended to include these additional plans, policies and programmes as appropriate.
	Natural England also see that although "Towards a Sustainable Transport System" is discussed on page 15, it is not included within the plans, policies and programmes list in either the main report or appendix.	Section 4.1 and Appendix A have been amended to include "Towards a Sustainable Transport System"
	Baseline Information	
	With regard to the Local Context for the LTP, Natural England would particularly like to see the SEA making links to the Local Area Agreement and showing how LTP3 will help deliver against the Authorities' chosen indicators, specifically NI 175, 185, 186 and relevant health indicators.	Report amended on page 22 to note that the baseline information provides the basis for predicting and monitoring effects and helps to identify sustainability problems and alternative ways of dealing with them in respect of national, regional and local targets and trends including those set out in the Local Area Agreement. The baseline information in Appendix B has been updated with data on NI 186. Data on NI 175 and 185 was unavailable for the local authorities included in the Merseyside LTP3.
	Natural England are pleased to see detailed baseline information has been included in Appendix B, however there are some gaps in some areas which Natural England consider to be important as detailed below: • Whilst there is information provided on SSSIs and their condition, there is a lack of information on the internationally important biodiversity sites (SACs, SPAs and Ramsar sites) that are in and within the vicinity of the plan area. This information will be important in informing the Habitats Regulations Assessment that will be undertaken using the integrated assessment/appraisal. Information on locally designated sites is also currently missing from the baseline review.	The baseline data has been updated to include information on SACs. SPAs and Ramsar sites. More information on these sites will be included in the Habitats Regulations Assessment. Where possible information on locally designated sites has also been included in the baseline information.
	• Transport infrastructure can act as important wildlife corridors and therefore has particular relevance for enabling species movement to allow adaptation to climate change. In addition the soft estate controlled by local authorities can have considerable biodiversity value.	This will be a consideration at Stage B during the assessment of the LTP3 alternatives.
	• Natural England notice that geodiversity is not included within the section on environmental issues and without any baseline information Natural England are unable to determine whether this is because they have been discounted from the process on the basis of the baseline information reviewed, or whether	Limited data relating to geodiversity is currently available, however this has been included in the baseline information and SEA objective 15 has been

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	they have been omitted from consideration.	updated to include geodiversity.
	• Natural England also note that there is information included in the baseline over which the LTP can have very little or no influence, although Natural England appreciate that some of this information may be related to the HIA (e.g. levels of smoking). As the SEA develops Natural England would encourage the inclusion of further baseline information for assets/resources which could be potentially affected from the implementation of the LTP (both positive and negative effects).	This will be a consideration as the SEA develops, especially at Stage B, during the assessment of the LTP3 alternatives. As appropriate further baseline information will be included.
	In relation to baseline information, Natural England would like the SEA to show how well the plan will: • conserve and enhance landscape (and townscape) character and quality; • conserve and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity; • conserve and enhance opportunities for sustainable public access to the natural environment; • adopt a strategic approach to planning and provision of multi functional green infrastructure; • ensure the natural environment can adapt to and mitigate for the effects of climate change.	This will be a consideration as the SEA progresses into Stages B and C.
	Natural England would recommend including information on key environmental assets including: • Landscape: – NW Regional Landscape Character Framework – Countryside Quality Counts; – Protected landscapes - boundaries of National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs) and the location of Heritage Coasts; • Biodiversity: – Protected Areas and Species – UK BAP information – SSSI condition • Geodiversity and soils • Access: – National Trails, – Open access – Coastal access – Other access e.g. permissive access – PROW • Green Infrastructure	The baseline has been updated with information from these sources where appropriate.
	In particular Natural England would recommend the following information sources: National and Regional 'State of the Natural Environment' reports can be found on the Natural England	The baseline has been updated with information from these sources where appropriate.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	website. The national report presents evidence on the current state of the natural environment including: • Landscapes – characterisation, designated and defined landscapes; geodiversity, soils, and cultural landscapes; • Biodiversity – SSSI condition, Biodiversity Action Plans (BAPs) for marine and terrestrial habitats, protected species. • Enjoying the Natural Environment – volunteering, visitor activity, National Trails and Public Rights of Way (PROW), open access and coastal access, accessible green space, • Pressures and Risks – climate change, invasive species and diseases, use and management of land and sea, pollution.	
	The regional report covers the key issues affecting the North West. These reports complement those of other agencies such as the Environment Agency, which cover other environmental issues including air and water quality. The NW Regional Landscape Character Framework – which can be found on the Natural England website, brings together information about geology, landform, biodiversity, history and land use to provide an integrated geographic framework for the North West. See http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/regions/north_west/ourwork/landscapecharacterframework.aspx The Countryside Quality Counts (CQC) project has developed an indicator of change in countryside quality based on landscape character. More information can be found at www.countryside-quality-counts.org.uk. www.magic.org.uk, is a web-based interactive map, bringing together geographic information on key environmental schemes and designations in one place. www.natureonthemap.org.uk is one of Natural England's interactive map sites. In the MAPS tab you will discover a choice of maps about nature, including National Nature Reserves, SSSIs, European other protected sites and areas of semi-natural habitats. North West Biodiversity Forum is a useful source of information on embedding Regional Biodiversity Targets into Local Development Frameworks. NW habitat targets by county: http://www.biodiversitynw.org.uk/page.asp?id=79. Habitats by local authority: http://www.biodiversitynw.org.uk/audit/habitats/; Species by local authority: http://www.biodiversitynw.org.uk/audit/species/	The baseline has been updated with information from these sources where appropriate.
	The SEA report should include a variety of data concerning recreation and access to countryside, including data on Public Rights of Way and Access Land as both are relevant to the Local Transport Plan. Guidance on LTP and ROWIP integration can be found in Natural England's good practice note: http://naturalengland.etraderstores.com/NaturalEnglandShop/Product.aspx?ProductID=a9f67df9-f61d-40ae-9ed7-457b60b89394 Natural England has a statutory duty under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 to prepare maps of all open countryside and registered common land in England, which have new rights of open access.	The baseline has been updated with information from these sources where appropriate.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	Further information on this process, and copies of maps, can be found on the following website: www.openaccess.gov.uk The following website: http://www.wfh.naturalengland.org.uk/ includes information on Natural England's Walking for Health project, for which Merseyside is a target area. Useful information on green infrastructure can be found in NE176 - Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance 2009 and the North West's Green Infrastructure prospectus available from the following websites: http://naturalengland.etraderstores.com/NaturalEnglandShop/Product.aspx?ProductID=cda68051-1381-452f-8e5b-8d7297783bbd http://www.greeninfrastructurenw.co.uk/resources/Prospectus_V6.pdf	
	Sustainability Issues & Problems	
	The key issues provided in Section 5.3 of the scoping report pick up on some of the areas where Natural England has identified potential issues and opportunities that could arise through LTP implementation. However given that the baseline information has not always been focused on areas where the LTP can have an influence, it is likely that further issues will be identified as additional baseline information is reviewed.	The additional baseline has been reviewed and further key issues maybe identified during the SEA assessment process
	In the methodology for SEA task B5 (p38) it is stated that the SEA team will look at opportunities for enhancement to gain added benefits for the LTP. At present however section 5.3 tends to focus on adverse effects, for example the issues linked to Objective 5 relate to damages to sites and species, without providing any information on the potential opportunities to enhance these resources. Natural England would encourage the SEA to consider not just measures to reduce the levels of such damage but also to consider opportunities for enhancing the situation.	As the SEA progresses to Stage B5, consideration will be given to potential opportunities for enhancement, as well as potentially adverse effects.
	A further example is provided by Objective 10 where section 5.3 considers 'impacts on health' without providing an indication of how, through the SEA, the LTP can help to promote more healthy lifestyles, particularly around travel patterns and modes.	The LTP3 provides a good opportunity to encourage healthy and active lifestyles through investment in cycle and pedestrian routes and facilities and public transport. Aiming to encourage modal shift and reduce reliance on cars, this may have other health benefits in terms of air quality.
	Through the ongoing SEA and LTP3 development processes Natural England believe that the following sustainability issues and opportunities should be considered: **Issue:** Climate change and carbon emissions from transport **Opportunities:** Mitigation of and adaptation to climate change through: • reducing carbon emissions; • making best use of existing transport infrastructure • making use of green infrastructure associated with transport networks for climate change adaptation	These issues and opportunities will be considered during Stage B of the SEA process.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	e.g. carbon storage, sustainable drainage, energy generation, and water conservation. • reducing the need to travel • shifting necessary travel to more sustainable modes (public rights of way and wider access network improvements) and behaviours, and locking in the benefits. **Issue:** Impacts on the natural environment from transport and associated infrastructure. **Opportunities:** • Conserving and enhancing local landscape (and townscape) character and quality, and local distinctiveness (including reducing noise and light pollution); • Conserving and enhancing biodiversity (habitats and species) and geodiversity; • Maintaining and enhancing green infrastructure as part of the transport network for its wide ranging contribution to biodiversity; geodiversity; accessible recreation and associated health benefits; adapting to climate change (e.g. carbon storage, drainage, and water conservation); **Issue:** Poor access to the natural environment **Opportunities:** • Maintaining and enhancing sustainable access to green and open spaces, eg Ainsdale Sand Dunes, Ribble Estuary and Cabin Hill National Nature Reserves. • Maintaining and improving the public rights of way and wider access network (through integration with and implementation of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan); **Issue:** Obesity and poor mental and physical health of adults and children **Opportunities:** • Improving health through active travel and improved access to the natural environment, for example through our Walking for Health project and our Green Exercise programme. **Issue:** Car based visitor pressure affecting protected landscapes and sites of biodiversity value. **Opportunities:** • More sustainable access in rural locations that provide benefits for residents as well as visitors. • Protected sites becoming exemplars of sustainable transport	

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	Alternatives	
	The TAG Unit 2.11 guidance encourages authorities to identify LTP options at Stage A of the SEA process. However Natural England notice that apart from indicating that a 'Do Nothing' or 'Business as Usual' option will be included in the alternatives, there is no further information provided on other LTP alternatives.	At this stage the LTP options are still in early development and therefore have not been examined in detail in the Scoping Report and will be further explored in Stage B of the SEA/SA process.
	The assessment of alternatives is at the heart of the SEA process and Natural England would encourage the authorities to consider alternative approaches to meeting the objectives of the LTP and make it clear through the reporting processes what alternatives have been considered and the reasons for taking forward the preferred options.	The alternatives and reasoning behind the preferred options will be given detailed consideration during Stage B of the SEA/SA process and will be clearly documented in SEA/SA report in Stage C of the process.
	The Sustainability Appraisal Framework	
	Natural England support the use of SEA objectives in the assessment process and welcome the inclusion of a set of objectives in the scoping report. However there are some areas where Natural England would like to see amendments and additions to the framework of objectives:	
	• In relation to the objective "to protect, manage and restore land and soil quality" Natural England would like to see the addition of "and geodiversity" at the end of the objective. Geodiversity is an important component of the environment which is often not given due consideration within SEAs;	SEA Objective 15 amended to "To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geodiversity"
	• Natural England note that there are objectives relating to the promotion of health and improving accessibility to jobs and services, and a HIA objective on promoting healthy lifestyles. However Natural England would like to see the inclusion of "promoting healthy lifestyles" within objective 10 "to improve health and reduce health inequalities". The LTP3 can play an important role in helping to achieve this objective and it should therefore be given due consideration in the assessment of significant effects.	Healthy lifestyles will be covered as part of the HIA Objective 7
	With regard to the indicators proposed in Table 6.3 it is noted that, as with the baseline information, many of the indicators are not relevant for monitoring the SA/SEA of a transport plan. In order to streamline the assessment and monitoring processes it may be useful to reconsider the suite of indicators so that only those relevant to the LTP are used and more appropriate indicators identified as the baseline is updated (see comment above). For example in relation to Objective 10 the indicators are solely focused on human conditions and there are no indicators relating to take up of active travel modes or provision of access to open space. Natural England would like to see that the indicators suggested below in the monitoring section are included.	The indicators as they stand at the moment provide a baseline context of the area. During Stage B6, these indicators will be reviewed and tailored to the LTP3.
	Monitoring	
	As the SEA progresses, consideration should be given to the monitoring framework that will be used to monitor significant effects and identify any unforeseen effects resulting from the implementation of the LTP. Natural England would expect that such a framework would consider effects on both the natural environment and climate change.	Stage E monitoring will be undertaken annually by Merseyside Transport Partnership as part of their LTP Annual Monitoring Report (AMR). The SEA/SA report will provide a monitoring framework for Merseyside Transport Partnership based on the

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
		SA/SEA and HIA indicators identified and will aim to take into consideration the natural environment and climate change.
	To help address monitoring issues, Natural England would welcome the inclusion of indicators (such as those listed below) in any sustainability framework developed: • The use of Landscape Character Assessment and Countryside Quality Counts to provide baseline information, targets and indicators for 'landscape' and 'townscape'; (For further advice on landscape indicators for SEAs of LTPs see: http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/Images/landscapeindicators05_tcm6-10501.pdf) • Biodiversity Action Plan targets; • Habitat and species targets aligned to the work of the North West Biodiversity Forum; • Use of our 'Accessible Natural Greenspace Standards , (see ref below at Appendix A1.2.4); • Quality and length of Public Rights of Way. **Natural England would specifically welcome a target on km of new access routes for walkers, cyclists and horseriders, that will be created as a result of the third Local Transport Plan**; • National standards such as 'Green Flag' for parks and open spaces, and Country Parks accreditation scheme etc.	As above, monitoring of the LTP will form of Stage E of the SEA process and will be undertaken annually by Merseyside Transport Partnership as part of their LTP Annual Monitoring Report (AMR). The SEA/SA report will provide a monitoring framework for Merseyside Transport Partnership based on the SA/SEA and HIA indicators identified and will aim to take into consideration those indicators identified by Natural England.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
Liverpool First for Health and Wellbring Strategic Issue Partnership Email dated 16th April 2010 from Alison Petrie-Brown, Population Health Policy and Strategy Manager	**1. Are there any additional plans or programmes at the international, national, regional or local level which have been excluded from Appendix A, which your organisation thinks are relevant to the LTP3 SA/SEA and HIA?**	
	2009 Zagreb Declaration for Healthy Cities: Health and health equity in all local policies http://www.euro.who.int/Document/E92343.pdf LFfHW is committed to promoting health and health equity in all local policies and feels that health equity should be an important principle for LTP3 development.	The 2009 Zagreb Declaration for Healthy Cities policy has been included in Section 4.1 and Appendix A in the Scoping Report.
	2. Do you think the environmental, social and economic baseline collected is appropriate and relevant?	
	It should be clear that baseline information may be applicable under more than one SEA/HIA objective e.g. • Total area of publicly accessible open land/green space • Total area of publicly accessible urban green space • Number of children killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents • Fuel poverty would, among others, be directly relevant to SEA Objective 10 – to improve health and reduce health inequalities.	The Scoping Report has been amended on page 22 to note that baseline information may be applicable under more than one SEA/HIA objective.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
	3. Is any environmental, social and economic baseline information currently missing?	
	Place related indicators (other NIs included in Place Survey)? LAA Local Indicators could be reviewed, not only for relevance, but for experience of barriers to implementation.	National Indicator data from the Place Survey (2008) have been added under SEA Objectives 9, 10 and 11 and to HIA Objectives 3, 7, 8, 10 and 16.
	4. Is there any inaccurate environmental, social and economic baseline information?	
	Not inaccurate as such, but there is a reliance on national model estimated figures in some areas of health data e.g. smoking prevalence and adult obesity. Where there is relevant local data such as Liverpool's Household Survey of Smoking or NI 8 Adult participation in sport and active recreation, these should also be referenced.	The baseline has been updated with information relating to NI 8 under SEA Objective 10 and HIA Objective 7. Data relating to the
	5. Do you agree with the review of the current key sustainability issues in the Merseyside area?	
	Overemphasis on obesity?	Obesity has been considered a key issue in the area and where possible obesity has been split into adult and childhood obesity to breakdown this key issue. Also Knowsley, Liverpool and Sefton have all included NI 56: *Obesity in primary school age children in Year 6* as one of their local priorities under the Local Area Agreement. This information has been added to the baseline
	6. Are the SA/SEA and HIA and associated indicators suitable for the LPT3?	
	Possible lack of information on health and care systems and sustainability. Transport closely associated with changing health and care environment - currently 'closer to home' and 'personalisation'. Indicator related to emergency planning? General serious incidents but also 'pandemic' effects?	No relevant indicators are currently available – this will be discussed in conjunction with Merseytravel and the local authorities.
	7. Does the wording of any existing objectives need to be changed, added or removed?	
	HIA 6 – suggest physical health is reinstated under Objective 6 as there are aspects of physical health that are not relevant to healthy lifestyles e.g. long term conditions, mobility	HIA Objective 6 has been amended to state “To improve mental well-being and physical health”
	8. Do the draft SA/SEA and HIA indicators provide a relevant measure for the objective? If not can you suggest appropriate alternatives?	
	HIA Objective 3 – NI3, 4 Objective 4 – The NEETs population is currently a strong indicator of resilience to economic situation Objective 7 – adult obesity is an estimate (see 4 above) – (NW lifestyles survey may be relevant if to be repeated within the life of LTP3) Objective 9 – Child deaths/injuries in traffic accidents may be a stronger indicator as it is a clearer expression of inequalities Objective 15 – respiratory disease (outcome indicator)	The HIA Objective baseline information has been updated where appropriate.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
English Heritage Email dated 7th April 2010 from Judith Nelson, Regional Planner	English Heritage are unable to reply in detail, however reference should be made to English Heritage's recently published guidance on SA/SEA and the Historic Environment which you can download from www.helm.org.uk.	The" SEA/SA and Historic Environment" document has been included in Section 4.1 and Appendix A in the Scoping Report.

Consultee	Consultee Comment	MM Response
Environment Agency Letter dated 23rd April 2010 from Stephen Sayce, Planning Liaison Officer	The Environment Agency welcomes the SA/SEA and Merseyside LTP3 objectives as outlined in the Scoping Report. The Environment Agency's Corporate Strategy: Creating a Better Place 2010-2015 supports this approach and the Environment Agency will work with people, communities, businesses and other organisations to achieve this. The Environment Agency will work to protect and improve water, land, air and act to reduce climate change and its consequences.	No action required
	With reference to the Water Framework Directive, the River Basin Management Plans are now complete and have been approved by the Secretary of State. They plan on how to protect and improve the watercourse. They can be downloaded from: http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/research/planning/33106.aspx	The Scoping Report has been amended on page 25 to state that the River Basin Management Plans have now been approved by the Secretary of State. The report "Water for life and livelihoods – River Basin Management Plan North West River Basin District" has been included in Section 4.1 and Appendix A in the Scoping Report.
	When considering flood risk, each of the LTP Local Authorities have now undertaken and completed Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA) as part of their Local Development Framework. They go further than the Environment Agency flood maps to provide further details of flood risk and the (potential) impacts within their respective Authority. Furthermore it should be noted that there are other sources of flood risk including groundwater, sewer and surface water run-off. Many of these are picked up within the SFRAs. Some Authorities are now starting to assess the impacts of surface water flooding by undertaking surface water management plans.	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessments for Knowsley & Sefton, Liverpool and St.Helens have been included in Section 4.1 and Appendix A in the Scoping Report for consideration during the SEA/SA assessment process
	Under LTP3 SA/SEA Objective 14 the wording should go further to mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change, including flood risk.	SEA Objective updated to "To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk"
	Under LTP3 SA/SEA Objective 15 (pg 33) an indicator could be considered to be formally contaminated land successfully brought back to use, as it may not necessarily be picked up by proportion of development on previously used land.	Consideration has been given to including an indicator on the amount of formally contaminated land that is successfully brought back into use, however as the LTP local authorities handle this information differently, it would be difficult to process this information into one general indicator.

	The report is broadly correct in its comments regarding when the Environment Agency will object to certain planning applications. It should however be noted that the Environment Agency will review each planning application thoroughly and provide appropriate responses accordingly. Therefore the reasons for objection may go further than those listed on page 105.	The Scoping Report has been amended on page 109 to reflect this comment.

Appendix B. Policies, Plans, Programmes and Environmental Objectives relevant to the Merseyside LTP3

Plan, Policy or Programme International and European	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development (2002)	The World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg, South Africa, from 2 to 4 September 2002, reaffirms our commitment to sustainable development. The representatives committed themselves to building a humane, equitable and caring global society, cognizant of the need for human dignity for all through economic development, social development and environmental protection at the local, national, regional and global levels.	The LTP, SA/SEA should encourage the sustainable use of resources, energy efficiency and protect and enhance biodiversity
The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance 1971 (amended 1982)	Requires signatory states to designate important wetlands for conversation in particular waterfowl habitats. Designation of Ramsar Sites to be protected from development.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to protect designated sites
The Convention on Biological Diversity, Rio de Janeiro, 1992	The main driver of the SEA Directive. Article 6A of the Convention requires each Contracting Party to develop national strategies, plans and programmes for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to protect and where possible enhance biodiversity and geo-diversity
United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1994)	Framework convention of which the UK is a signatory. Led to the adoption of the Kyoto Protocol in 1997.	Transport is a significant contributor to climate change. The LTP, SA/SEA and HIA should aim to improve air quality and help reduce climate change through encouraging sustainable modes of transport and reduce reliance on the car
Kyoto Protocol (1997)	Implemented measures to limit and / or reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. The protocol was ratified in 2004.	Transport is a significant contributor to climate change. The LTP, SA/SEA and HIA should aim to improve air quality and help reduce climate change through encouraging sustainable modes of transport and reduce reliance on the car
EU Landfill Directive (1999) 99/31/EC	The landfill directive came into force in 1999. The directive aims to reduce the pollution potential from landfilled waste that can impact on surface water, groundwater, soil, air, and also contribute to climate change. In addition it sets demanding targets to reduce the amount of biodegradable municipal waste sent to landfill.	The SA/SEA should include objectives for sustainable waste management. Transport infrastructure will require excavation of materials and where possible this should be reused or recycled.
European Climate Change Programme	To combat climate change by means of various cross-cutting measure in the fields of energy, industry and transport.	Transport is a significant contributor to climate change. The LTP, SA/SEA and HIA should aim to improve air quality and help reduce climate change through encouraging sustainable modes of transport and reduce reliance on the car
EU Environmental Noise Directive	To define a common approach intended to avoid, prevent or reduce noise on a prioritised basis including	New developments and related transport

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	the harmful effects of exposure to environmental noise in built-up-areas, public parks or other quiet areas.	can affect levels of noise. The LTP, SA/SEA and HIA should aim to encourage cycling and walking, reducing noise from cars.
EU Sustainable Development Strategy (2006)	On 9th June 2006, the European Council approved the new EU Sustainable Development Strategy (EU SDS). It aims to achieve continuous improvement of quality of life both for current and for future generations, through the creation of sustainable communities able to manage and use resources efficiently and to tap the ecological and social innovation potential of the economy, ensuring prosperity, environmental protection and social cohesion.	The LTP, SA/SEA should encourage the sustainable use of resources, energy efficiency and protect and enhance biodiversity
EU Air Quality Directive (2008) 2008/50/EC	This recent directive for ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe came into force on 11 June 2008. The directive is one of the key measures outlined in the 2005 Thematic Strategy on air pollution adopted by the Commission in September 2005. It establishes ambitious, cost-effective targets for improving human health and environmental quality up to 2020.	Transport can affect air quality. The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to encourage forms of transport that do not contribute to reducing local air quality such as cycling and walking,
EU Air Quality Framework Directive 96/62/EC	The Air Quality Framework Directive sets out the basic principals that detail how air quality should be assessed and managed in the Member States. A list is provided of the pollutants for which objectives and air quality standards will be developed and specified in legislation. The UK has been divided into zones and agglomerations within which the identified pollutants will be monitored.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to manage air quality in accordance with the objectives and standards detailed in the Directive and specified in legislation.
EU Waste Framework Directive (2008) 2008/98/EC)	This revised Directive replaces the existing Waste Directive, the Waste Oils Directive and the Hazardous Waste Directive. The new Directive clarifies the meaning of 'waste' and other concepts such as 'recycling' and 'recovery'. It applies a new waste hierarchy (prevention, re-use, recycling, recovery and as a last resort, environmental disposal), expands the 'polluter pays' principle by emphasising producer responsibility, applies more stringent waste reduction and waste management targets for Member States and requires enhanced content in waste management plans.	The SA/SEA should include objectives for sustainable waste management. Transport infrastructure will require excavation of materials and where possible this should be reused or recycled.
European Transport White Paper 'European Transport Policy for 2010: Time to Decide' (September 2001)	The White Paper identifies a number of the key transport problems in the European Union (EU), which include an unequal growth in different modes of transport, congestion on main road routes and the harmful effects on the environment and on health. The White Paper sets out proposals for some 60 measures aimed at developing and enhancing the European transport system. The White Paper asserts that a modern transport system must be sustainable from an economic and social as well as an environmental viewpoint.	The LTP, SA/SEA should provide objectives to reduce congestion and encourage active modes of transport.
Keep Europe Moving - Sustainable Mobility for our Continent - Mid term review of the White Paper (September 2006)	This mid-term review of the White Paper considers achieving high levels of mobility at the same time as achieving environmental protection and advocates a European sustainable mobility policy which seeks to achieve shifts to more environmentally friendly modes of transport, especially long distance, in urban areas and in congested corridors. The review also considers that all modes must become more environmentally friendly, safe and energy efficient. The review also considers the role of 'co-modality', that is the efficient use of different modes on their own and in combination, the outcome being an optimal and sustainable utilisation of resources.	The LTP, SA/SEA should provide objectives to reduce congestion and encourage active modes of transport
Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC	This Directive aims to establish a framework for the protection of inland surface waters, transitional waters, coastal waters and groundwater.	Surface water run-off from roads and hard surfaced areas can cumulatively pollute watercourses. The LTP and SA/SEA should consider the effects on groundwater, surface water and river water quality

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Habitats Directive (1992) 92/43/EEC	The aim of this Directive is to contribute towards ensuring bio-diversity through the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect habitats and species
Birds Directive (1979) 79/409/EEC	The Birds Directive identified 181 endangered species and sub-species for which the Member States are required to designate Special Protection Areas (SPAs).	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect habitats and species
European Landscape Convention (1991) 91/676/EC	Council of Europe initiative to focus attention on landscape. Its main principles are that good landscape is everybody's right; that everyone should be involved in landscape issues; all landscapes are important; that landscape will change; and that landscape can be created as well as protected and managed.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect landscape character
The Ramsar Convention (1971)	The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat was ratified by the UK in 1976.The Convention signed in Ramsar, Iran, is an intergovernmental treaty which provides the framework for national action and international co-operation for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect habitats and species
The Copenhagen Accord (2009)	The Copenhagen Accord is the document that delegates at the United Nations Climate Change Conference (UNCCC) agreed to "take note of" at the final plenary session of the Conference on 18 December 2009 (COP-15). It is a draft COP decision and, when approved, is operational immediately. The Accord underlines that climate change is one of the greatest challenges of our time and emphasises a "strong political will to urgently combat climate change in accordance with the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities"	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to reduce transports contribution to climate change through reduction of greenhouse emissions from transport
UNESCO World Heritage Convention	The 1972 World Heritage Convention links together in a single document the concepts of nature conservation and the preservation of cultural properties. It recognizes the way in which people interact with nature, and the fundamental need to preserve the balance between the two. The Convention sets out the duties of States Parties in identifying potential sites and their role in protecting and preserving them. By signing the Convention, each country pledges to conserve not only the World Heritage sites situated on its territory, but also to protect its national heritage. The States Parties are encouraged to integrate the protection of the cultural and natural heritage into regional planning programmes, set up staff and services at their sites, undertake scientific and technical conservation research and adopt measures which give this heritage a function in the day-to-day life of the community.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect and conserve cultural and natural heritage sites.
Zagreb Declaration for Healthy Cities: health and health equity in all local policies (2009)	This Declaration expresses the commitment of political leaders of cities in Europe to strengthen and champion action on health, health equity, sustainable development and social justice. Healthy Cities principles and values include: • Equity: addressing inequality in health, and paying attention to the needs of those who are vulnerable and socially disadvantaged; inequity is inequality in health that is unfair and unjust and avoidable causes of ill health. The right to health applies to all regardless of sex, race, religious belief, sexual orientation, age, disability or socioeconomic circumstance. • Participation and empowerment: ensuring the individual and collective right of people to participate in decision-making that affects their health, health care and well-being. Providing access to opportunities and skills development together with positive thinking to empower citizens to become self-sufficient. • Working in partnership: building effective multisectoral strategic partnerships to implement integrated approaches and achieve sustainable improvement in health. • Solidarity and friendship: working in the spirit of peace, friendship and solidarity through networking and respect and appreciation of the social and cultural diversity of the cities of the Healthy Cities movement. • Sustainable development: the necessity of working to ensure that economic development – and all its	Health equity should be an important principles for the LTP3 development

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	supportive infrastructural needs including transport systems – is environmentally and socially sustainable: meeting the needs of the present in ways that do not compromise the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.	
National		
The UK Government Sustainable Development Strategy – Securing the Future (2005)	Guiding principles are: • Living within environmental limits • Ensuring a strong, healthy and just society • Achieving a sustainable economy • Promoting good governance • Using sound science responsibly The UK priorities for immediate action are: • Sustainable consumption and production • Climate change and energy • Natural resource protection and environmental enhancement • Sustainable communities New set of high level indicators are introduced – 20 UK Framework Indicators. As headline indicators they cover key impacts and outcomes that reflect the priority areas. There are a further 48 indicators related to the priority areas. The indicators are to be reported annually.	Establishes the UK Government sustainable development objectives which should be incorporated into the LTP, SA/SEA
Climate change – UK Programme (2000)	As the key UK document on Climate Change it contains a very broad range of issues covering the UK's strategy for climate change, actions to reduce emissions and adaptation to climate change. The UK's legally binding target under the Kyoto Protocol to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions to 12.5% below 1990 levels by 2008-2012 and its domestic goal of a 20% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions below 1990 levels by 2010. Emissions reductions are focussed in the following sectors: • Energy supply; • Business; • Transport; • Domestic; • Agriculture, forestry and land use; and • Public sector.	Transport is a significant contributor to climate change. The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to improve air quality and help reduce climate change through encouraging sustainable modes of transport and reduce reliance on the car
Choosing Health: Making Healthy Choices Easier – The Health White Paper – Department of Health (2004)	Choosing Health sets out a starting point for national renewal of practical and acceptable action to make a difference to the health of people in England. The aim is for everyone to achieve greater health and mental wellbeing by making healthier choices. That means ensuring that those people in disadvantaged areas and groups have the opportunity to live healthier lives. The environment we live in, our social networks, our sense of security, socio-economic circumstances, facilities and resources in our local neighbourhood can affect individual health. There are unacceptable differences in people's experience of health between different areas and between different groups of people within the same area. Action by local authorities working with local communities, businesses and voluntary groups to tackle local health issues makes a difference to the opportunities for both adults and children to choose healthier lifestyles. The commitments include that there will be new opportunities for people who want to be more active	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to improve health through use of active modes of transport and improvements to public transport to facilitate modal shift.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	through cycling, walking, and easier access to sports facilities.	
Ports: Draft National Policy Statement for England & Wales (2009)	The draft National Policy Statement for ports sets out the broad need for ports capacity looking ahead to 2030 and beyond, taking account in particular of our forecasts of port freight demand and the regional and local economic benefits of port activity. It also restates the Government's long-standing policy that this need can be best be met by an efficient and competitive ports industry operating in a free-market environment. It further sets out, in the context of the Government's overall objectives for sustainable development, including mitigating and adapting to climate change and the achievement of good design, how the various potential adverse impacts of port development should be addressed by applicants with a view to avoiding, mitigating and where necessary compensating for such impacts. It notes how ports can support the development of low carbon energy sources and a low carbon economy.	The LTP should aim to encourage port activity where it will bring about local and regional economic benefits.
The UK Government Low Carbon Transition Plan (2009)	The UK Low Carbon Transition Plan indicates how the UK will meet the 34 percent cut in emissions on 1990 levels by 2020, set out in the budget. It aims to transform the country into a cleaner, greener and more prosperous place to live is at the heart of our economic plans for 'building Britain's future' and ensuring the UK is ready to take advantage of the opportunities ahead.	The LTP should include policies that aim to reduce CO_2 emissions and encourage forms of transport that do not emit CO_2
Planning for a Sustainable Future (2007)	The Planning White Paper sets out detailed proposals for reform of the planning system, building on Kate Barker's recommendations for improving the speed, responsiveness and efficiency in land use planning, and taking forward Kate Barker's and Rod Eddington's proposals for reform of major infrastructure planning. It proposes reforms on how decisions should be taken on nationally significant infrastructure projects - including energy, waste, waste-water and transport - responding to the challenges of economic globalisation and climate change. It also proposes further reforms to the Town and Country Planning system, building on the recent improvements to make it more efficient and more responsive.	The LTP and SA/SEA should encourage the sustainable use of resources, energy efficiency and protect and enhance biodiversity
Land Use & Transport: Settlement Patterns and Demand for Travel (2009)	This background technical report on 'Land Use and Transport - Settlement Patterns and the Demand for Travel' considers the relationship between urban structure and travel.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to link urban development and transport infrastructure
Tackling Health Inequalities: A programme for action 2003	This sets out plans to tackle health inequalities over the next three years. It establishes the foundations required to achieve the challenging national target for 2010 to reduce the gap in infant mortality across social groups, and raise life expectancy in the most disadvantaged areas faster than elsewhere.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to tackle health inequalities in the area through providing good public transport access to healthcare facilities
Sustainable Development: Environmental Strategy for the National Health Service (July 2005)	This document supersedes 'New environmental strategy for the NHS'. This Strategy explains how the NHS can achieve significant benefits, including cost savings and improving quality, by adopting an approach based on the sound principles of sustainable development, focusing on environmental issues, economic considerations and social impacts.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the objectives and aims of the Health Service
Energy White Paper: Our Energy Future – creating a low carbon economy (Feb 2003)	White Paper which includes the following major objectives: • Cutting carbon dioxide emissions by 60% by 2050 • Maintain the reliability of supplies • Ensure that every home is adequately and affordably heated.	Carbon Dioxide (CO_2) is one emission contributing to greenhouse gases emitted from vehicle exhausts. The LTP and SA/SEA should contain objectives for reducing CO_2 emissions
Walking and Cycling: An Action Plan (DFT, June 2004)	The action plan sets out measures from across government to increase levels of active travel by creating places to walk and cycle in and influencing travel behaviour through training, education, marketing and promotion.	The LTP , SA/SEA should encourage use of cycling and walking through improvements to the cycle and footpath networks

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
National Cycling Strategy (September 1996) and Modified (DFT, October 2004)	Guidance for developing cycling as a key mode of transport at local level for all types of Journeys. Target to double cycling by 2002 and again by 2012 from the 1995 base.	The LTP, SA/SEA should encourage cycling
Encouraging Walking: Advice to Local Authorities (DETR 2000)	Government proposals to make walking easier, safer and more pleasant. There are four reasons for this: • Walking is good for people. Getting out for a walk occasionally is better for most people than sitting in an armchair all the time. • Walking is good for communities. Streets are safer with people in them. • Walking is an essential part of most public transport journeys, and of some journeys mainly by car. • Walking accounts for more than 25% of all journeys, and for some 80% of journeys less than a mile. Anything that makes those journeys easier, more pleasant, and safer is benefiting a lot of people. The document is a working guide for the people who will put policy into action. It is based on the work of an advisory group drawn together from a wide range of organisations with interests in the issues to help improve the quality of peoples lives through walking.	The LTP, SA/SEA should encouraging walking and improving safety and security.
Power of Place (2000)	English Heritage was asked by Government in February 2000 to co-ordinate a wide-ranging review of all policies relating to the historic environment. A steering Group, chaired by English Heritage Chairman Sir Neil Cossons, oversaw the work of the Review. Research was commissioned from MORI to accompany the report. Power of Place was submitted to the Government and published in December 2000. Power of Place is about the future of England's historic environment, its role in people's lives, and its contribution to the cultural and economic well-being of the nation. It demonstrates that with, proper understanding and sensitive and open management, there can be desirable change without loosing the places we value.	Transport and new development schemes affects the historic environment in several ways including the ambience of the historical structures and features. The LTP and SA/SEA should ensure heritage assets are protected.
Transport 10 Year Plan (2000)	Government strategy to reduce pollution and congestion levels by improvements to existing transport infrastructure through integrated transport initiatives, development of new projects and public and private partnerships. Targets in England of relevance to this SA included increasing bus passenger journeys by 10%, the further introduction of park and ride schemes, bus priority schemes, the provision of integrated transport information and the introduction of Home Zones in housing areas.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to ensure reliability both for road and public transport user groups and consider land use opportunities to assist in the integration of transport and policies that seek to minimise the use of the private car.
Government Transport White Paper: The Future of Transport (2004)	The Future of Transport White Paper looks at the factors that will shape travel and transport over the next thirty years and sets out how the Government will respond to the increasing demand for travel, maximising the benefits of transport while minimising the negative impact on people and the environment. The White Paper states that a transport network that can meet the challenges of a growing economy and the increasing demand for travel is required, which also achieves the Government's environmental objectives. This means coherent transport networks with: • the road network providing a more reliable and freer-flowing service for both personal travel and freight, with people able to make informed choices about how and when they travel; • the rail network providing a fast, reliable and efficient service, particularly for interurban journeys and commuting into large urban areas; • bus services that are reliable, flexible, convenient and tailored to local needs; • making walking and cycling a real alternative for local trips; and	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to promote reliable and efficient public transport, encourage walking and cycling for local trips, reliable road transport network and recognise the need to improve international and domestic links from ports and airports.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	ports and airports providing improved international and domestic links.	
Delivering a Sustainable Transport System - Department for Transport (2008)	The Goals are: • to support national economic competitiveness and growth, by delivering reliable and efficient transport networks; • to reduce transport's emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, with the desired outcome of tackling climate change; • to contribute to better safety, security and health and longer life expectancy by reducing the risk of death, injury or illness arising from transport, and by promoting travel modes that are beneficial to health; • to promote greater equality of opportunity for all citizens, with the desired outcome of achieving a fairer society; and • to improve quality of life for transport users and non-transport users, and to promote a healthy natural environment.	The LTP and SA/SEA should encourage the sustainable use of resources and energy efficiency in new and existing transport infrastructure. Sustainable methods of transport aimed at cutting CO_2 and improving quality of life should be promoted.
LTP and ROWIP Integration – Good Practice Note (2009)	This document was developed in collaboration with DEFRA, Department for Transport and Natural England. The publication of the Department for Transport's Local Transport Plan (LTP) 2009 guidance offers an opportunity to local authority transport planning and rights of way officers to optimise the part that rights of way can play in the wider transport system. Linking statutory rights of way improvement plans to local transport plans will promote a shift to active travel, a more interesting and connected transport network and help lever funding for implementation schemes that meet several joint objectives. This good practice note gives advice on how to achieve these outcomes and make efficient use of funding through joined up working.	The LTP, SA/SEA should encourage integration of the transport system with public rights of way.
Guidance on Local Transport Plans and the Natural Environment (2009)	The purpose of this guidance is to provide advice to local transport authorities on how they might achieve prioritisation and protection of the natural environment in the development and implementation of their Local Transport Plans (LTPs). It is also intended to provide an early and clear indication of what Natural England will be looking for when responding to LTP consultations.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to take into consideration the guidance from Natural England.
UK Biodiversity Indicators in Your Pocket (2009)	The UK Government has committed to two important international targets to protect biodiversity: • In 2001, European Union Heads of State or Government agreed that biodiversity decline should be halted with the aim of reaching this objective by 2010 • In 2002, Heads of State at the United Nations World Summit on Sustainable Development committed themselves to achieve, by 2010, a significant reduction of the current rate of biodiversity loss at the global, regional and national level, as a contribution to poverty alleviation and to the benefit of all life on Earth A suite of biodiversity indicators for the UK was first published in June 2007. The latest indicators were published in 2009, these indicators show changes in aspects of biodiversity such as the population size of important species or the area of land managed for wildlife. They provide part of the evidence to assess whether the targets set out above have been achieved.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities for biodiversity
Climate Change and Biodiversity Adaptation: The Role of the Spatial Planning System (2009)	The purpose of the report is to help identify the role the planning system could play in assisting biodiversity adaptation to climate change. The key points addressed in the report are as follows: • The context for Natural England's engagement with Climate Change, including the likely impacts on biodiversity and the barriers to adaptation; and • The opportunities for facilitating biodiversity adaptation through spatial planning and development control, including regional and local plans and Sustainability Appraisal	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider climate change and biodiversity adaptation

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	The guidance uses the 12 interdependent guiding principles for effective biodiversity adaptation developed by Defra and the UK Biodiversity Partnership (2007) as a basis for identifying opportunities within the planning system.	
Biodiversity by Design (2004)	The purpose of the guide is to provide guidance on how to maximise the opportunities for biodiversity in the planning and design of sustainable communities. The guide includes best practise tools and techniques which can be tailored according to the scale of the development opportunity. The guide includes, for example: • Core design principles that form the basis of "biodiversity by design"; • Tools and techniques for analyzing a site and context; • How to master plan the green infrastructure for a sustainable community; and • Long term management and stewardship of green infrastructure.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider biodiversity and where possible, maximise the opportunities for biodiversity enhancement.
Open Space Strategies – Best Practise Guidance (2009)	This document offers clear, practical guidance to local authorities and their stakeholders on how to prepare an open space strategy. Furthermore, the document gives guidance on delivering, monitoring and reviewing an open space strategy.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider opportunities to maximise open space.
NE176 – Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance (2009)	This guidance provides a comprehensive overview of the concept of "green infrastructure" and signposts to other relevant information such as Natural England's green infrastructure definition, policy statement and track record in driving delivery. It also maps out wider policy priorities and drivers for green infrastructure. The guidance will help to: • Facilitate a co-ordinated and consistent approach to green infrastructure strategies; • Support colleagues and guide external partners in the effective delivery of sustainable green infrastructure; • Promote the contribution of green infrastructure to 'place-making', in addition to other government agendas and links to spatial planning; • Inspire through best practice examples and case studies of green infrastructure planning and delivery; and • Demonstrate that green infrastructure adds hugely to the value of plans and projects.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider an appropriate level of green infrastructure
By All Responsible Means: Inclusive Access to the Outdoors for Disabled People – 2003 (the Countryside Agency)	The guide is designed to help countryside and urban green space managers and landowners improve accessibility of their sites, routes and facilities. Primarily, the guide focuses on work with and for disabled people, however improvements will benefit all visitors.	The LTP and SA/SEA should ensure that accessibility for disabled people is fully considered.
The Countryside In and Around Towns - a vision for Connecting Town and Country in Pursuit of Sustainable Development (2005)	This document presents a new vision for the countryside in and around England's towns and cities. The vision, based on the idea of Sustainable Development, highlights the need for society to live within its means, to use resources efficiently and effectively and responsibly, and to ensure that urban areas evolve in harmony with the environment that surrounds them. The vision is the result of widespread consultation with organisations and individuals across England. The vision presents ten key functions for the countryside in and around towns	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider how to maximise opportunities for sustainable development.
Transport in Tomorrows Countryside 2003 (The Countryside Agency)	This document sets out the Countryside Agency's vision of how transport should serve rural communities and those visiting rural areas. The document sets out our ten principles for tackling issues relating to transport in rural areas. These include: • Transport policy should seek to make services and facilities more accessible and easier to reach, rather than simply increasing the amount and speed of travel. • Services and transport should be linked together in a way that enhances quality of life and the economy	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to provide an affordable, reliable and safe transport infrastructure in the countryside.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	in rural areas. • Roads, railways and associated construction should fit in with the character of the countryside and improve the quality of life for all. • 4Using public transport should not cost more than travelling by car, and government funding should favour non-car transport, supported by longer term funding to enable new transport provision to work over time. • Alternatives to car and lorry travel should be found, in order to reduce the rate of traffic growth in the countryside. • As rail is less damaging to the environment than road and air travel, its use should be encouraged for long distance travel. • People should feel safe and secure when travelling in rural areas. • More decisions affecting travel should be made locally. • Good connections between different forms of transport should be available, so that people can use a combination of services with ease. • Walkers, public transport users, cyclists and horse riders should be able to move around safely and freely, and be able to access services and the countryside easily.	
Towards a Sustainable Transport System (2008)	The document has three aims: • Firstly, to describes the Governments response to the recommendations in the Eddington study regarding improvements in transports contribution to economic growth and productivity, and describe how transport will play a big part in delivering reductions in carbon emissions recommended in the Stern Review; • Secondly, to set out the Department for Transports policy and investment plans (2013-14); and • Finally, to propose a new approach to longer term transport strategy & explains how key stakeholders will be involved as the process I developed and implemented.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider the aims and objectives documented in "Towards a Sustainable Transport System".
Active Travel Strategy (2010)	The Active Travel Strategy is the Governments strategy for getting more people walking and cycling more often. Thus, the report highlights the desire to place walking and cycling at the heart of local transport and health strategies and plans.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to promote active travelling modes such as walking and cycling.
Planning for Sustainable Travel (2009)	The plan-4-sustainable-travel website and related work (Summary Guide) gives expert advice on planning for a more effective location and form of development which can help achieve sustainable travel. Primarily, the guide helps practitioners more effectively use spatial planning tools in enabling greater sustainability in travel.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider sustainability and opportunities for sustainable travel.
Delivering Low Carbon Travel: An Essential Guide for Local Authorities (2009)	Sustainable low carbon travel is part of the solution to reduce carbon emissions. However, if planned correctly sustainable low carbon travel can provide more than simply a reduction in CO_2 reductions, it can deliver tangible local benefits around health, air quality, access to education, housing, planning and social inclusion. Thus, this document provides examples of sustainable travel initiatives which have the potential to add real value to the next round of Local Transport Plans. The initiatives include: • Active Travel Choices: Walking and Cycling • Promoting Public Transport • Sustainable Vehicle Use: Low Carbon Vehicle Use	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider sustainable low carbon travel options.
Strategic Environmental Assessment,	This document provides information regarding consultation with English Heritage at the various stages of	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Sustainability Appraisal and the Historic Environment	an SA/SEA (screening, scoping, reporting and decision to act). Furthermore, the document provides information and advice on the following: • Local historic environment issues and priorities; • How a policy or proposal can be tailored to avoid / minimise potential adverse impacts on the historic environment • The nature and design of any required mitigation measures; and • Opportunities for securing wider benefits for the future conservation and management of historic assets	consider the impacts and opportunities in relation to the historic environment. This is because the historic environment can be affected by transport in a number of ways, including inappropriate street furniture, road signs and paving, vibration from traffic and visual intrusion.
Biodiversity: The UK Action Plan (1994)	This document represents the first United Kingdom biodiversity action plan. It was produced to demonstrate UK commitment to the Convention on Biodiversity at Rio de Janeiro. The first section describes the UK's biological resource and its importance in relation to Europe and the rest of the world. The second section describes the UK's strategy and programmes, and examines threats, problems, and opportunities. The final section draws the components of the action plan together, and provides a forward work programme.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider biodiversity in terms of whole ecosystems rather than 'islands' of protected sites. It should aim to protect and enhance biodiversity and geo-diversity.
UK Government Rural Strategy (2004)	The Rural Strategy 2004 builds on the findings of the Review of Rural White Paper (published in January 2004) and in particular that: • three years of experience in delivery have demonstrated the need for new methodologies to be put in place to quantify targets and for new approaches to shared responsibility for meeting them, with clear accountabilities; and • the main challenges include: clarifying objectives, achieving greater prioritisation and targeting need; improving governance and delivery arrangements; and continuing to develop a solid evidence base and evaluation framework. The Strategy sets out the Government's policy response in the light of the trends previously identified and provides the policy framework, tools and evidence base to help Government Departments, regional and local partners work collaboratively.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to support and deliver the Government's policies set out in the Strategy with regards to social and economic regeneration, social justice for all and enhancement of the value of our countryside.
Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland (2007)	The aim of the Strategy is to set out air quality objectives and policy options to further improve air quality in the UK from now and into the long term. As well as providing direct benefits to public health, these options are intended to provide important benefits to quality of life and help to protect the environment. sets out a way forward for work and planning on air quality issues, details objectives to be achieved, and proposes measures to be considered further to help reach them.	Transport can affect air quality. The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to encourage forms of transport that do not contribute to local air pollution such as cycling and walking
Making the Connections (2003)	The report examines the links between social exclusion, transport and the location of services. It is particularly focused on access to those opportunities that have the most impact on life-chances, such as work, learning and healthcare. The report also sets out a range of policies across Government designed to address barriers to accessibility and the unequal impacts of traffic.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to increase accessibility.
Sustainable Communities Plan - Sustainable Communities: Building for the future (2003)	The Plan is a programme of action to tackle issues in UK communities. The Plan identifies some of the key requirements of sustainable communities, these include: • a flourishing local economy to provide jobs and wealth; • strong leadership to respond positively to change; • effective engagement and participation by local people, groups and businesses, especially in the planning, design and long term stewardship of their community, and an active voluntary and community sector; • a safe and healthy local environment with well-designed public and green space;	The LTP and SA/SEA should encourage sustainable, vibrant and safe communities

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	• sufficient size, scale and density, and the right layout to support basic amenities in the neighbourhood and minimise use of resources (including land); • good public transport and other transport infrastructure both within the community and linking it to urban, rural and regional centres; • buildings – both individually and collectively – that can meet different needs over time, and that minimise the use of resources; • a well-integrated mix of decent homes of different types and tenures to support a range of household sizes, ages and incomes; • good quality local public services, including education and training opportunities, health care and community facilities, especially for leisure; • a diverse, vibrant and creative local culture, encouraging pride in the community and cohesion within it; • a "sense of place"; and • the right links with the wider regional, national and international community.	
Urban White Paper: Our Towns & Cities: The Future (2000)	The vision is of towns, cities and suburbs which offer a high quality of life and opportunity for all. The Government wants to see: • people shaping the future of their community, supported by strong and truly representative local leaders; • people living in attractive, well-kept towns and cities which use space and buildings well; • good design and planning which makes it practical to live in a more environmentally sustainable way, with less noise, pollution and traffic congestion; • towns and cities able to create and share prosperity investing to help all their citizens reach their full potential; and • good quality services – health, education, housing, transport, finance, shopping, leisure and protection from crime – that meet the needs of people and businesses wherever they are.	The LTP and SA/SEA should include objectives that provide an affordable, reliable and safe transport infrastructure in towns and cities.
Rural White Paper: Our Countryside: The Future (2000)	The aim is to sustain and enhance the distinctive environment, economy and social fabric of the English countryside for the benefit of all. The vision is of: • a living countryside, with thriving rural communities and access to high quality public services; • a working countryside, with a diverse economy giving high and stable levels of employment; • a protected countryside in which the environment is sustained and enhanced, and which all can enjoy; • a vibrant countryside which can shape its own future and with its voice heard by Government at all levels. The White Paper includes five objectives as follows: • Objective 1 - To facilitate the development of dynamic, competitive and sustainable economies in the countryside, tackling poverty in rural areas; • Objective 2 - To maintain and stimulate communities, and secure access to services which is equitable in all the circumstances, for those who live or work in the countryside; • Objective 3 - To conserve and enhance rural landscapes and the diversity and abundance of wildlife (including the habitats on which it depends); • Objective 4 - To increase opportunities for people to get enjoyment from the countryside. To open up public access to mountain, moor, heath and down and registered common land by the end of 2005; and • Objective 5 - To promote government responsiveness to rural communities through better working together between central departments, local government, and government agencies and better co-operation with non-government bodies.	The LTP and SA/SEA should include objectives that provide an affordable, reliable and safe transport infrastructure in the countryside.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Landscape Indicators for Strategic Environmental Assessment of LTPs – issues to consider (2005) (Countryside Agency)	This document discusses the development and application of landscape indicators in SEAs of Local Transport Plans (LTPs). It summarises the underlying requirements and considers baseline information that is likely to be available to most local authorities. The practical difficulties of quantifying the nature and magnitude of landscape changes are acknowledged and the most promising areas of investigation are highlighted. The document stresses that for most authorities it will be necessary to develop individual and locally relevant indicators in the absence of national standards.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to take into consideration the impacts and opportunities in terms of landscape.
Treatment of Landscape, Biodiversity, Access & Recreation in Sixteen Provisional Local Transport Plans (2005) (Countryside Agency)	This report presents the findings of an evaluation of LTPs in their provisional form and an assessment on how landscape, biodiversity, access and recreation issues have been treated. The evaluation enabled the Countryside Agency and Natural England to highlight to the Department for Transport (DfT) good practice, identify weaknesses in the provisional LTPs and influence the way these issues are dealt with in the final LTPs. This report was commissioned by the Countryside Agency's Landscape, Access and Recreation division and Natural England (was English Nature).	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider landscape, biodiversity, access and recreation
Heritage White Paper: Heritage Protection for the 21st Century (Consultation) (2007)	The three core principles of the White Paper are: • developing a unified approach to the historic environment; • maximising opportunities for inclusion and involvement; and • supporting sustainable communities by putting the historic environment at the heart of an effective planning system.	The historic environment can be affected by transport in a number of ways, including inappropriate street furniture, road signs and paving, vibration from traffic and visual intrusion. The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to conserve the historic environment in relation to transport impacts.
The Historic Environment: A force for our future (2001)	This document details the programme of action in support of the Government's vision for managing the historic environment. It is a programme which the Government itself will lead, but its implementation will depend on the partnership and support of others, both individuals and organisations. It will involve making good use of all the available tools: legislation; funding; policy guidance; restructuring; and partnership working.	The LTP and SA/SEA should include aim to protect and where possible enhance built heritage and cultural assets.
Waste Strategy for England (2007)	This latest Waste Strategy builds on the Waste Strategy 2000. The Government's key objectives are to: • decouple waste growth (in all sectors) from economic growth and put more emphasis on waste prevention and re-use; • meet and exceed the Landfill Directive diversion targets for biodegradable municipal waste in 2010, 2013 and 2020; • increase diversion from landfill of non-municipal waste and secure better integration of treatment for municipal and non-municipal waste; • secure the investment in infrastructure needed to divert waste from landfill and for the management of hazardous waste; and • get the most environmental benefit from that investment, through increased recycling	The SA/SEA should include objectives for sustainable waste management. Transport infrastructure will require excavation of materials and where possible this should be reused or recycled.
Low Carbon Transport: A Greener Future (DfT, 2009)	This strategy sets out how the Government intend to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from transport. It also shows how transport will make a major contribution to UK efforts to reduce CO_2 emissions by 2022 and 2050 in line with the Climate Change Act 2008. The strategy recognises that decarbonising transport is an essential part of building a low carbon future for Britain. The strategy is based on the following themes: • supporting a shift to new technologies and fuels;	The LTP and SA/SEA should encourage the use of low carbon transport and ensure the infrastructure is in place to achieve this.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	• promoting lower carbon transport choices; • using market-based measures to encourage a shift to lower carbon transport.	
Minerals Planning Statement 1 (2006)	MPS1 is the overarching planning policy document for all minerals in England. MPS1 includes a number of objectives, of particular relevance is the following "…to promote the sustainable transport of minerals by rail, sea or inland waterways." The objectives for bulk transportation are to: • seek to promote and enable the bulk movement of minerals by rail, sea or inland waterways to reduce the environmental impact of their transportation; • promote facilities at ports and rail links that have good communications inland, so that bulk minerals can be landed by sea and distributed from ports, as far as is practicable, by rail or water; • safeguard and promote rail links to quarries where there is potential to move minerals by rail.	The LTP and SA/SEA should encourage sustainable movement of minerals and encourage the use of rail, sea and inland waterways.
Draft Planning Policy Statement: Planning for a Natural and Healthy Environment (March 2010)	This consultation seeks views on the proposed *Planning Policy Statement: Planning for a Natural and Healthy Environment*, which sets out streamlined and consolidated planning policy relating to: • biodiversity and geological conservation (currently set out in Planning Policy Statement 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation (PPS9)) • landscape protection, soil and agricultural land quality, and forestry (currently set out in paragraphs 21-23, 28-29 and 33 of Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas (PPS7)) • coastal access, heritage coast and the undeveloped coast (currently set out in paragraphs 2.9, 2.10 and 3.9 of Planning Policy Guidance 20: Coastal Planning (PPG20)) • open space, sport, recreation and play (currently set out in Planning Policy Guidance 17: Planning for Open Space, Sport and Recreation (PPG17))	The LTP and SA/SEA should seek to following principles set out in the PPS
Planning Policy Statement 1 (PPS1): Delivering Sustainable Development (2005)	PPS1 outlines the general principles under which the planning system operates following the introduction of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. It sets out an overview and general statement on the objectives of the planning system. PPS1 requires planning to facilitate and promote sustainable and inclusive patterns of urban and rural development by: • making suitable land available for development in line with economic, social and environmental objectives to improve people's quality of life; • contributing to sustainable economic development; • protecting and enhancing the natural and historic environment, the quality and character of the countryside, and existing communities; • ensuring high quality development through good and inclusive design, and the efficient use of resources; and • ensuring that development supports existing communities and contributes to the creation of safe, sustainable, liveable and mixed communities with good access to jobs and key services for all members of the community. PPS1 sets out the Government's overarching planning policies on the delivery of sustainable development through the planning system. In preparing development plans, planning authorities should seek to provide improved access for all to jobs, health, education, shops, leisure and community facilities, open space, sport and recreation, by ensuring that new development is located where everyone can access services or facilities on foot, bicycle or public transport rather than having to rely on access by car; and reduce the need to travel and encourage accessible public transport provision to secure more sustainable patterns of	The LTP and SA/SEA should seek to achieve economic, social and environmental sustainability, as well as inclusive access for all and high quality design

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	transport development. Development plans should also reduce the need to travel and encourage accessible public transport provision to secure more sustainable patterns of transport development. Planning should actively manage patterns of urban growth to make the fullest use of public transport and focus development in existing centres and near to major public transport interchanges.	
Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change – Supplement to Planning Policy Statement 1 (2007)	The key objectives of all spatial plans must be to deliver the Government's Climate Change Programme and energy policies, and in doing so contribute to global sustainability. Also to deliver patterns of urban growth that help secure the fullest possible use of sustainable transport for moving freight, public transport, cycling and walking; and, overall, reduce the need to travel, especially by car; and securing new development and shaping places that minimise vulnerability, and provide resilience to climate change and in ways that are consistent with social cohesion and inclusion.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider climate change mitigation (reducing greenhouse gases) and climate change adaptation
Planning Policy Guidance Note 2 (PPG2): Green Belts (1995)	The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the most important attribute of Green Belts is their openness. PPG2 states that there are five purposes of including land in Green Belts, as follows: • to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; • to prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another; • to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; • to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and • to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. Paragraph 1.6 of PPG2 advises that once Green Belts have been defined, the use of land in them has a positive role to play in fulfilling the following objectives: • to provide opportunities for access to the open countryside for the urban population; • to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and outdoor recreation near urban areas; • to retain attractive landscapes, and enhance landscapes, near to where people live; • to improve damaged and derelict land around towns; • to secure nature conservation interest; and • to retain land in agricultural, forestry and related uses. PPG2 states that when any large-scale development or redevelopment of land occurs in the Green Belt (including road and other infrastructure developments or improvements), it should, so far as possible contribute to the achievement of the objectives for the use of land in Green Belts. PPG2 also acknowledges that the countryside immediately around urban areas will often be the preferred location for Park & Ride schemes. Government's commitment to maintaining the openness of the Green Belt means that when seeking to locate P&R development, non-Green Belt alternatives should be investigated first. However, there may be cases where a Green Belt location is the most sustainable of the available options. PPG2 sets out a number of circumstances when P&R development is not inappropriate in Green Belts.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect the character if the landscape including protection of Green Belts
Planning Policy Statement 3 (PPS3): Housing (2006)	In support of its objective of creating mixed and sustainable communities, the Government's policy is to ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. At the regional level, PPS3 states that the Regional Spatial Strategy should identify broad strategic locations for new housing developments so that the need and demand for housing can be addressed in a	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider transport infrastructure in relation to new housing developments to ensure accessibility

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	way that reflects sustainable development principles. Regional Planning Bodies should, working with stakeholders, set out the criteria to be used for selecting suitable broad locations for new housing, taking into account: • Evidence of current and future levels of need and demand for housing, at the local, sub-regional, regional and national level, as well as the availability of suitable land; • The contribution to be made to cutting carbon emissions from focusing new development in locations with good public transport accessibility and/or by means other than the private car and where it can readily and viably draw its energy supply from decentralised energy supply systems based on renewable and low-carbon forms of energy supply, or where there is clear potential for this to be realised.	
Planning Policy Statement 4 (PPS4): Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth (2009)	PPS4 sets out sets out planning policies for economic development. Policy EC2 of PPS4 relates to planning for sustainable growth and states that regional planning bodies and local planning authorities should ensure that their development plan plans for the delivery of the sustainable transport and other infrastructure needed to support their planned economic development and, where necessary, provides advice on phasing and programming of development.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider economic growth and transport infrastructure to achieve this
Planning Policy Statement 5 (PPS5): Planning for the Historic Environment (March 2010)	Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment (PPS5) sets out the Government's planning policies on the conservation of the historic environment. This replaces Planning Policy Guidance 15: Planning and the Historic Environment (PPG15) published on 14 September 1994; and Planning Policy Guidance 16: Archaeology and Planning (PPG16) published on 21 November 1990.	The LTP and SA/SEA should protect the historic character of the area and heritage and archaeological assets
Planning Policy Statement 7 (PPS7): Sustainable Development in Rural Areas (2004)	PPS7 applies to rural areas, including country towns and villages and the wider, largely undeveloped countryside up to the fringes of larger urban areas. The Government has a number of objectives for rural areas as follows: • to raise the quality of life and the environment in rural areas; • to promote more sustainable patterns of development; • promoting the development of the English regions by improving their economic performance so that all are able to reach their full potential; and • to promote sustainable, diverse and adaptable agriculture sectors. PPS7 requires that decisions on development proposals are based on sustainable development principles, ensuring an integrated approach to the consideration of social inclusion, recognising the needs of everyone; effective protection and enhancement of the environment; prudent use of natural resources; and maintaining high and stable levels of economic growth and employment.	The LTP and SA/SEA should protect the character of the landscape in rural areas and increase public transport accessibility to rural communities
Planning Policy Statement 9 (PPS9): Biodiversity and Geological Conservation (2005) including Planning for Biodiversity and Geological Conservation: A Guide to Good Practice (2006) and Circular 06/05: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation - Statutory Obligations and Their Impact Within the Planning System (2005)	PPS9 confirms the importance that the planning system has in meeting the Government's international commitments and domestic policies for habitats, species and ecosystems. The aim of planning decisions should be to prevent harm to biodiversity and geological conservation interests and ensuring that developments take account of the role and value of biodiversity in supporting economic diversification and contributing to a high quality environment. Where granting planning permission would result in significant harm to those interests, local planning authorities will need to be satisfied that the development cannot reasonably be located on any alternative sites that would result in less or no harm. In the absence of any such alternatives, local planning authorities should ensure that, before planning permission is granted, adequate mitigation measures are put in place and where adequate mitigation is not possible, appropriate compensation measures should be sought.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect and enhance biodiversity and geo-diversity

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	Circular 06/05 provides administrative guidance on the application of the law relating to planning and nature conservation as it applies in England. The Guide to Good Practise complements PPS9 and Circular 06/05 and provides good practice guidance on ways regional planning bodies and local planning authorities can help deliver the national policies in PPS9 and comply with legal requirements set out in Circular 06/05.	
PPS10: Planning for Sustainable Waste Management	The overall objective of Government policy on waste, as set out in the strategy for sustainable development, is to protect human health and the environment by producing less waste and by using it as a resource wherever possible. Through more sustainable waste management, moving the management of waste up the 'waste hierarchy' of reduction, reuse, recycling and composting, using waste as a source of energy, and only disposing as a last resort the Government aims to break the link between economic growth and the environmental impact of waste.	The SA/SEA should include objectives for sustainable waste management. Transport infrastructure will require excavation of materials and where possible this should be reused or recycled.
PPS12: Local Development Frameworks	The UK Government has four aims for sustainable development in its strategy 'A better quality of life: a strategy for sustainable development in the UK' (as set out in PPS12): • Social progress which recognizes the needs of everyone; • Effective protection of the environment; • The prudent use of natural resources; • Maintenance of high and stable levels of economic growth and employment. The PPS goes on to examine the aims of the new planning system, including: • The system should be flexible to enable plans to respond quickly to change • The process should be front loaded to enable decisions to be made early in the process • Plan preparation should follow the above sustainable development principles and SA should be undertaken Plans should be based upon a robust evidence base. No precise targets or indicators established	The LTP should take into consideration guidance in PPS12
Planning Policy Guidance 13 (PPG13): Transport (2001)	The objectives of PPG13 are to integrate planning and transport at the national, regional, strategic and local level to promote more sustainable transport choices for both people and for moving freight; to promote accessibility to jobs, shopping, leisure facilities and services by public transport, walking and cycling; and to reduce the need to travel, especially by car. PPG 13 states that in appropriate circumstances, park and ride schemes can help promote more sustainable travel patterns, both at local and strategic levels, and improve the accessibility and attractiveness of town centres. The guidance suggests that well designed and well conceived schemes should be given favourable treatment through the planning system. PPG13 advises that such proposals need to be developed as an integral part of the planning and transport strategy for the area. Where developments will have significant transport implications, Transport Assessments should be prepared and submitted alongside the relevant planning applications for development.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to ensure the transport network is accessible for all, safe, reliable and efficient, and help reduce transport emissions
Planning Policy Guidance 17 (PPG17): Planning for Open Space, Sport and Recreation (2002)	PPG17 considers the importance of open spaces, sport and recreation in underpinning people's quality of life. Well designed and implemented planning policies for open space, sport and recreation are therefore fundamental to delivering broader Government objectives which include supporting an urban renaissance, supporting a rural renewal, promotion of social inclusion and community cohesion, health and well being, and promoting more sustainable development. It also states that local authorities should:	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to protect areas of open space and make them more accessible

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	• avoid any erosion of recreational function and maintain or enhance the character of open spaces; • ensure that open spaces do not suffer from increased overlooking, traffic flows or other encroachment; • protect and enhance those parts of the rights of way network that might benefit open space; and • consider the impact of any development on biodiversity and nature conservation. PPG17 seeks to protect the recreational quality of open spaces and ensure that this is not eroded by insensitive development or incremental loss of the site. PPG17 asserts that local authorities should avoid any erosion of recreational function and maintain or enhance the character of open spaces; ensure that open spaces do not suffer from increased overlooking, traffic flows or other encroachment; protect and enhance those parts of the rights of way network that might benefit open space; and consider the impact of any development on biodiversity and nature conservation.	
Planning Policy Guidance 20 (PPG20): Coastal Planning (1992)	This guidance sets out the planning policy for the coastal areas of England and Wales. It sets the general context for policy and identifies planning policies for the coast and policies for development that require a coastal location.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider effects of development and transport projects in coastal locations
PPS22: Renewable Energy	PPS 22 states that planning authorities should encourage the use of renewable energy sources in new development through the development of appropriate policy mechanisms which set targets and explore technology options. No precise targets or indicators established.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to encourage energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources in transport developments
Planning Policy Statement 23 (PPS23): Planning and Pollution Control (2004)	PPS23 outlines the importance of planning in determining the location of any given development and the subsequent pollutant sources which may be present or generated and that may pose a risk to human health or the environment. PPS 23 advises that any consideration of the quality of land, air or water and potential impacts arising from development, possibly leading to impacts on health, is capable of being a material planning consideration, in so far as it arises or may arise from or may affect any land use.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider pollution control in terms of land air and water pollution which could lead to human health effects. Where transport infrastructure is to be developed on contaminated land remediation should be implemented.
Planning Policy Guidance Note 24 (PPG24): Planning and Noise (1994)	PPG24 outlines the considerations to be taken into account in determining planning applications both for noise-sensitive developments and for those activities which generate noise. It explains the concept of noise exposure categories for residential development and recommends appropriate levels for exposure to different sources of noise. PPG24 considers that much of the development which is necessary for the creation of jobs and the construction and improvement of essential infrastructure will generate noise.	Transport is one of the main sources of noise pollution. The LTP, SA/SEA and HIA should consider the effects of transport related noise and communities and aim to reduce this
Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25):Development and Flood Risk (2006)	PPS25 states that the aims of planning policy on development and flood risk are to ensure that flood risk is taken into account at all stages in the planning process to avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding and to direct development away from areas at highest risk. Where new development is, exceptionally, necessary in such areas, this policy aims to make it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible, reducing flood risk overall. PPS25 sets out a number of responsibilities for developers, which include demonstrating consistency with PPS25 and local development plan policies and providing a flood risk assessment to demonstrate whether development is likely to be affected by current or future flooding from any source; satisfying the local planning authority that the development is safe; demonstrating whether it will increase flooding elsewhere; and the measures proposed to deal with such effects and risks.	The LTP and SA/SEA should flood risk
Regional		

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Moving forward – The Northern Way (2004)	The Action Plan – Progress Report sets out the key milestones and activities for all the proposals outlined in Moving Forward: the Northern Way. The Plan sets out ten investment priorities, of which Number eight is about transport: 'invest in creating better integrated public transport services within and between our city regions; these are key to efficient labour markets and to enable those living in deprived communities to access jobs elsewhere. Bus services will be the dominant mode of travel but it will be essential to extend and upgrade light rail systems.' The plan also sets out four strategic themes for Merseyside: • a premier destination city region; • a connected city region; • a creative and competitive city region; • a city region of sustainable communities.	The Northern Way encourages investment in better integrated public transport services enabling deprived communities to access jobs and services. The LTP and SA/SEA should help promote this aim.
Regional Sustainable Development Framework – Action for Sustainability	Action for Sustainability is the North West Sustainable Development Framework. It sets out priorities and long-term goals for sustainable development for the Region. The goal for transport states: 'Sustainable transport and access, reducing the need to travel and allowing access for all to places, goods and services'	The LTP and SA/SEA to include objectives on sustainable transport and accessibility.
North West Sustainable Development Integrated Appraisal Toolkit (June 2009)	The Integrated Appraisal Toolkit was developed by the North West Assembly based on AfS to provide organisations with a consistent approach to sustainability appraisal.	The SA/SEA should consider the questions outlined in the toolkit
North West of England Plan Regional Spatial Strategy to 2021 (September 2008)	The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for North West England provides a framework for development and investment in the region over the next fifteen to twenty years. It establishes a broad vision for the region and its sub-regions, priorities for growth and regeneration, and policies to achieve sustainable development across a wide range of topics – from jobs, housing and transport to climate change, waste and energy.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider economic development, social development and environmental protection
RS2010: Regional Strategy for England's Northwest (2009)	The Northwest Regional Economic Strategy, the Regional Spatial Strategy and the Regional Housing Strategy will be combined in the new single Regional Strategy, known as RS2010. The NWDA has joint responsibility with 4NW in preparing the single Regional Strategy for the Northwest. The aim is to develop a strategy that will bring together environmental, social and economic priorities and reflect the Northwest's long-term commitment to sustainable growth. RS2010 will enable the region to carry out a more in-depth review of future priorities during 2009. The Principles and Issues paper outlines some of the major underlaying considerations for the Regional Strategy. It also suggests major issues to be considered in developing the strategy, drawing on an independent assessment of the issues and challenges facing the region from the evidence base to date and national/regional policy context.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider economic development, social development and environmental protection
Wild about the North West: A Biodiversity Audit of North West England (1999)	The Audit identifies priority habitats and species of conservation importance at a regional level, it also informs the production of Local Biodiversity Action Plans, and provides a basis for targeting the allocation of resources as well as strategic regional planning and economic initiatives. The audit identifies priority and important areas in Merseyside such as the sand dune coast and estuaries which are internationally important for their habitats and species, some of the industrial "wasteland", of which there is plenty, also supports very interesting and uncommon plant and animal communities. Other natural habitats of importance include the saltmarshes, mosslands, heathlands and wooded cloughs, with farming having created woods, pasture, hay meadows and ponds.	LTP and SA/SEA to include objective for protecting biodiversity and geo-diversity from transport development

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
North West Cultural Strategy (2002)	The Strategy sets out the overall context for the region including its cultural strengths and assets and what the NWCC believes can and should be done together with its partners to develop and improve the cultural opportunities and add significantly to the well-being of the north west. One of the aims of the strategy is that: Culture and creativity are central to economic prosperity and growth and we aim to: • Develop a sustainable cultural economy and build on the existing clusters of businesses in all parts of the region; • Ensure that more of the region's citizens gain and sustain employment in the cultural industries through promotion and export, and the exchange of ideas, skills and products; • Promote the benefits of culture and creative innovation to businesses and visitors including the attraction of inward investment.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to increase accessibility to cultural assets through sustainable transport modes
Investment for Health – A plan for North West England (2003)	The potential to improve health is important in the North West, given its relatively poor health and projected demographic changes. Policies are required which improve the health of older people, those of working age, children and young people.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider the health of the population and encourage active lifestyles, reduced road traffic accidents and access to healthcare facilities
North West Regional Development Agency – Regional Funding Advice (2009)	This RFA advice sets out the region's priorities for addressing the challenges under each of the funding areas as requested by the Government.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider these emerging regional priorities.
North West Economic Strategy (2006)	The vision for the regions set out in the RES is 'A dynamic, sustainable international economy which competes on the basis of knowledge, advanced technology and an excellent quality of life for all'. The RES out priorities for economic growth, culture, environment, community and transport. One of the aims for transport is to reduce levels of congestion by increasing use of public transport and reducing peak traffic volumes	The SA/SEA should reflect the priorities of the RES and include objectives for economic growth, culture, environment, community and transport. The LTP should contribute towards the aim for transport
North West Regional Housing Strategy (2009)	The regional housing strategy aims ensure housing strategies are aligned with sub-regional economic and transport strategies ensure that developments are located so that the best use is made of existing or planned transport infrastructure, particularly that which allows for travel by public transport or other sustainable modes such as walking and cycling	The LTP, SA/SEA should promote objectives that make use of existing or planned transport infrastructure, particularly that which allows for travel by public transport or other sustainable modes such as walking and cycling
Regional Waste Strategy for the North West (2004)	This Strategy recommends wholesale changes to the way in which the region regards waste and how it is managed. The first message this Strategy must deliver is that there is now an urgent need to reconsider how the region views waste and how new methods of waste management can be put in place, including new collection and treatment regimes, reprocessing infrastructure and new built developments.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider sustainable waste practices for construction and maintenance of transport infrastructure
North West Sustainable Energy Strategy (July 2006)	The strategy identifies key target groups whose actions can help address the energy challenge and sets out a framework within which both the public and private sectors can respond.	The LTP and SA/SEA should encourage energy efficiency and use of renewable energy
North West Regional Freight Strategy (November 2003)	The Strategy sets the strategic context within which the next round of Local Transport Plans are to be developed, the Regional Freight Strategy provides a framework and guidance to assist local authorities in the North West to achieve the status of a 'good' Local Transport Plan with respect to freight.	Objectives should reflect the need for an integrated approach towards the movement of freights and the use of

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	The aims and objectives of the Regional Freight Strategy are to: • Assist the promotion of sustainable economic growth by: – maximising the efficient use of existing transport infrastructure and services; – implementing selective enhancements where necessary; – minimising the environmental and social impacts of freight transport; – taking full account of the inter-relationship of land-use planning and freight transport; and – ensuring that all decisions are taken within the context of an integrated – transport and land-use strategy. • To underpin the competitiveness of indigenous business, attract and retain inward investment and reduce the threat of peripherality in Europe by improving accessibility to, from and within the North West for those who use or operate freight transport. • To provide a vibrant, efficient and safe freight industry in the North West by developing and maintaining a range of high quality transport networks and services. • To involve both private and public sector interests by encouraging partnership working to facilitate a better understanding amongst stakeholders of the needs of modern supply chains.	methods of freight movement that reduce the associated environmental and social impacts
Operation North West England Programme under the Regional competitiveness and employment objective 2007-2013 (2007)	The programme - outside of the least developed regions - is aimed at strengthening the North West regional competitiveness and attractiveness by: • promoting innovation and knowledge transfer • stimulating enterprise and supporting successful business • ensuring sustainable development, production and consumption • building sustainable communities. As part of this programme aims to promote clean public transport within towns in the North West	LTP and SA/SEA to promote sustainable clean public transport and increase access to employment areas
North West Climate Change Action Plan 2010-2012	The NW Climate Change Action Plan aims to stimulate and measure the progress of England's Northwest towards a low-carbon economy, preparing it for the challenges of a changing climate and expected future energy demands, whilst protecting and enhancing quality of life and preserving the Northwest's rich environment. The Action Plans encourages a low carbon transport system, use of innovative technologies and sustainable fuels, infrastructure for ultra low carbon vehicles and to adapt to climate change. It also encourages walking, cycling and public transport use supported by land use planning, improved local services and increased use of digital connectivity which reduce the need for travel.	Transport contributes to climate change through vehicle emissions. The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to reduce reliance on the private car by improving public transport and facilitating modal shift
North West Strategic Health Authority Annual Report 2008/09	The North West Strategic Health Authority vision for the North West is: 'To ensure the NHS delivers the best possible health and the highest quality health care for the people of the North West – by operating as a world-class health system'. The aims to achieve this include: • improve health and wellbeing for all of the North West population; • optimise the delivery of quality health care in the most appropriate setting;	The LTP, SA/SA should support the aims of the North West Strategic Health Authority

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	• be recognised as a leading health system.	
Strategy for Tourism in England's North West 2003-2010 (2007)	The tourism vision for England's Northwest is that within ten years, it offers our visitors real excellence and superb experiences, wherever they go, and has a thriving visitor economy that is second to none. There are six strategic aims including: enhanced communication with the region's visitors; an improved infrastructure for the visitor economy; and for all activity related to tourism and the visitor economy to be based on the principles of sustainable development.	The LTP and SA/SA should aim to ensure that tourism assets are not adversely affected by transport infrastructure or congestion effects, and that they are accessible by public transport
Water for Life and Livelihoods: River Basin Management Plan North West River Basin District (2009)	The River Basin Management Plan is about the pressures facing the water environment in the North West district river basin, and the actions that will address them. The Plan focuses on the protection, improvement and sustainable use of the water environment. It has been prepared in consultation with a wide range of organisations and individuals and is the first of a series of six-year planning cycles.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities on water resources and their management.
North West Green Infrastructure Guide (2007)	The Guide has been developed to support the Green Infrastructure Policy in the North West Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) by • Providing detailed information on the concept of Green Infrastructure which appears in the RSS; and • Provides initial guidance on producing a Green Infrastructure Plan.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider an appropriate level of green infrastructure
North West Biodiversity Forum	The North West has regional habitat targets which are the regional contribution to UK Biodiversity targets and the England Biodiversity Strategy. The Strategy emphasizes the need for large scale habitat restoration and better engagement with regional bodies to deliver the targets.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to minimise the impact of development on local biodiversity
CCP536 - Countryside Character Volume 2: North West	The document presents landscape descriptions and maps which set out the qualities of the countryside in the North West. The aim is to protect the countryside and ensure that it can be used and enjoyed by future generations.	THE LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities on the landscape.
North West Regional Landscape Character Framework	The North West Landscape Character Framework brings together information about geology, landform, biodiversity, history and land use to provide an integrated geographic framework for the North West. The Framework maps and describes diverse landscapes at a regional scale.	THE LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities on the landscape including, geology, landform, biodiversity, history and land use.
Local		
Merseyside Second Local Transport Plan (2006)	Sets out a 10 year strategy and a 5 year plan to help solve some of the social, economic and environmental problems in Merseyside by making the transport system better for the people in Merseyside	The LTP, SA/SEA should build on the aims and policies set out in the LTP2
The Liverpool City Region – Transforming Our Economy: The Strategic Proposals	The Economic City Strategy and Action Plan present a strategic and action framework for further development. It sets out an initial analysis of the city region and also comprises of the more detailed action priorities. The main report is underpinned by a preliminary assessment of the economic prospects for the city region (Appendix 2 of the report) and by a separate economic baseline report.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to support the economy of the region and address the detailed action priorities where appropriate.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Liverpool City Council Air Quality Action Plan (June 2007) and update (2009)	Liverpool City Council requires an Air Quality Action Plan because it is forecast that annual average concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO2) in two areas of the City will exceed the national target for 2005. In accordance with legislation, two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) have been declared: • AQMA1 - Liverpool City centre • AQMA2 - Liverpool M62/ Rocket Junction area Objectives for the AQAP include: To pursue the air quality objectives laid down in the National Air Quality Strategy, whilst • improving the quality of life and health of the residents and workers in Liverpool; • acting in a cost-effective manner, through careful selection of options; • integrating our work with other Council Strategies and the activities of Council Departments; particularly LTP2, regional bodies, outside Agencies and other interested parties; • taking account of the needs and views of local people; and • acting, where possible, to stimulate local employment and the local economy.	Transport is the major contributor to the AQMAs in Liverpool. The LTP, SA/SEA and HIA should aim to encourage greater use and accessibility of public transport, walking and cycling which may help reduce reliance on the car and in turn reduce emission associated with car travel.
Liverpool 2024: A Thriving International City – Sustainable Community Strategy	Liverpool's Sustainable Community Strategy, together with the city's Local Area Agreement seeks to help promote Liverpool as a thriving international city. The document was produced by Liverpool's local strategic partnership, Liverpool First and outline's the partnerships shared vision and a roadmap for delivery. The vision aims to shape Liverpool into a city that is: • Competitive; • Connected • Distinctive; • Thriving; and • Healthy. These are the five strategic drivers that underpin the partnership's ambitions between now and 2025.	The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to consider the five strategic drivers of the strategy in order to help reinstate Liverpool as a thriving international city.
Knowsley UDP (2006)	Policy T6 on ensuring choice of travel to serve new developments aims to ensure good choice of mode of travel for all development proposals with an emphasis on waling, cycling and public transport. Policy T8 on Transport Assessments (TA) requires that a TA is submitted for large-scale developments likely to substantially increase traffic generation. Policy T9 on Travel Plans requires the submission and implementation of travel plans for certain types of development.	The LTP should support the policies in the UDP by requiring transport assessments and travel plans for certain thresholds of development
St Helens UDP (1998)	Policy GEN9 on car parking and serving requires all new development to make appropriate level of on-site provision as well as accommodating the requirements of public transport, cyclists and pedestrians.	The LTP should support policies in the UDP by proving more stringent parking standards and facilities for cyclists and pedestrians
Liverpool UDP (November 2002)	Policy T15 on Transport Impact Assessment requires TIA to be carried out for new development that are over certain specified thresholds. The UDP also states that control of car parking is important to reduce reliance on the private car, and encourages improvements and expansion of public transport networks and facilities.	The LTP should support the policies in the UDP through requiring transport assessments for certain developments and controlling car parking.
Sefton UDP (June 2006)	Policy T1 describes the Council's priorities for development of the transport network. The policy aims to improving strategic access to the Port of Liverpool and reducing the environmental impact of traffic on the	The LTP and SA/SEA should support the core transport priorities in the UDP.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	main Port access routes; implementing the Southport and Bootle Transport Strategies; developing Park and Ride facilities; implementing bus priority measures set out in the Local Transport Plan; implementing the cycle network and the programme for improving pedestrian access; relieving major environmental problems on the Switch Island to Thornton (A5207) route; safeguarding non-operational railway lines for which there is a potential freight or passenger use. It also states that all transport infrastructure will be designed and implemented in a manner which limits harm to the environment as much as possible.	
Wirral UDP (February 2000)	Policy TRT1 looks at the provision for public transport services and facilities within new developments. Policy TRT3 requires that environmental impacts of transport proposals are considered. Policy TR12 requires that new developments provide differing levels of cycle parking facilities depending on the development type.	The LTP should support the UDP policies on transport through requiring new development to provide cycle facilities.
Wirral LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2007)	The Local Development Framework (LDF) will be the key spatial plan for Wirral. The Core Strategy DPD will set out the Council's overall vision, objectives and spatial strategy for the Borough, for a period of up to ten years. It will also set the wider land use framework for private sector investment and the delivery of public services within the area. Wirral Council is currently consulting on the Spatial Options for the Core Strategy for the Borough during January and February 2010	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the forthcoming LDF policies on transport.
Liverpool LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2010)	The Local Development Framework (LDF) will be the key spatial plan for Liverpool and the Core Strategy is the primary development plan document. It will establish a planning framework for the City comprising a long term spatial vision, strategic objectives and an overall delivery strategy, which will comprise strategic policies for delivering the objectives. The council are currently consulting on the Core Strategy between February and March 2010	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the forthcoming LDF policies on transport.
Sefton LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2009)	The Local Development Framework (LDF) will be the key spatial plan for Sefton. The Core Strategy will set out our overall vision, objectives and spatial strategy for the Borough, over the next 15-20 years. It will also set the wider land use framework for private sector investment and the delivery of public services within the area. Final approval of the core strategy is currently anticipated in 2011.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the forthcoming LDF policies on transport.
St. Helens LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2009)	The Local Development Framework (LDF) will be the key spatial plan for St Helens. The Core Strategy is the principal document in a framework of documents that will guide the Borough in its local development making decisions until 2025. It provides an overall strategy of where development should be located and how we meet the needs of the Borough. It also contains proposals for housing, economy and employment, community facilities, quality of life and accessibility are explained for an individual area and the Borough as a whole. The Council is currently considering all representations made during the last consultation exercise in 2009.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the forthcoming LDF policies on transport.
Knowsley LDF Core Strategy Development Plan Document – Draft for consultation (2009)	The Local Development Framework (LDF) will be the key spatial plan for Knowsley and the Core Strategy will set out a vision, key objectives and strategic planning policies for Knowsley. The council are currently at an early stage of developing this strategy.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the forthcoming LDF policies on transport.
'Liverpool First' Liverpool Community Strategy 2005-2008	The vision for Liverpool is 'For Liverpool to become a premier European City. Achieved by building a more competitive economy, developing healthier, safer and more inclusive communities and enhancing	The LTP, SA/SEA should contribute to the transport priority through encouraging

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	individual life chances.' Liverpool's key transport priorities are: improving road safety, access and air quality and reducing congestion.	sustainable transport options which will help reduce congestion and air pollution
Knowsley: The Borough of Choice - Sustainable Community Strategy 2008 – 2023 (2008)	The vision is: 'Knowsley - the borough of choice' The aim of the strategy is that by the year 2023, Knowsley will have: • attractive, sustainable neighbourhoods with a wide choice of housing and excellent community facilities; • vibrant and welcoming town centres; • residents and local communities who are able to make positive lifestyle choices; • high quality employment areas which help to drive economic growth in the Liverpool City Region; and • narrowed the gap in deprivation levels, both between different parts of the borough and between Knowsley and elsewhere.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider health, economy, community to reflect the objectives of the community strategy and encourage a sustainable, safe and vibrant community
'A vision for Sefton' Sefton Community Strategy 2006-2011	This is the third Community Strategy for Sefton. It has been drawn together by the Sefton Borough Partnership (the Local Strategic Partnership for Sefton) and reflects the shared vision and commitment of key partners who are committed to working together 'to make Sefton a great place in which to live, work, learn, visit and do business'. The Strategy sets out priorities and targets which have been agreed to improve the quality of life for those residing and visiting Sefton and are presented as four main themes: • Children and Young People; • Safer and Stronger Communities; • Healthier Communities and Older People; • Economic Development and Sustainability.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider health, economy, community to reflect the objectives of the community strategy and encourage a sustainable, safe and vibrant community
St Helen's Community Plan 2002-2012 (Revised 2009)	The vision for St Helens is to make St. Helens a modern, distinctive, economically prosperous and vibrant Borough. Key objectives of the Plan include: • A diverse, modern economy, offering a wide range of job opportunities. • Opportunity and success for all who live, study, train and work in the Borough, through high quality lifelong learning experiences and activities. • A healthy, safe, attractive and rich environment with a choice of good transport facilities for all. • A wide choice of quality homes for all our residents. • Reduced crime and fear of crime. • Improved health and well-being through flexible, responsive health and social care. • High quality opportunities and facilities for leisure and sport, with a vibrant cultural life. • Sustainable and stronger communities, narrowing inequalities with better opportunities for disadvantaged groups.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider health, economy, community to reflect the objectives of the community strategy and encourage a sustainable, safe and vibrant community
'Getting Better Together' Wirral	The vision for Wirral is to 'Make Wirral a better place in which to live, work and invest'.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
Community Strategy 2003-2013 and Consultation Draft Wirral 2025: More Equal, More Prosperous (2009)	The aim for transport set out in the strategy states: we want our transport systems to be clean, reliable and integrated, and to offer a variety of sustainable transport choices to provide access to key opportunities and services.	health, economy, community to reflect the objectives of the community strategy and encourage a sustainable, safe and vibrant community
Liverpool City Region Development Programme Update (2006)	The 2006 update to the Liverpool City Region (LCR) Development Programme has been compiled by the Sub Regional Partnership (SRP), guided by The Mersey Partnership It will form the key strategy statement, for submission to the Northern Way, on the future direction of the whole city region and a platform from which partners in the city region can:- • Promote the city region and its assets • Provide focus for and help align the various strategies and funding streams • Help to stimulate private sector involvement and investment • Influence public investment planning and coordination • Establish collaborations across the City region • Identify and develop pan-northern opportunities	The LTP and SA/SEA should complement the aims of the development programme
Merseyside Noise Study (2004)	In April 2003, the Merseyside Transport, Health and Environment Forum, on behalf of the five Merseyside Local Authorities and Merseytravel, commissioned an investigations into environmental (or ambient) noise. The main purpose of the Merseyside Ambient Noise Study was to address the lack of good quality information about environmental noise and its effects on people's quality of life. Particular attention was paid to transport related noise. The Merseyside Noise Study was completed in June 2004 and the results were presented to a conference held in Liverpool on the 22nd June 2004. The results showed that transportation noise especially road traffic noise was the main source of residents noise exposure, and that 44% of residents were caused bother, annoyance or disturbance.	The LTP, SA/SEA should consider noise effects on human health from transport and aim to reduce this
Code of Practice on Access and Mobility (2002)	The Code of Practice was originally compiled and produced by Merseytravel and the five Merseyside Authorities in February 1999. It was updated in 2001 and 2002 to take account of changes in legislation and good practice documentation. It offers guidance on best practice in designing environments not only to meet the needs of disabled people but also of those who may otherwise be restricted by the design of buildings, structures, highways or transportation.	The LTP should aim to increase the accessibility of new developments for cyclists, walkers and disabled people. The SA/SEA should include an objective on increasing accessibility.
Liverpool Superport (2008)	The strategy for developing SuperPort is based around the Vision to bring together and integrate the strengths of the Ports, Airports and Freight Community to create a 'SuperPort' for freight and passenger operations within the Liverpool City Region that will become a key driver of its economy. In doing so it aims to create the most effective and cost efficient environment for freight cargo logistics and passenger transit in the UK	The LTP should aim to encourage an integrated approach to freight transport
Liverpool City Region Multi Area Agreement (June 2009)	This sets out the vision of Liverpool City Region to establish Liverpool's status as a thriving international City Region by 2030. Of particular importance to transport are the aims to • Improve transport: will develop a City Region transport network that meets the needs of all stakeholders, and is recognised as setting a standard for others to follow. It will be a fully integrated, sustainable and safe transport network, which supports economic and social regeneration, ensures good access for all, and which is operated to the highest standards to protect the environment and ensure good quality of life.	The LTP and SA/SEA should include objectives to provide safe and sustainable transport network, provide integrated freight infrastructure and cut carbon dioxide emissions.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	• Maximise connectivity: Through the combination of our ports, airport and multi-modal freight and logistics infrastructure, will deliver Liverpool SuperPort and significantly improve our position as one of the UK's primary international gateways by 2030. • Become a low carbon economy: will become energy self-sufficient and a net energy exporter by the year 2030, through a combination of greater energy efficiency and renewable supply. This will drive us to become the biggest low carbon goods and services City Region economy in the UK.	
Liverpool City Region Housing Strategy (May 2007)	The City Region Housing Strategy aims to secure balanced housing markets which will meet locally defined needs and provide a choice of housing and neighbourhoods that will underpin economic growth. Integral to this vision is a focus on growth of the City Region's economic assets and the ways in which the housing can reinforce their growth while at the same time redressing disparities in socio-economic conditions. To achieve this the strategy outlines the need to identify and create new elements of transport infrastructure in tandem with the housing needs of the area	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider transport infrastructure in relation to new housing developments to ensure accessibility
Liverpool City-Region Economic Strategy & Action Plan 2005-2025	This economic strategy has been produced alongside work on the Regional Spatial and Housing Strategies, the Merseyside Local Transport Plan and the review of the North West Regional Economic Strategy. It sets out an initial analysis of the city region and presents a strategic and action framework for further development.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider economic growth and transport infrastructure to achieve this
Economic Impact of EU and UK Climate Change Legislation on Liverpool and Liverpool City Region *(June 2009)*	The report warns that the Liverpool City Region economy faces major challenges from current and future climate change legislation and regulation that is needed to push the UK to become a low carbon economy	LTP and SA/SEA to encourage the use of public transport and provide objectives aimed at reducing carbon dioxide from transport.
Liverpool: Active City 2005-2010	The Liverpool Physical Activity Strategy aims to make physical activity an easier choice for people by providing a variety of activity opportunities that individuals can choose to suit themselves and their daily lifestyle. The strategy aims to promote activities such as walking and cycling.	LTP, SA/SEA should aim to promote activities such as walking and cycling to increase the health of individuals in the region.
NewHeartlands Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder	NewHeartlands is one of the Government's ten housing market renewal (HMR) pathfinders. This means they are charged with finding new ways to tackle the problems of low demand and housing market collapse in neighbourhoods across Merseyside.	The LTP and SA/SEA should consider transport infrastructure in relation to new housing developments to ensure accessibility
Sefton Physical Activity Strategy 2001 – 2011 (Review 2009)	Sefton produced a physical activity strategy covering the years 2002-2004, this document has reviewed and updated this strategy. The local context of the document has changed with the formation of the Sefton Public Health Partnership and the physical activity sub-group. The aim of the strategy is to provide a strategic overview and set the direction for funding physical activity from various funding organisations.	LTP, SA/SEA should aim to promote activities such as walking and cycling to increase the health of individuals in the region.
Heart of Merseyside Initiative	Heart of Mersey [HoM] was first established as a Merseyside coronary heart disease [CHD] prevention programme in 2003. HoM became a registered charity in 2005 and broadened its remit to embrace the broader cardiovascular disease [CVD] agenda. Heart of Mersey aims to add value to local initiatives and programmes by working at local, regional, national and European levels to prevent CVD death in the population. Also, to alleviate the health inequalities associated with CVD through integrated, evidence-based interventions. The charity is concerned with the key risk factors associated with achieving these aims, including poor diet (excess dietary fat, salt and sugar), smoking (including secondhand smoke) and physical inactivity (environment).	LTP, SA/SEA should aim to promote activities such as walking and cycling to increase the health of individuals in the region.
Wirral's Biodiversity Action Plan	The Biodiversity Action Plan outlines the work which is needed to protect and enhance natural habitats and	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	rare species on Wirral.	protect and where possible enhance biodiversity and geo-diversity
North Merseyside Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP)	The North Merseyside Biodiversity Action Plan aims to help local people become more aware of the area's natural environment and the issues facing it. The North Merseyside BAP is not a single published document, but instead comprises a number of individual Species & Habitat Action Plans and a Business Plan. There are a total of 44 habitat and species action plans; each one describing the current status of the habitat or species, issues affecting its wellbeing, conservation objectives & targets and actions to meet them.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect and where possible enhance biodiversity and geo-diversity
Liverpool PCT	Liverpool Primary Care Trust has announced ambitious plans for new and improved primary care facilities that will deliver enhanced services in an expansion of community-based healthcare in the seven years to 2014. "A New Health Service for Liverpool" sets out Liverpool PCT's commitment to: • Provide more and better services in the community, so people only go to hospital when absolutely necessary • Major investment to improve existing health facilities and to build new centres • Improved access to healthcare, with extended opening hours and more patient-centred appointment systems • Services in locations that are accessible by public transport and core services within a 15 minute walk for everyone in the city • Investment in more community-based doctors, nurses and other health professionals joined-up health services, bringing together more professionals in one location The PCT Strategic Plan 2006-2014 sets out a vision 'to achieve transformational improvements in health and in service provision and significant reductions in health inequalities'. Underpinning the vision are five key values: • Services should be safe and based on recognised clinical standards • Services should be appropriate in terms of need and accessibility • Patients should be informed so that they can share in decisions about their treatment and can take responsibility for their health • Interventions should be equitable reflecting need and improving the health of our population • Services should be integrated with all providers planning and delivering services in cooperation with other parts of the health and social care system	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the aims and priorities of the PCT, and help improve health by encouraging active lifestyles and improving cycling and walking facilities and routes
Sefton PCT	The Revised Commissioning Strategic Plan 2008-2013 states that the PCTs missions is to: • Improve health; and • Reduce inequalities in health These two key strategic aims are sustained by three supporting strategic aims which are to: • Ensure quality; • Provide value; • Involve local people. The vision set out in the plan is: 'By 2014, working with our partners we shall have ensured that the people of Sefton can enjoy a healthier, better quality life that is longer than the national average. Health inequalities will have been significantly reduced. The people of Sefton will be fully involved in service development and will be assured that we are securing for them health care that represents safe, high quality effective care that is good value for money'.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the aims and priorities of the PCT, and help improve health by encouraging active lifestyles and improving cycling and walking facilities and routes
Knowsley PCT	The Knowsley Strategic Commissioning Plan 2008/13 states that the PCTs shared guiding principle is that	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	in everything we do we should be Improving People's Lives. The vision set out in the plan is: 'The local communities we serve will be more informed and involved in decisions that affect them and experience better health and wellbeing and improved health and wellbeing services through: • Prevention – outcomes with an increasing emphasis on proactive prevention rather than emergency / crisis services; • Empowerment and engagement – enabling people to take control of their own health, and to become involved in local decisions about health and wellbeing services; • Closer to home – providing services in the appropriate setting but closer to home and in neighbourhoods where possible; • Providing quality services – that are personalised and focussing on outcomes that deliver improved quality of life.	aims and priorities of the PCT, and help improve health by encouraging active lifestyles and improving cycling and walking facilities and routes
Wirral PCT	The Wirral Annual Report 2008/09 states that the PCT vision is: "Working Together for a Healthier Future". Wirral PCT aim: • To involve and empower people • To target inequalities through effective partnerships • To ensure excellence in our health services • To become a high performance, high reputation organisation.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the aims and priorities of the PCT, and help improve health by encouraging active lifestyles and improving cycling and walking facilities and routes
Halton and St. Helens PCT	The Halton and St. Helens PCT Annual Report 2007/08 states that the PCTs mission is 'Our contribution to the wellbeing of the people we serve in Halton and St Helens is to enable them to have the best possible health and health care'. Overarching objectives for the PCT include: • To ensure the PCT delivers services as a patient-led organisation; • Work with the local community and strategic partners to improve health by ensuring clear and effective communication which creates efficient partnerships through integration, shared priorities and commissioning to tackle health inequalities. In addition, we will work as an active partner contributing to the continued viability of the local economy; • Focus on the strengthening of the organisation capabilities and capacity to develop.	The LTP, SA/SEA should support the aims and priorities of the PCT, and help improve health by encouraging active lifestyles and improving cycling and walking facilities and routes
Knowsley Council and Sefton Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2009)	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) has been prepared in accordance with government guidance in PPS25 "Planning and Flood Risk" (2006) and it's associated Good Practice Guide. The main purpose of the SFRA is to provide a strategic overview of flood risk in Knowsley and Sefton, focusing on future development. The SFRA will help direct new development towards sites at the lowest risk of flooding	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider minimising flood risk
Liverpool City Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2008)	This SFRA has been carried out by Liverpool City Council Planning Policy Department in order to fulfil the requirement set out in PPS25. The SFRA is a tool which plays an important role in delivering sustainable development for the City of Liverpool, taking account of flood risk issues and climate change. The main objectives of the SFRA include (but are not limited to): • Identifying land at risk of flooding in Liverpool; • Reduce risk and design mitigation measures; and • Provide a framework for developers for dealing with flood risk in development proposals	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider minimising flood risk
St. Helens Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2009)	The St. Helens SFRA document has been prepared in accordance with PPS25 to summarise the findings of the SFRA undertaken for St Helens Borough Council. The purpose of the document is to identify areas	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider minimising flood risk

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	susceptible to flooding, to avoid flood risk and if necessary highlight mitigation measures.	
The Knowsley Partnership: Local Area Agreement Pilot	The Local Area Agreements (LAA) aims are to tackle deprivation and disadvantage in the Borough of Knowsley. Furthermore the LAA seeks to improve efficiency, reduce bureaucracy and join up public services.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider opportunities for reducing deprivation and inequality and aid the integration of public services.
Sefton Local Area Agreement 2008 - 2011	As part of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, the Council now has a statutory duty to prepare a LAA. The model for LAAs involves all partners entering into a robust engagement process to ensure realistic and responsive partnership working and joint planning. The Council aims to ensure that all stakeholders have the opportunity to participate in and influence the determination and delivery of local priorities.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to promote sustainable development inline with the LAA
Liverpool Local Area Agreement 2008 - 2011	Liverpool's LAA is a three-year contract between government and local authorities, which state how key priorities of local people are delivered within their neighborhoods. Priorities include improved health and well being, improved connectivity and clean, safe and sustainable neighborhoods.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to promote sustainable development inline with the LAA
St. Helens Local Area Agreement 2008 – 2011	St.Helens LAA is now the agreed delivery vehicle for the St.Helens Sustainable Community Plan. The LAA aims to address the most critical actions and targets to improve liveability, achieve better health, and reduce worklessness.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to promote sustainable development inline with the LAA
Wirral's Partnership Agreement 2008/9 – 2010/11 (2008)	Wirral's LAA is a three-year agreement between the local area and central government. The LAA sets out how local priorities will be met by applying local solutions. Furthermore, the LAA contributes to national priorities set out by the government	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to promote sustainable development inline with the LAA
The North Biodiversity Action Plan	The North Merseyside Biodiversity Action Plan aims to help local people become more aware of the area's natural environment and the issues facing it. The Plan is not a single published document, rather it comprises a number of individual Species and Habitat Action Plans.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities for enhancement in terms of biodiversity
Liverpool World Heritage Site Management Plan and Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)	The SPD has been produced to provide detailed guidance for new development, regeneration and conservation in the Liverpool - Maritime Mercantile City World Heritage Site (WHS) and its Buffer Zone (the surrounding area and setting). The SPD supplements the 'saved' Unitary Development Plan (UDP) and sets out the management of the site and acts as a guide to future development in and around the site. It also embodies the principles in the existing WHS Management Plan.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities on the Liverpool - Maritime Mercantile City World Heritage Site and its Buffer Zone.
Merseyside Local Geodiversity Action Plan	The Merseyside Local Geodiversity Action Plan aims to set out actions to conserve and enhance the geodiversity of the Merseyside Area	The LTP and SA/ SEA should aim to consider the impacts and opportunities on the geodiversity in the area.
UK Legislation		
The Transport Act 2008 (as amended by the Local Transport Act 2008)	The Local Transport Act is a key part of the Government's strategy to meet this commitment, empowering local authorities to take appropriate steps to meet local transport needs in the light of local circumstances. The Act will: • Give local authorities the right mix of powers to improve the quality of local bus services, as proposed in *Putting Passengers First* last December following an extensive bus policy review; • Allow for the creation of an influential new bus passenger champion to represent the interests of bus passengers; • Give local authorities the power to review and propose their own arrangements for local transport governance to support more coherent planning and delivery of local transport;	The LTP should consider the Act in its preparation

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	• Update existing legal powers so that, where local areas wish to develop proposals for local road pricing schemes, they have the freedom and flexibility to do so in a way that best meets local needs - whilst ensuring schemes are consistent and interoperable. The Act requires local transport authorities to have regard to Government guidance and policies on the environment when formulating LTPs and policies.	
Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981	The key UK legislation is the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (WCA 1981) which consolidates and amends existing national legislation to implement the EC Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora, the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention) and Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the Conservation of Wild Birds (Birds Directive) in Great Britain. Of particular relevance to the proposed scheme are Schedule 1, which lists bird species afforded special protection and Schedules 5, which protect various mammal species including all bat species, from injury, killing or disturbance,	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect habitats and species
Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000	The Act provides for public access on foot to certain types of land, amends the law relating to public rights of way, increases protection for Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and strengthens wildlife enforcement legislation, and provides for better management of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). The Act is compliant with the provisions of the European Convention on Human Rights, requiring consultation where the rights of the individual may be affected by these measures.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect habitats and species and designated landscape areas
The Conservation (Habitats & c.) Regulations 1994 (Habitats Regulations)	The Conservation (Natural Habitats, and c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended): This regulation places a duty on planning authorities to meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive, and to provide protection for priority habitats and species listed in the Habitats Directive outside of protected areas	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect habitats and species
Part IV Environment Act 1995	Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 requires the Secretary of State to publish a national Air Quality Strategy and established the system of local air quality management, for the designation of air quality management areas, which commenced in 1997.	Transport can affect air quality. The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to encourage forms of transport that do not contribute to local air pollution such as cycling and walking
Air Quality Standards Regulations 2007	Regulations implement Council Directive 96/62/EC on ambient air quality assessment and management and require the attainment of air quality standards in respect of the concentration of various pollutants in ambient air.	Transport can affect air quality. The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to encourage forms of transport that do not contribute to local air pollution such as cycling and walking
The Water Environment (Water Framework Directive)(England & Wales) Regulations 2003	The regulations aim to protect and enhance the quality of surface freshwater (including lakes, streams and rivers); groundwaters; groundwater dependant ecosystems; estuaries; and coastal waters out to one mile from low-water.	Surface water run-off from roads and hard surfaced areas can cumulatively pollute watercourses. The LTP and SA/SEA should consider the effects on groundwater, surface water and river water quality
Planning (Listed Buildings & Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and Regulations 2009.	The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 is an Act of Parliament of the United Kingdom that altered the laws on granting of planning permission for building works, notably including those of the listed building system in England and Wales. The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Amendment No. 2) (England) Regulations 2009 were made on 6 October 2009 and came into force on 2 November 2009. They amend The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (England) Regulations 1990 as amended ('the 1990 Regulations'), by substituting Schedule 4 of the 1990 Regulations (notices that a building has become listed or that a building has ceased to be listed), to reflect the fact that English Heritage now compiles lists	The historic environment can be affected by transport in a number of ways, including inappropriate street furniture, road signs and paving, vibration from traffic and visual intrusion. The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to conserve the historic environment in relation to transport impacts.

Plan, Policy or Programme	Description	Implications for the LTP3 and SA/SEA
	of buildings of special architectural or historic interest and the Secretary of State (SoS) is responsible for approving them.	
The Air Quality Limit Values Regulations (2003)	In the UK, the presence of local air quality pollutants in ambient air is managed through legislation and Government policy. With respect to particulates (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$), nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) a key tool in this management process is the establishment of air quality 'limit values' and 'objectives'. Air quality limit values and objectives specify the concentration of a pollutant, a time period over which that concentration is measured, and a date by which it should be achieved.	Transport can affect air quality. The LTP, SA/SEA should aim to encourage forms of transport that do not contribute to local air pollution such as cycling and walking
Ancient Monuments & Archaeological Areas Act 1979	Act provides the legal mechanism for nationally important archaeological sites to be statutorily protected as Scheduled Ancient Monuments.	The LTP and SA/SEA should aim to protect archaeological assets
Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006	The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act is designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy. In relation to biodiversity, Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Communities Act (NERC) 2006 and states that: "Every public authority must, in exercising its functions, have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity". Biodiversity is a core component of sustainable development, underpinning economic development and prosperity, and has an important role to play in developing locally distinctive and sustainable communities. From 1 October 2006, all local authorities and other public authorities in England and Wales have a Duty to have regard to the conservation of biodiversity in exercising their functions. The Duty aims to raise the profile and visibility of biodiversity, to clarify existing commitments with regard to biodiversity and to make it a natural and integral part of policy and decision making.	The LTP and SA/SEA should recognise the specific rural issues set out in the Act and aim to make public transport more accessible in rural locations

Appendix C. Baseline Conditions and Key Issues

SEA Objective 1 - To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions

Quantity of electricity generated from renewable sources

Total generation at the NW level is second only to the East Region. In the NW landfill gas represents the greatest proportion.

Figure C.1: Renewable Energy Generation by English Region, 2007



Source: Restats – Renewable Energy Statistics Database for the United Kingdom
www.restats.org.uk/generation-region.htm

Proportion (%) of electricity generated from renewable sources UK

In 2007, renewable sources represented 5.0% of all electricity generated, increasing from 1.8% in 1990. Between 1990 and 2007, generation from non-hydro sources (wind, wave, solar and biofuels) increased from being 10% of all renewable electricity generated to over 75% (Source: DEFRA, Sustainable Development Indicators in your Pocket 2009, www.defra.gov.uk/sustainable/government/progress/documents/SDIYP2009_a9.pdf).

Number of existing renewable energy schemes (by type)

Since 2003 (and the 2005 LTP baseline) the number of sites in the NW has increased from under 100 to at least 140 in 2007.

Figure C.2: Number of Renewable Energy Sites by English Region, 2007



Source: Restats – Renewable Energy Statistics Database for the United Kingdom
www.restats.org.uk/sites-region.htm

Sefton and Wirral 2008 Annual Monitoring Reports (AMRs) make references to renewable energy schemes and sites but acknowledge that '*current monitoring systems do not measure the total capacity of all schemes, something we hope to address in future AMRs*'.

<u>Renewable Energy Potential (by type)</u>

Figure C.3: Capacity by English Region, 2007



Source: Restats – Renewable Energy Statistics Database for the United Kingdom, www.restats.org.uk/capacity-region.htm

Per Capita CO_2 Emissions from Transport

The major emissions of carbon dioxide arise from the combustion of fossil fuels in power generation, transport, domestic and industrial sectors.

It is evident that per capita CO_2 emissions from transport are highest in Knowsley (2.3t CO_2) and lowest in Sefton (1.1t CO_2) across Merseyside.

Table C.1: Per Capita CO_2 Emissions from Transport

Local Authority	Per capita CO_2 emissions from transport (t)
Knowsley	2.3
Liverpool	1.4
St. Helens	2.0
Sefton	1.1
Wirral	1.4

Source: Merseytravel, 2010

Amount of secondary/recycled aggregates used

Government guidance contained in MPS1 (Minerals Policy Statement 1) provides for an increasing amount of aggregate supply to be met by secondary sources. Previous attempts at collecting information on the total sales and reserves of secondary aggregates have been difficult and have produced vague and unreliable results due to the poor response from operators. Returns received in the past have been crude estimates particularly with regard to construction and demolition wastes (www.communities.gov.uk/documents/planningandbuilding/pdf/nwannual2008.pdf).

Per capita reduction in CO_2 emissions in the Local Authority area

Table C.2: NI 186 – Percentage per capita reduction in CO_2 emissions

Place Survey Indicator	Authority				
	Liverpool	Sefton	Knowsley	St. Helens	Wirral
Per capita reduction in CO2 emissions (%) (NI 186)	0.6%	1.4%	8%	6.8%	2.4%

It is evident that per capita reduction in CO_2 emissions are greatest in Knowsley (8%) and least in Liverpool 0.6%).

Sustainability Issue

Transport and the demands it places on energy resources, as well as the pollutants the sector emits, are strongly linked to climate change. Global climate change is one of the most significant and complex cumulative effects arising from an accumulation of multiple actions, each of which is of limited impact but together will have serious effects.

Per capita emissions for transport are highest in Knowsley (2.3t CO_2) and lowest in Sefton (1.1t CO_2) across Merseyside.

Opportunity: Mitigation to climate change through:

- Reducing carbon emissions;
- Use of renewable energy to power road signs, lighting, traffic lights etc;
- Making the best use of existing transport infrastructure;
- Increase electric car network and charging points;
- Reducing the need to travel; and
- Shifting necessary travel to more sustainable modes (public rights of way and wider access network improvements) and behaviours, and locking in the benefits.

Constraint: Climate change is a global issue. Difficulty in achieving significant modal shift.

SEA Objective 2 - To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates

Total annual volume of waste generated, Municipal waste arisings

Total Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) generated across Merseyside has decreased annually between 2006/07 (800,000+ tonnes per annum) and 2008/09 (last quarter estimated). The reduction in overall levels of MSW seems to be continuing with the comparison of first 3 quarter tonnages showing a 1.45% decrease from 06/07 to 07/08 and a 4.02% reduction from 07/08 to 08/09 (MWDA Performance Report, Quarter 3, September 2008 – December 2008).

Proportion of waste recycled/disposed by method of disposal

Table C.3: NI 192 - Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting

Name	2007/08	2008/09
Knowsley MD	18.40	25.05
Liverpool MD	22.12	26.39
St Helens MD	20.77	28.78
Sefton MD	30.23	37.66
Wirral MD	31.95	36.31

Source: http://www.wastedataflow.co.uk/htm/datasets.aspx#England, September 2009

2008/09 rates vary across Merseyside from 25.05% in Knowsley to 37.66% in Sefton. But clearly, over 60% of household waste currently either is not or cannot be reused, recycled and composted.

Sustainability Issue

Generally recycling rates in Merseyside are increasing. Transport can generate waste material through maintenance and construction or demolition of transport infrastructure.

Opportunity: Opportunity to use recycled material in transport infrastructure, and opportunity to re-use waste material in other developments.

Constraint: Cost of treating contaminated waste/soils for re-use. Availability of appropriate recycled material for purpose.

SEA Objective 3 - To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion

Indices of deprivation ranking

Merseyside has seen considerable improvements in the relative deprivation ranking when comparing the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2004 and 2007:

- There are now fewer Merseyside Super Output Areas (SOAs) in the most deprived areas (up to 20%) nationally than previously;
- Within Merseyside, four of the five local authorities are less deprived overall, in 2007 than 2004, (when compared to the rest of the country), the exception being Liverpool which is still ranked as the most deprived district;
- All five of the Merseyside districts experienced more SOAs improving then declining.

However, the gap between the most and least deprived SOAs in Merseyside seems to be widening:

- Overall, the average rank of the 3% most deprived SOAs didn't change from 2004, whereas, the least deprived SOAs improved by over 470 ranks;
- This gap is increasing in five of the seven main deprivation domains;
- All five of the Merseyside districts demonstrated further polarisation between the most disadvantaged and their peers;
- The claim of increased polarisation is backed up further when investigating household incomes of the two groups, where earnings have increased by a fifth in the least deprived neighbourhoods and not changed in the most deprived, over the three years to 2007;
- The average household income in the wealthiest neighbourhoods in Merseyside is £42,200 compared to £14,200 in the most underprivileged.

Figure C.4: Number of LCR SOAs in the 20% Most Deprived Nationally (2004 and 2007)



Source: ID2007 and 2004 (CLG) and 'Polarisation in Deprived Neighbourhoods Across Liverpool City Region', MM MIS EDSE subscribers report.

Percentage of working age population unemployed

Table C.4: Working Age Unemployment Rates by Borough

District	Working Age Unemployment rate (Jan – Dec 2008)
Knowsley	8.5
Liverpool	6.9
St. Helens	8.5
Sefton	6.3
Wirral	8.2
NW	6.5
England	6.0

Source: www.nomisweb.co.uk (APS, Jan-Dec2008)

The table above shows the working age unemployment rate for January to December 2008. All Merseyside districts have an unemployment rate which is higher than the national average (6.0). Sefton is the only district which has an unemployment rate which is lower than the regional average.

Percentage of population (or numbers) receiving state benefits

Table C.5: Percentage of population receiving state benefits

District	2008 working age population	Working age client group –Out of work benefit claimants (Feb 2009)	Rate claiming out of work benefits (Feb 2009)
Knowsley	93,500	21,660	23.2
Liverpool	285,000	65,810	23.1
St. Helens	108,500	19,510	18.0
Sefton	161,800	25,550	15.8
Wirral	182,300	32,710	17.9
NW	4,238,400	646,890	15.3
England	31,937,600	3,790,570	11.9

Source: www.nomisweb.co.uk (DWP WACG)

The table above shows the volume and rate of out of work benefit claimants (working age) in February 2009 (with rates based on the 2008 working age population). All Merseyside districts have a claimant rate which exceeds the national and regional averages.

The effects of the 2008/09 recession will have an impact on both unemployment and state benefit claimant rates and should be taken into consideration when setting LTP3 targets.

Sustainability Issue

Merseyside has seen considerable improvements in the relative deprivation ranking when comparing the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2004 and 2007. However, the gap between the most and least deprived SOAs in Merseyside seems to be widening.

Opportunity: Potential to improve accessibility of deprived areas to key centres, services, employment opportunities and goods. Potential to increase investment into the area through an improved, more efficient and more reliable transport network. The LTP3 could promote improved access to employment centres and educational facilities. Opportunity to link new employment development to existing or new transport infrastructure and particularly to locate such economic development close to existing urban population centres in order to reduce transport, especially that by private car.

Constraint: Congestion can reduce the efficiency and reliability of the transport network, hindering economic growth.

SEA Objective 4 - To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets

Merseyside Heritage Assets at Risk

These are proxy indicators from English Heritage, Heritage Counts 2008 – Merseyside Data.

Table C.6: Proxy indicators from English Heritage

Authority	Buildings (comprising grades I, II* and scheduled ancient monuments which are structures as opposed to earthworks)	Scheduled Monuments at high or medium risk (includes buildings and below ground remains)	Registered Parks and Gardens by size (hectares)
Knowsley	0	0	950.00
Liverpool	9	2	596.50
St Helens	1	1	147.00
Sefton	3	8	31.00
Wirral	3	5	202.50
Totals	16	16	1,927.00
Regional Totals	135	553	9,874.66

Source: Heritage Counts 2008 – Merseyside Data

Registered Battlefields at high or medium risk = none in LADs and Region. Local Authority maintains a 'Heritage Assets At Risk Register' = none in LADs, 1 across Region.

Listed Heritage Assets in Merseyside

These are proxy indicators from English Heritage, Heritage Counts 2008 – Merseyside Data.

Table C.7: Proxy indicators from English Heritage

	Listed Buildings				Scheduled Ancient Monuments	Parks & Gardens				WHS	Battlefields
	Gd I	Gd II*	Gd II	Total		I	II*	II	Total		
Knowsley	1	3	93	97	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
Liverpool	27	101	1,392	1,520	4	0	2	8	10	1*	0
St Helens	2	13	126	141	12	0	0	2	2	0	0
Sefton	1	19	540	560	13	0	1	4	5	0	0
Wirral	8	27	669	704	9	1	1	2	4	0	0
Total	39	163	2,820	3,022	38	1	4	18	23	1	0

*Liverpool World Heritage Site – Maritime Mercantile City (2004)

Number of listed buildings and percentage on English Heritage's Buildings at Risk Register - BAR

This information requires rationalisation with data published in AMRs. However the English Heritage data is published here for reference.

Table C.8: Number of Listed Buildings and percentage at risk by district

District	Number of Listed Buildings	Listed Buildings at risk	
		Number	%
Knowsley	101	0	0
Liverpool	1531	9	0.6
St. Helens	141	3	2.1

Sefton	539	1	0.2
Wirral	705	3	0.4
Merseyside	3,017	16	0.5

Source: English Heritage Buildings at risk register (2009), www.english-heritage.org.uk/server/show/nav.19075 and Heritage Gateway (Listed Buildings online) http://www.heritagegateway.org.uk/gateway/advanced_search.aspx

Number and total area of conservation areas

These are proxy indicators from English Heritage, Heritage Counts 2008 – Merseyside Data.

Table C.9: Conservation Area data by authority

Authority	Number of Conservation Areas	Number of Conservation Areas with an appraisal in the past 5 years	Number of Conservation Areas which have a management plan
Knowsley	15	15	0
Liverpool	35	8	1
St Helens	10	7	5
Sefton	25	10	2
Wirral	24	5	1
Totals	109	45	9
Regional Totals	859	309	164

Sustainability Issue

Sensitivities and due legal regard with respect to accessing and potentially harming cultural, historical, built environment and archaeological assets will continue to be applied.

Opportunity: Contributing to the social, cultural and economic life of the area by promoting improved public access to historic assets. Opportunity to enhance historic character by reinforcing the identity and character of an area e.g. by clearing street clutter, street maintenance, and improving street paving or furniture.

Constraint: Development can be restricted by heritage assets such as conservation areas, listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments and archaeology as inappropriate development which affects their setting is usually not permitted under planning.

SEA Objective 5 - To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance

Number and total area of internationally and nationally designated nature conservation & geologically important sites and reported condition

Merseyside contains numerous nationally rare species, together with internationally and nationally important habitats, mainly found along the coasts and estuaries. SSSIs can span more than one district (e.g. the Mersey Estuary) and although there are none in, or spanning Knowsley there are 16 across Merseyside. Please note that Natural England updated their 'Nature on the Map' database service in 2009.

Table C.10: Number of SSSI's by District

District	SSSI name	Combined unit size (ha)
Knowsley	None	n/a
Liverpool	Mersey Estuary*	6,714.51
St Helens	Stanley Bank Meadow	14.50
Sefton	Hesketh Golf Links	14.88
	Mersey Narrows*	116.34
	Ribble Estuary	9,348.45
	Sefton Coast	4,634.05
Wirral	Dee Cliffs	15.23
	Dee Estuary	5,241.16
	Dibbinsdale	55.02
	Heswall Dales	29.65
	Meols Meadows	7.78
	New Ferry	73.43
	North Wirral Foreshore	1,962.08
	Red Rocks	11.44
	The Dungeon	1.09
	Thurstaston Common	72.08

Source: Natural England; *spans >1 district.

It should also be noted that Sefton Coast is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The Ribble and Alt Estuaries, Mersey Estuary and the Dee Estuary are also designated Special Protection Areas (SPA) and Ramsar sites.

Reported levels of damage to designated sites

Between 2007 and 2008 there was an increase in the proportion of Merseyside (to 92.50%) SSSIs which are either in favourable or unfavourable (but recovering) condition. The 2006 figure for Merseyside was 91.65%. By 1st September 2009, the Merseyside figure had reached 93.96% according to Natural England.

Number of Locally Designated Sites

Table C.11: Number of Locally Designated Sites

Authority	Number of Locally Designated Sites
Knowsley	64
Liverpool	25
St. Helens	77
Sefton	55
Wirral	76

Sources: Knowsley Borough Council, Liverpool City Council, St.Helens Council, Sefton Council and Wirral Metropolitan Borough Council

Progress against Biodiversity Action Plan targets

A review of the NMBAP's (North Merseyside BAP) targets and plans was commenced in 2008 and will help to decide whether additional habitats and species are priorities for action in North Merseyside. The progress of the review indicated that the majority of Habitat Action Plans had been published but some were either still to be drafted or were awaiting comments (e.g. Coastal Sand Dunes and Urban Green Infrastructure). Likewise most of the Species Action Plan Reviews, covering birds, mammals, invertebrates, coastal and other plants have also been published. Further information is available at the Merseyside Biodiversity website.

Sustainability Issue

Overall, Merseyside has a rich and diverse range of habitats and species, which are important to biodiversity and connections between habitats. The majority of SSSIs are favourable although some sites need better management. All sites and connections between them need to be conserved.

It is important for indirect pressures on biodiversity and habitats to be considered, such as fragmentation of habitats, impacts of recreational use and water usage and loss of non- designated wildlife and landscape areas.

Other key issues include:
- impacts on the natural environment from transport and associated infrastructure;
- poor access to the natural environment; and
- car based visitor pressure affecting protected landscapes and sites of biodiversity value.

Opportunity: Potential exists to integrate sites of nature conservation into the LTP3. However, their protection should be borne in mind in any integration. The LTP3 could also promote public access to nature conservation sites, where this does not conflict with the nature conservation interest of a site. Opportunity to use transport infrastructure to provide wildlife corridors, through, for instances, native wildflower verge and embankment planting. Opportunities also exist for:
- conserving and enhancing biodiversity (habitats and species) and geo-diversity;
- maintaining and enhancing green infrastructure as part of the transport network for its wide ranging contribution to biodiversity; geo-diversity; accessible recreation and associated health benefits; adapting to climate change (e.g. carbon storage, drainage and water conservation);
- maintaining and improving the public rights of way and wider access network (through integration with and implementation of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan);
- more sustainable access in rural locations that provide benefits for residents as well as visitors; and
- protect sites becoming exemplars of sustainable transport.

Constraint: The LTP3 will be constrained by the existence of designated and non-designated nature conservation sites and the protection of these areas. Impact of implementing LTP3 measures on compensation designated habitat created in Merseyside.

SEA Objective 6 - To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region

Total area of publicly accessible open land/green space and Total area of publicly accessible urban green space

The definitions of green space and accessible landscape (including sports areas and parks) can vary and evidence submitted in Merseyside AMRs tends to be piecemeal. The following information derived from OS mapping and The Civic Trust is consistent across Merseyside.

Table C.12: Data surrounding open space

	Total Area (ha)	Total open spaces (ha)	% of district which is open space	Number of open space polygons	Green Flag (ha)	Green Flag (% of open space hectares)
Knowsley	8,647	2,054	23.8	215	66.0	3.2
Liverpool	11,184	2,287	20.4	323	321.6	14.1
St. Helens	13,638	1,271	9.3	326	79.0	6.2
Sefton	15,314	1,427	9.3	386	315.1	22.1
Wirral	15,705	829	5.3	271	216.5	26.1

Source: Open Space from OS mapping, May 2008. Green Flag status from The Civic Trust (Liverpool), Sept 2008.

Extent of Green Belt and areas of designated landscape value/importance

Approximately 45% of the Merseyside land area is designated green belt. The Regional Strategy for the Northwest will bring together its spatial, economic, social and environmental strategies and build a new long term vision for the region. However, Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the North West, Policy RDF5 (Green Belts) stated that 'overall the general extent of the Region's Green Belt will be maintained. There is no need for any exceptional substantial strategic change to Green Belt and its boundaries in the NW within Cheshire, Greater Manchester, Lancashire or Merseyside before 2011 and within Warrington before 2021'.

Total area of woodland/extent of tree cover

Data from OS Mastermap shows woodland coverage (hectares per ward) across Merseyside.

Figure C.5: Woodland coverage (hectares per ward) for Merseyside



Sustainability Issue

Merseyside has many important local landscapes and coastal landscape areas. Traffic infrastructure can affect the landscape through noise and visual intrusion.

Opportunity: Opportunities exist for:
- conserving and enhancing local landscape (and townscape) character and quality, and local distinctiveness (including reducing noise and light pollution;
- maintaining and enhancing green infrastructure as part of the transport network for its wide ranging contribution to biodiversity; geo-diversity; accessible recreation and associated health benefits; adapting to climate change (e.g. carbon storage, drainage and water conservation);
- maintaining and enhancing access to green and open spaces;
- maintaining and improving the public rights of way and wider access network (through integration with and implementation of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan);
- more sustainable access in rural locations that provide benefits for residents as well as visitors; and
- protected sites becoming exemplars of sustainable transport.

Constraint: Protecting the tranquillity and openness of the countryside.

SEA Objective 7 - To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters

Water quality (chemical & biological) classification of rivers, canals, estuaries and coastal waters, Bathing water quality

The introduction of the WFD is the most important new European water legislation for many years. A new approach to water management is promoted through river basin planning, and it will set the objectives for water protection for the future. It requires all inland and coastal water bodies to reach at least 'good' status by 2015 – subject to certain exemptions. The emphasis is on biological monitoring because this gives a broader assessment of the health of rivers.

There will be a transitional period of reporting water quality. When there is enough new data collected for the water framework directive, the old water quality indicators (General Quality Assessments - GQA) will be replaced with ones that use this new data. The current indicators for England and Wales will be produced for several more years, although in England they will be based on fewer monitoring sites. As a consequence of this, regional and local level results will no longer automatically be produced as part of the process, but in the longer term the Environment Agency will be better able to report on the water environment in river basin districts.

The Environment Agency submitted the River Basin Management Plans, which plan on how to protect and improve the watercourse, to the Secretary of State for the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and the Welsh Minister. These were completed in December 2009 and are available from: http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/research/planning/33106.aspx

The latest 2007 River Grades (Biology) shown are therefore at regional and national level only. Consistent Merseyside district level GQA is only available for 2006 and earlier.

Table C.13: River Grades (Biology) data

		a	b	c	d	e	f	a and b	a and b
		Very good	Good	Fairly good	Fair	Poor	Bad	Good or better	% point change
England	2004	39.1	31.9	16.4	6.5	5.0	1.0	71.0	
	2005	38.0	33.4	15.9	6.8	4.8	1.0	71.4	
	2006	38.0	34.1	15.9	6.5	4.4	1.0	72.1	
	2007	39.6	32.8	15.7	6.6	4.4	1.1	72.3	1.3
NW	2004	21.6	36.1	20.3	10.1	10.3	1.6	57.6	
	2005	19.4	38.7	18.5	11.6	10.8	1.0	58.1	
	2006	20.5	39.7	17.6	11.1	9.5	1.6	60.2	
	2007	23.5	40.3	15.3	10.1	9.1	1.7	63.8	6.2

Source: Environment Agency, www.environment-agency.gov.uk/research/library/data/34391.aspx

Table C.14: Water Quality data

Beach Name	Number of samples	Water Quality Rating 2007			Water Quality Rating 2008			Overall Rating 2007	Overall Rating 2008
		Poor	Good	Excellent	Poor	Good	Excellent		
Sefton									
Ainsdale	20	0	9	11	1	7	12	Good	Good
Formby	20	0	5	15	0	5	15	Good	Good
Southport	20	0	8	12	0	9	11	Good	Good
Wirral									
Meols	20	0	1	19	0	1	19	Excellent	Excellent
Morton	20	0	2	18	0	2	18	Excellent	Excellent
New Brighton	20	0	1	19	0	2	18	Excellent	Excellent
West Kirby	20	0	3	17	0	5	15	Excellent	Good

Source: Environment Agency

Sustainability Issue

Road traffic management potentially has a significant role to play in water quality because of the amount of pollutants cumulatively entering the water system via surface discharges. However, the actual level of contribution is unknown.

Opportunity: Potential to improve and promote public access to the River Mersey and riverside routes. Opportunity to further improve existing ferry crossings and use of the River Mersey for transportation. Location of transport infrastructure to avoid flood risk areas.

Constraint: LTP3 constrained by the presence of nature conservation designations within and around the River Mersey. Existing developments on flood risk areas still need transportation links.

SEA Objective 8 - To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality

Background pollutant concentrations

Table C.15: Summary of continuous PM_{10} Monitoring Results

Site	Year	Annual Average uLCR-3	No. of Days >50uLCR-3	No. of Days >17hours Data
Liverpool Islington	2000	25	3	259
	2007	28	3	92
Liverpool Speke	2000	20	2	179
	2007	18	11	356
Wirral Tranmere	2000	22	9	223
	2007	17	5	356

Source: AEA July 2008.

Table C.16: Number of days of NO2 above the hourly air quality standard (200 ug/m3) in Merseyside

Year	Nitrogen Dioxide
1997	0
1998	0
1999	1
2000	28
2001	25
2002	9
2003	12
2004	0
2005	0
2006	0
2007	0

Source: AEA July, 2008

Number of 'air pollution days'

Table C.17: Number of 'Air Pollution Days' in Merseyside (number of days in the MODERATE band or above)

Pollutant	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Carbon Monoxide	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nitrogen Dioxide	0	0	0	6	7	2	1	0	0	0	0
Ozone	13	8	18	22	30	23	46	18	24	40	19
Particulates (PM10)	17	10	3	25	12	12	19	11	1	3	11
Sulphur Dioxide	9	2	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total	39	20	24	56	49	39	66	29	25	43	30

Source: AEA July, 2008

Annual quantity of emissions by sector

Air Quality information is further presented by the Merseyside Atmospheric Emissions Inventory with mapping of NOX available at 200 metre grid resolution - emissions from transport.

Figure C.6: Transport NOx Emissions for Merseyside



Source: MAEI 2006 Results

Number and total area of Air Quality Management Areas and population living in AQMAs

There are currently six AQMAs in Merseyside. There is a citywide AQMA for Liverpool designated for NO_2. There are two AQMAs in St. Helens designated for NO_2, at High Street Newton le Willows (A49) between the junctions of Ashton Road and Church Street; and the M6 for its entire length within the borough. There are three AQMAs in Sefton: 1) Crosby Road North (A565) between the junctions with South Road and College Road, designated for PM_{10}; 2) Princess Way (A5036) from Ewart Road flyobver up to and including the roundabout and flyover at the junction with Crosby Road South (A565), designated for NO_2; 3) Junction of Millers Bridge (A5058) and Derby Road, designated for NO_2 and PM_{10}.

The population in each varies widely according to ONS 2006 population estimates ranging from over 430,000 in Liverpool to between 30 (Crosby Road North) and almost 500 (Princess Way) in the Sefton AQMAs.

Number of significant 'point sources' – Part A processes

Air Quality information is further presented by the Merseyside Atmospheric Emissions Inventory with mapping of PM_{10} available at 200 metre grid resolution – industrial emissions from permitted processes and boilers.

Figure C.7: Number of Significant Point Sources (Part A Processes)



Source: MAEI 2006 Results

Traffic volumes (annual average daily and peak hour) on main roads

Estimated traffic flows for all Motor Vehicles have been increasing since 1994 but appear to be levelling off in most districts during the last two years.

Figure C.8: Growth in Traffic volume all day across Merseyside Districts



Source: DfT Traffic Statistics for Local Authorities

The following graph shows peak traffic growth (derived from TiM, fig 4.67) for Liverpool City Centre as a proxy for Merseyside.

Figure C.9: Peak traffic growth



Sustainability Issue

Transport emissions are a major contributor to air pollution at both the national and the local level. There are currently six AQMAs in the Merseyside. The total number of 'air pollution days' in Merseyside has been tracked since 1997. The latest information shows there were 30 days in 2007 compared to 43 in 2006 and 25 in 2005. Estimated traffic flows for all Motor Vehicles have been increasing since 1994 but appear to be levelling off in most districts during the two years to 2008.

Opportunity: Potential to help reduce air pollution through promotion of sustainable transport modes, park and ride sites, and deterrents to using the private car.

Constraint: Difficulty in changing people's behaviour to use sustainable transport modes rather than the private car to create modal shift.

SEA Objective 9 - To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality

Number of people reporting disturbance due to environmental noise

The 2005 SEA baseline report included a table containing data on the number of people reporting disturbance due to environmental noise. The data within these tables originated from a one-off report compiled by Hepworth Acoustics in 2004 titled 'Ambient Noise on Merseyside'. There is no recent data to compare the baseline data with. In response to the lack of published data, noise disturbance data was requested from each of the Councils. The most commonly reported noise disturbance was noisy neighbours, 66.2% in Sefton and 82.7% in St. Helens. Data for other districts was not supplied.

Table C.18: Noise reporting in Sefton and St. Helens

	Sefton		St. Helens	
Noise Category	**No.**	**%**	**No.**	**%**
Road Traffic	14	1.3	7	0.9
Neighbours	696	66.2	629	82.7
Other people nearby	3	0.3	0	0
Aircraft/airport/airfields	0	0	0	0
Building, construction, demolition, renovation or road works	71	608	12	1.6
Trains or railway stations	2	0.2	0	0
Sports Events	6	0.6	0	0
Other entertainment or leisure	157	14.9	45	5.9
Community Buildings	5	0.5	3	0.4
Forestry, farming or agriculture	6	0.6	9	1.2
Factories or works	46	4.4	25	3.3
Other commercial premises	45	4.3	25	3.3
Sea, river or canal traffic	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous	0	0	6	0.8
Total	1051		761	

Source: "Ambient Noise on Merseyside" Hepworth Acoustics (2004)

Percentage of population exposed to noise levels above acceptable thresholds (to be derived from DEFRA noise mapping).

The following examples show the outputs available from http://services.defra.gov.uk/wps/portal/noise.

Figure C.10: Noise Mapping from Liverpool John Lennon Airport



Source: http://services.defra.gov.uk/wps/portal/noise.

Figure C.11: Noise Mapping from Industry

Source: http://services.defra.gov.uk/wps/portal/noise.

Figure C.12: Noise Mapping from Roads



Source: http://services.defra.gov.uk/wps/portal/noise.

Figure C.13: Noise Mapping from Railways



Source: http://services.defra.gov.uk/wps/portal/noise.

Extent of (designated) tranquil areas

The Campaign to Protect Rural England (2007) has produced tranquillity maps at the Regional level showing areas shaded from most to least tranquil. They show the least tranquil places being those in or near metropolitan and other urban areas and/or along arterial highway routes.

% of people who agree that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together

% of people who are satisfied with their local areas as a place to live

% people aged 65 and over who are satisfied with both home and neighbourhood

The following data and indicators are from the Place Survey Results (2008). Sefton received the highest percentage of people who agree that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together at 80.9% and the highest percentage of people aged 65 and over who are satisfied with both home and their neighbourhood at 88.3%. Liverpool has the lowest percentages for these two national indicators and also for the percentage of people who are satisfied with their local area as a place to live.

Table C.19: Place Survey Results for NI 1, NI 5 and NI 138

Place Survey Indicators	Authority				
	Liverpool	Sefton	Knowsley	St. Helens	Wirral
% of people who agree that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together (NI 1)	69.8	80.9	71.9	73.5	79.6
% of people who are satisfied with their local areas as a	71.3	79.3	72.2	74.2	82.2

place to live (NI 5)					
% people aged 65 and over who are satisfied with both home and neighbourhood (NI 138)	76.1	88.3	83.9	83.2	85.2

Source: Places Analysis Tool

Sustainability Issue

Transport is strongly linked to the local environmental quality by its impact on noise levels and traffic intrusion. According to the Hepworth report 'Ambient Noise on Merseyside', road traffic, followed by neighbours, aircraft/airports and construction/renovation noise featured in the top four sources of noise nuisance. However, neighbours and other entertainment/leisure are the main sources cited.

Opportunity: Opportunity to include innovative noise screening and barriers as part of transport infrastructure. Encourage use of quieter transport modes such as walking, cycling and electric vehicles. Locate strategic and primary road routes away from villages. Ensure HGV's use strategic road networks.

Constraint: Roads need to be located near to residential properties for access.

SEA Objective 10 - To improve health and reduce health inequalities

Coronary Heart Disease (CHD)

Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) and LCR Health Profiles (July 2008) data from the NW Public Health Observatory (NWPHO) present the latest situation on CHD. The data is indexed for comparison and it is evident that Knowsley is of greatest concern in terms of both measures. Sefton has the lowest incidences of CHD HES and early deaths in Merseyside although the latter statistic is combined with stroke. Sefton and Wirral are either on a par with regional rates (HES) or lower (early deaths).

Table C.20: Hospital Episode Statistics and Health Profiles data

District	CHD HES (2001/02 to 2005/06)	Early deaths: heart disease & stroke (Health Profiles 2008)
Knowsley	182.86	123.96
Liverpool	137.68	120.24
St. Helens	140.19	103.89
Sefton	115.92	88.57
Wirral	117.86	91.04
NW	115.91	102.2

Source: NWPHO

Model-Based Estimates of Current Smoking for LADs in England

LCR Health Profiles (July 2008) data from the (NWPHO) show a variety of smoking indicators; in pregnancy, in adults and deaths. Across the range of indicators Knowsley and Liverpool share most of the higher rates. This is also the case for St.Helens but only for 'smoking in pregnancy'. Sefton and Wirral tend to have the lowest rates in Merseyside which are also better than the NW regional rates. The 'adults who

smoke' results echo the Model-Based Estimates produced by the Information Centre for Health and Social Care, 2007 (National Centre for Social Research) based on Health Surveys for England 2003 to 2005.

Table C.21: Merseyside Health Profiles and smoking indicators

	Smoking in pregnancy	Adults who smoke	Deaths from smoking	Model based estimate %*
Knowsley	24.24	34.19	355.04	34.2
Liverpool	22.99	34.28	349.78	34.3
St. Helens	24.06	25.06	277.32	25.1
Sefton	18.79	23.71	248.27	23.7
Wirral	15.78	22.79	257.37	22.8
NW	20.75	25.96	269.96	26.0

Source: NWPHO and *NatCen

Estimates of Obesity and of overweight children

Knowsley has the highest obesity rates for children and Wirral the lowest. For adults, St. Helens has the highest rates and Wirral the lowest although for adults, the overall proportions are higher than for children.

Table C.22: Adult and Children Obesity rates by district

District	Obese children	Obese adults*
Knowsley	13.11	23.45
Liverpool	10.58	21.92
St.Helens	14.30	25.34
Sefton	11.61	21.98
Wirral	9.13	21.73
NW	10.22	24.48

Source: NWPHO and *NatCen

Table C.23: Child obesity data

	Obese		Overweight+Obese		Obese		Overweight+Obese	
District	boys (age 4-5)	girls (4-5)	boys (4-5)	girls (4-5)	boys (10-11)	girls (10-11)	boys (10-11)	girls (10-11)
Knowsley	13.75	13.15	31.84	28.13	20.37	17.35	34.72	34.54
Liverpool	11.05	10.16	24.57	21.79	20.68	14.81	35.58	28.72
St.Helens	15.52	12.34	34.74	31.68	22.84	19.65	38.36	36.48
Sefton	12.00	11.17	28.02	26.45	20.65	15.89	35.41	29.58
Wirral	9.82	8.40	24.27	22.11	20.84	17.88	35.71	33.41
NW	10.96	9.45	25.21	22.65	18.88	15.64	33.01	29.77

Source: NWPHO based on 2006-07 data

Years of healthy life expectancy (NI 137 - healthy life expectancy age 65)

Healthy life expectancy (HLE) at age 65 is also NI137. People are living longer but HLE is not increasing at the same rate. It is clearly desirable for increased life expectancy to be spent in good health. The measure looks at self-reported health, which captures the effects of the full range of interventions to improve objective health status on subjective states of health, and thus whether efforts are being appropriately targeted at conditions or behaviours that improve people's lives. Baselines and targets are set on the basis

of HLE from the Census 2001 which are up-rated using national average trends in HLE from the annual General Household Survey.

Sefton has the highest male and female life expectancy (LE) at birth (76.2 and 81.0 respectively) and Liverpool the lowest (73.8 and 78.3). However, HLE at age 65 is highest in Wirral (13.2 years) followed by Sefton (13.0). Knowsley and Liverpool share the lowest number of years at 10.9 each.

Table C.24: Life Expectancy by district

District	HLE at age 65	Male Life Expectancy: ONS	Female Life Expectancy: ONS
Knowsley	10.9	74.4	79.0
Liverpool	10.9	73.8	78.3
St. Helens	11.6	75.3	80.2
Sefton	13.0	76.2	81.0
Wirral	13.2	75.7	80.8
NW	12.6	75.8	80.3
England	13.7	-	-

Source: ONS 2002-2006 estimate/NWPHO

Mortality (standardised mortality ratios) by main cause

The all-age all cause mortality rate is also NI120. The indicator is reported and monitored as two separate mortality rates – one for males and one for females. Each of these rates is a single figure for all causes and all ages combined. Single year rates are used to enable timely reporting. The associated national target is assessed using 3-year average figures. The data is sourced from ONS death registrations and population statistics, published by the National Centre for Health Outcomes Development. A 'good' score is a lower score so Sefton demonstrates the lowest all-age all cause mortality in 2007 and 2008 and was also better than the NW average in 2007. Liverpool LAD had the highest score in 2008 amongst the Merseyside districts.

Table C.25: Mortality data

District	1993	2001	2006	2007	2008
Knowsley	1027.3	827.5	756.8	728.1	713.4
Liverpool	968.0	885.4	790.7	775.2	776.1
St. Helens	897.2	794.3	669.9	697.7	677.7
Sefton	862.0	734.4	603.5	624.5	631.1
Wirral	826.7	719.0	652.5	665.6	641.5
NW	880.5	750.0	666.9	661.2	-
England	790.4	667.9	591.6	579.4	-

Source: ONS

The Standardised Mortality Ratio (SMR) is calculated as the number of deaths observed within an area divided by the expected number of deaths within that area, this ratio is then multiplied by 100. At MSOA level the map shows that there are small areas on Merseyside where the SMR is double that of the England average of 100 including Liverpool City Centre. It is also evident that that there are many areas on Merseyside where the SMR is less than the English average.

Figure C.14: All Ages All Cause Mortality data



Source: ONS

% people who think that drug use or drug dealing is a problem in their local area

% people who say their health is good or very good

National indicators relating to drug dealing issues and health for the local authorities covered by the LTP3 are shown below.

Table C.26: Place Survey Results for NI 42 and NI 119

Place Survey Indicators	Authority				
	Liverpool	Sefton	Knowsley	St. Helens	Wirral
% people who think that drug use or drug dealing is a problem in their local area (NI 42)	46.4	38.6	47.4	42.1	29.7
% people who say their health is good or very good (NI 119)	72.3	74.5	69.4	71.0	73.6

Source: Places Analysis Tool

% adult participation in sport and active recreation

Table C.27: % adult participation in sport and active recreation

Authority	% adult participation in sport and active recreation
Liverpool	20.0
Sefton	18.9

Authority	% adult participation in sport and active recreation
Knowsley	19.3
St Helens	20.1
Wirral	24.5

Source: Places Analysis Tool

Sustainability Issue

Some transport impacts on health are better known and more direct than others, e.g. road traffic accidents or annoyance from traffic noise. Evidence of the direct effects of air pollution on mortality and respiratory diseases have also emerged in recent years. Children, the elderly, and those with pre-existing respiratory and cardiac conditions are the most susceptible to the health impacts of transport. Also car use (as a driver or as a passenger) is strongly associated with a sedentary lifestyle which is viewed as one of the most important risk factors for early mortality in western populations.

Opportunity: The LTP3 provides a good opportunity to encourage healthy and active lifestyles through investment in cycle and pedestrian routes and facilities and public transport. Aiming to encourage modal shift and reduce reliance on cars, this may have other health benefits in terms of air quality.

Constraint: Difficulty in changing people's behaviour and getting modal hsift from car to non-car modes of transport.

SEA Objective 11 - To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime

Numbers of people killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents

The latest Merseyside Road Casualties (Killed or Seriously Injured, All Ages), averages, trajectories and targets are shown in the figure below. Data for each of the Merseyside LADs can also be reproduced if required.

Figure C.15: Merseyside Road Casualties



	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
94-98 av	833	833	833	833	833												
trajectory			833	809.2	785.4	761.6	737.8	714	690.2	666.4	642.6	618.8	595	571.2	547.4	523.6	499.8
2010 target																	500
Actual KSI Recorded	914	811	837	839	764	688	759	699	781	781	770	710	626	542	552		
Revised Trajectory in LTP2											770	713	647	588	547	524	500

Year

Source: STATS 19/LTPSU

Numbers of children killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents

It should be acknowledged that LTPSU has more up to date child KSI data available (2008) than that presented here. This can be requested and reproduced if required.

Numbers and rates are shown below. Rates offer a better comparison because they standardise for population although numbers have fallen across Merseyside from 136 in 2003 to 90 in 2007. By 2007 and across Merseyside, only the rates in St. Helens (0.1 per 1,000 population) were better than the regional and national averages (both 0.3).

Table C.28: Numbers of children killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents

Numbers per LAD	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Knowsley	12	15	15	11	9
Liverpool	68	64	62	43	39
St. Helens	12	14	14	9	3
Sefton	12	19	17	11	15
Wirral	32	26	38	33	24
Merseyside	136	138	146	107	90

Source: DfT/ONS, Knowsley LDF AMR 2008

Table C.29: Rates of children killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents

Rates per 1,000 population by area	1997	2001	2005	2006	2007
Knowsley	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3
Liverpool	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5
St. Helens	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.1
Sefton	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3
Wirral	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.4
NW	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3
England	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3

Source: DfT/ONS

Recorded crime per 1,000 population

Liverpool LAD experiences both the highest volume of crime (53,949) and the highest rate per 1,000 population (123.9) across Merseyside. The lowest rate, although not the lowest count is found in Wirral (58.9 per 1,000 population).

Table C.30: Recorded Crime Rates

	Population 2008 estimate (rounded)	Total Recorded Crime Count 2008/09	Total Recorded Crime Rate per 1,000 pop 2008/09
Knowsley	150,800	13,093	86.8
Liverpool	434,900	53,949	124.0
St.Helens	177,500	13,798	77.7
Sefton	275,100	18,696	68.0
Wirral	309,500	18,282	59.1
Merseyside	1,347,800	117,818	87.4

Source: ONS 2008 pop estimates, HO CrimSec3 reports

Number of people reporting fear of crime

Fear of crime is no longer a performance indicator. It has been replaced by user and public confidence and satisfaction national indicator (NI) surveys. Complete and consistent baselines are not yet available

% people who think that anti-social behaviour is a problem in their local area

% people who agree that the police and other public services are successfully dealing with anti-social behaviour and crime in their local area

% people who agree that the police and other local public services seek people's views about anti-social behaviour and crime in their local area

% people who think that drunk and rowdy behaviour is a problem in their local area

National indicators relating to anti-social behaviour, crime and police services for the local authorities covered by the LTP3 are shown below.

Table C.31: Place Survey Results for NI 17, NI 21, NI 27 and NI 41

Place Survey Indicators	Authority				
	Liverpool	Sefton	Knowsley	St. Helens	Wirral
% people who think that anti-social behaviour is a problem in their local area (NI 17)	31.4	22.5	27.9	26.2	18.7
% people who agree that the police and other public services are successfully dealing with anti-social behaviour and crime in their local area (NI 21)	27.4	29.1	26.6	29.0	25.3
% people who agree that the police and other local public services seek people's views about anti-social behaviour and crime in their local area (NI 27)	27.5	27.6	26.2	26.5	22.4
% people who think that drunk and rowdy behaviour is a problem in their local area (NI 41)	32.8	33.5	30.9	34.0	29.5

Source: Places Analysis Tool

Sustainability Issue

Transport is an important contributor to the objective of improving safety and reducing crime and disorder at the national and local level. The risk people are exposed to varies from place to place and with mode of travel, (for example young pedestrians are particularly vulnerable). Transport's links with safety are strongly associated with traffic accidents. Transport and crime are strongly linked by issues such as car related crimes, safe parking and crime on public transport.

Numbers of people killed/seriously injured in traffic accidents have fallen across Merseyside from 781 in 2003 to 545 in 2007. By 2007, rates in all LADs except Wirral were better than the regional and national averages with St.Helens and Sefton sharing the lowest rates per 1,000 population.

Opportunity: Potential to improve transport related crime and anti-social behaviour through improved safety and security measures. Potential to further increase road safety through road safety awareness campaigns and road safety measures.

Constraint: Perception of crime in more deprived areas and town centres maybe difficult to change, even with increased measures.

SEA Objective 12 - To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance

Government defined indicators for access by public transport to education, work, health care and shopping centres

The Department for Transport has published statistics on the Core Accessibility Indicators for 2008. The Indicators provide a number of measures of accessibility by public transport, walking, cycling and car to seven service types: primary schools, secondary schools, further education, GPs, hospitals, food stores

and employment. With the exception of further education, indicators have also been produced for an 'at-risk' sub-group of the population.

(See www.dft.gov.uk/pgr/statistics/datatablespublications/ltp/coreaccessindicators2008).

Transport accessibility and mobility – Connectivity Score

Figure C.16: Connectivity scores

Local Authority District (LAD)	Connectivity score (2005) (GB=100)	Connectivity score rank (of 408)
Middlesbrough	121.5	83
Knowsley	106.4	95
Liverpool	104.8	97
Warrington	91.2	108
Wirral	77.3	121
Ellesmere Port and Neston	67.8	133
Sefton	65.0	134
Halton	60.4	138
St. Helens	38.0	186
Vale Royal	26.2	215
Chester	19.1	249
Hartlepool	12.7	276
Greater Merseyside	157.7	7 (of 53)
North West	66.7	3 (of 11)
Great Britain	100.0	

Source: Halton LTP3 Evidence Base Review (2010)

Travel time to key services by public transport/walk

Figure C.17: Travel time (minutes) to nearest key service by public transport/walk by unitary authority



Source: Halton LTP3 Evidence Base Review (2010)

Access for disabled people to goods, services and amenities

Figure C.18: Disabled persons travel pass ownership



Source: Countrywide Survey, 2008

Sustainability Issue

Transport is clearly linked with accessibility issues at the national and local level. For example, 89% of British households have a bus stop within a six-minute walk. It is also important to understand how much travel an individual should be prepared to undertake in order to access a service e.g. work. Given the current distribution of opportunities, some people need both the access to services and also to accept the need to travel more if they are to be economically included. Accessibility to local goods, services and amenities is strongly linked to transport especially in areas where community severance exists.

Opportunity: Opportunity to increase accessibility via sustainable transport modes from residential areas to town centres and other key areas of employment, services and goods.

Constraint: Cost of public transport for deprived areas, there needs to be concessions built into public transport ticketing, and bike hire schemes.

SEA Objective 13 - To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes

Trends in public transport fares, motoring costs and the retail price index

It is evident that, particularly in the last 10 years or so, rail and especially bus fares have increased by more and at a faster rate than both the RPI and motoring costs.

Figure C.19: Trends in Public Transport Fares, Motoring Costs and the Retail Price Index



Sources: Transport Services Monitor, Finance Section & AA web site (www.theaa.com)

<u>Average commercial peak bus fare per mile and average commercial off-peak bus fare per mile (in pence)</u>

The graphic is a proxy measure because it represents average total fares not fares per mile but it compares commercial with supported bus and Merseyrail fares. Latest off-peak fares (07/08 and 08/09) by commercial bus are of a similar magnitude to average Merseyrail fares.

Figure C.20: Average Peak Fares & Average Off Peak Fares

Average Peak Fares



	86/87	89/90	91/92	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00	00/01	01/02	02/03	03/04	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09
Commercial Bus	32	39	56	77	80	86	90	90	93	106	112	119	130	136	145	177	194
Supported Bus	24	33	54	62	63	65	65	65	67	70	70	72	72	76	100	100	100
Merseyrail	70	90	140	145	145	155	165	165	175	180	185	190	195	201	208	217	229

Average Off Peak Fares



	86/87	89/90	91/92	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00	00/01	01/02	02/03	03/04	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09
Commercial Bus	32	38	54	76	79	85	89	89	93	106	112	119	130	136	145	177	194
Supported Bus	20	29	45	58	58	60	60	60	64	67	67	69	69	71	100	100	100
Merseyrail	70	90	115	110	110	115	125	125	130	135	140	145	148	152	157	163	207

Source: Merseytravel Annual Passenger Services Monitor 2008/09

Commercial bus fares per mile from 1986 to 2009 can be seen in the ‘Miles 1’ row in the following tables.

Figure C.21: Changes in commercial bus fares

COMMERCIAL BUS FARES CHARGED

Average Peak Fares (in pence)

Miles	1986	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
1	20	38	42	46	49	54	57	61	61	64	73	77	83	102	117	137	160	171
2	30	48	52	56	59	64	69	77	77	79	89	95	102	120	122	140	166	176
3	40	58	63	69	73	75	84	87	88	91	104	110	120	135	141	145	177	189
4	50	75	81	86	96	98	103	108	109	113	129	136	141	145	151	147	186	200
5	60	85	92	97	106	108	117	112	113	116	149	155	160	152	151	152	194	207
6	70	95	104	108	117	118	127	125	126	132	149	155	161	154	151	152	196	214
7	70	103	112	119	126	127	129	136	137	142	149	155	161	155	151	153	197	215
8	80	103	112	120	126	127	130	137	138	142	150	155	162	159	165	153	207	218
9	80	104	113	120	126	127	131	137	138	142	150	155	163	162	172	164	207	221
10	100	105	114	122	126	127	132	137	138	142	150	155	163	165	186	189	215	223
11	100	105	114	125	126	127	132	137	138	143	150	155	164	165	186	189	229	225
12	100	105	114	126	126	127	132	137	138	143	150	155	165	165	186	171	229	227

Average Off Peak Fares (in pence)

Miles	1986	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
1	20	38	42	46	49	54	57	61	61	64	73	77	83	102	117	137	160	171
2	30	48	52	56	59	64	69	76	76	79	89	95	102	120	122	140	166	176
3	40	58	63	69	73	75	84	87	87	91	104	110	120	135	141	145	177	189
4	50	72	78	83	96	98	102	109	110	113	129	135	141	145	151	147	186	200
5	60	75	81	88	106	108	117	111	111	116	149	154	159	151	151	152	194	207
6	60	77	83	90	117	117	125	124	125	132	149	154	159	153	151	152	196	214
7	60	77	83	92	118	118	127	128	128	141	149	154	160	153	151	153	197	215
8	60	78	84	92	118	118	127	128	128	141	149	154	160	156	165	153	207	218
9	60	78	84	94	118	118	127	128	128	141	149	154	160	160	172	164	207	221
10	60	78	84	94	118	118	127	128	128	141	149	154	160	160	186	189	215	223
11	60	78	84	95	118	118	127	128	128	141	149	154	160	160	186	189	229	225
12	60	78	84	95	118	118	127	128	128	141	149	154	160	160	186	171	229	227

Source: Merseytravel Annual Passenger Services Monitor 2008/09

Personal Travel – distances, purposes and modes

The following information is from the Merseyside Countywide Travel Survey 2008. Distances are not available but the modal percentage of trips made in each of the surveys from 1987-88 is two, followed by four.

Figure C.22: Trips by Number



Source: Merseyside Countywide Travel Survey 2008

The most common purposes for trips are work, shopping and social/recreation although percentages have fluctuated between these three purposes over the years of the survey.

Figure C.23: Trip by Purpose



Source: Merseyside Countywide Travel Survey 2008

Driving a car and walking have continually been the most common mode of transport for trips over the years of the survey.

Figure C.24: Trips by Mode



Source: Merseyside Countywide Travel Survey 2008

Travel to school, work and shops by mode

Walking is the most common mode of transport to school, decreasing only slightly since the 2006/07 baseline. Comparable figures for work and shops are not presented.

Table C.32: Travel data by mode

	Travelled By Car	Car share	Public Transport	Walking	Cycling	Other
2006/07 baseline	30.5%	3.0%	17.9%	47.1%	1.1%	0.4%
2007/08	31.0%	3.3%	18.2%	45.8%	1.2%	0.4%
2008/09	30.4%	3.2%	18.4%	46.3%	1.2%	0.4%
2008/09 Change from baseline	0.6%	0.3%	-0.4%	-0.7%	0.1%	0.1%

Source: School Census

Trips for all modes and for all purposes are also available from the CWS.

Figure C.25: Trips for all modes and for all purposes



Source: Merseyside Countywide Travel Survey 2008

Bus and Rail patronage

Bus patronage (millions of passenger trips per year) has decreased in all metropolitan areas since 2001/02 except in Greater Manchester and also in London.

Table C.33: Bus patronage data

Year	Mersey-side	West Midlands	Greater Man-chester	West Yorkshire	South Yorkshire	Tyne and Wear	All Metro-politan Districts	London
2001/02	164	357	213	187	131	145	1,197	1,422
2002/03	161	349	213	187	130	141	1,181	1,527
2003/04	159	335	223	184	122	137	1,160	1,692
2004/05	158	327	220	179	113	131	1,128	1,802
2005/06	156	321	217	179	115	124	1,112	1,881
2006/07	163	323	223	187	117	127	1,140	1,993
2007/08	151	327	226	177	119	120	1,120	2,090
Change 02/08	-7.9%	-8.4%	6.1%	-5.4%	-9.2%	-17.2%	-6.4%	47.0%

Source: DfT Regional Transport Statistics 2008

Conversely, rail patronage has increased since the 1995/96 baseline in terms of millions of passenger trips per year (numbers are lower than bus patronage).

Table C.34: Rail patronage data

Year	Mersey-side	West Midlands	Greater Man-chester	West Yorkshire	South Yorkshire	Tyne and Wear	All Metro-politan Districts
2007/08	25.20	31.22	34.95	28.75	10.96	7.00	138.08
Change since 1995/96	37%	63%	57%	70%	43%	19%	19%

Source: Office of Rail Regulation, National Rail Trends

Quality of Bus Fleet (age/engine standard)

It is evident that newer vehicles (2-5 years) have become more prevalent since 2006/07 and that the proportion of these vehicles is at its highest since 2004/05. The average age in 2008/09 is just under 9 years compared to 12 years in 1993/94.

Figure C.26: Bus Fleet Profile



Source: Merseytravel Annual Passenger Services Monitor 2008/09

The Merseyside Environmental Standard of Bus Fleet (Euro III or equivalent) was 35% in 2006, increasing to 41.3% in 2008/09.

Sustainability Issue

To reduce the need to travel, and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes is an important national issue. It is now widely recognised that many urban areas cannot provide the road space in response to traffic growth projects. Demand management or the reduction of the need to travel

is now widely accepted. Transport plays a central role in reducing the need to travel and improving the choice and use of more sustainable transport modes.

The most common purposes for trips are work, shopping and social/recreation. Driving a car and walking have continually been the most common mode of transport for trips over the years of the surveys (Countywide travel surveys from 1987-88). Walking is the most common mode of transport to school, decreasing only slightly since the 2006/07 baseline. Bus patronage has decreased in all metropolitan areas since 2001/02 except in Greater Manchester and also in London. Conversely, rail patronage has increased since the 1995/96 baseline in terms of millions of passenger trips per year (although volumes are lower than they are for bus).

Opportunity: The LTP3 has the potential to make a large beneficial contribution to reducing congestion through improvements to public transport, cycle and walking routes. Promoting rail and water transportation for freight. Introducing deterrents to using the private car such as increased car parking fees in town centres. Travel planning and initiatives for schools, workplaces and individuals could be investigated

Constraint: Changing behaviour to get modal shift away from the private car.

SEA Objective 14 - To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk

Extent of flood risk areas – riverine and coastal

A summary of the area of land and number of properties (residential and/or commercial where available) at risk of flooding is available for 3 of the 5 Merseyside LADs.

Table C.35: Flood risk data (area of land and number of properties

	Flood Zone (FZ)3 (high risk)	FZ2	FZ1 (low risk)	Total (ha or no.)
Knowsley*	306	166	-	472
Liverpool	-	-	-	423
St.Helens	(2,228 properties)	-	-	(2,228 properties)
Sefton	2,290 (3,892 res properties)	578 (2,795 res properties)	-	2,868 (6,687 res properties + 281 comm prop)
Wirral	-	-	-	n/a

Source: Merseyside 2008 Annual Monitoring Reports (AMRs). Data relates to 2007 and 2008. *Majority of land at risk is in the green belt and should not affect residential or commercial development.

The map shows Merseyside 'flood zones' in June 2006. The available data up to now has focused on flooding from watercourses, but increasingly the focus is on understanding the potential for flooding from all sources, including from groundwater, drains and the sea. All of the Merseyside districts have been

undertaking Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA) for their areas and detailed site-specific assessments are sometimes required to support development proposals.

The Environment Agency review each planning application thoroughly and provide appropriate responses accordingly, and they may object to a planning application when water quality is considered to be at risk of being degraded which includes, but is not limited to: pollution at a water abstraction point, pollution to surface water and an unsatisfactory means of disposal of sewage.

Figure C.27: Merseyside Flood Zones



Source: Environment Agency

Sustainability Issue

Climate change effects such as increased temperatures, gales, snow and other severe weather

conditions could have effects on the transport network.

Flood risk is a continued risk to particular areas and a constraint to be considered for new transport infrastructure.

Carbon emissions from transport.

Opportunity: Mitigation and adaptation to climate change through:

- Reducing carbon emissions;
- Making the best use of existing transport infrastructure;
- Increase electric car network and charging points;
- Making use of green infrastructure associated with transport networks for climate change adaptation e.g. carbon storage, sustainable drainage, energy generation and water conservation;
- Reducing the need to travel; and
- Shifting necessary travel to more sustainable modes (public rights of way and wider access network improvements) and behaviours, and locking in the benefits.

Constraint: Climate change is a global issue. Cost involved in climate proofing transport infrastructure. Difficulty in achieving significant modal shift.

SEA Objective 15 - To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity

Agricultural land quality classification

Defra's Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) provides a method for assessing the quality of farmland to enable informed choices to be made about its future use within the planning system. After the introduction of the ALC system in 1966 the whole of England and Wales was mapped from reconnaissance field surveys, to provide general strategic guidance on land quality for planners. This 'Provisional' Series of maps was published on an Ordnance Survey base (scale 1inch:1mile) in the period 1967 to 1974. These maps are not sufficiently accurate for use in assessment of individual fields or development sites, and should not be used other than as general guidance. There is no comprehensive programme to survey all areas in detail.

Since 1999, the amount of field surveying carried out by Defra has been substantially reduced. Private consultants may survey land where it is under consideration for development, especially around the edge of towns, to allow comparisons between areas and to inform environmental assessments. Consultations are mandatory on planning applications that are not consistent with an adopted local plan and involve the loss of twenty hectares or more of the best and most versatile land, which should in theory lead to better monitoring of the larger tracts of farmed land.

Merseyside is heavily urbanised, but agricultural land occupies a sizeable proportion of the overall land area and includes considerable resources of higher grade soils. However, government guidance seeks to protect the best and most versatile agricultural land from irreversible development.

The total farmed area in Merseyside, as a proportion of the NW total has been greater than 1.94% since 2005 but fell slightly between 2006 (2.06%) and 2007 (2.00%). However, farmed area and number of

holdings are slightly down in 2007 across the NW and England as a whole. Within Merseyside, St. Helens and Liverpool have seen increases in both the farmed area and the number of holdings since 2006.

Table C.36: Farmed area data

District	2005		2006		2007	
	Farmed area (no. holdings)	Set-aside	Farmed area (no. holdings)	Set-aside	Farmed area (no. holdings)	Set-aside
Knowsley	2,795 (74)	170	3,848 (80)	250	2,634 (65)	97
Liverpool	324 (10)	n/a	308(10)	n/a	346 (12)	n/a
St Helens	6,291 (143)	519	4,967 (138)	384	6,091 (143)	333
Sefton	4,055 (121)	265	5,594 (128)	357	4,906 (110)	182
Wirral	4,050 (128)	168	4,576 (127)	n/a	4,381 (123)	n/a
Merseyside	17,515 (476)	1,122 (excl Liverpool)	19,292 (484)	991 (excl Liv & Wirral)	18,359 (453)	612
NW	905,084 (19,714)	11,291	935,871 (19,858)	10,612	919,119 (19,497)	9,125
England	9,278,375 (174,480)	482,169	9,328,573 (175,531)	439,030	9,291,357 (172,424)	366,034

Source: Agricultural Census Survey, farmed area and set-aside shown in hectares (ha)

Location and extent of (potentially) contaminated land - PCL

Previously Developed Land (PDL) and PCL remains a difficult issue due to the legacy of the Industrial Revolution throughout Merseyside. PCL has not been collated uniformly across the Merseyside Districts. The following text summarises the PCL coverage in each of the Merseyside 2008 AMRs, except Wirral where 'contaminated' or the 'contamination' potential of land was not included.

The Knowsley AMR makes brief mention of Policy ENV5, Contaminated Land and Liverpool highlights the subtlety of the wording in use around some of the statistics. For example the AMR states that at March 2008 there were approximately 10,999 sites in Liverpool of 'potential concern with regard to land contamination'. This is lower than the figure of 11,022 sites in 2007 which is due to some sites having been removed from the list following further investigation under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.

In St. Helens the SHLAA survey 'found' 83 new PDL sites, suggesting that all the official PDL/PCL statistics are potentially an undercount. Finally, the Sefton AMR lists; UDP policies to be saved and the Environmental Protection Policy Number EP3 under the Policy name of 'Development of Contaminated Land'.

Proportion of development on previously used land

The proportion of new dwellings on previously developed land between 1992 and 2007 shows an increase across all Merseyside districts since 1992. In 2007, Liverpool had the highest proportion (96%) and Knowsley the lowest (80%). All districts, except Sefton, have also seen an increase between 2000-2003 and 2004-2007, although the Sefton percentage has historically been higher than in other areas.

Table C.37: Proportion of new dwellings

District	1992-1995	1996-1999	2000-2003	2004-2007
Knowsley	67	77	74	80

District	1992-1995	1996-1999	2000-2003	2004-2007
Liverpool	79	89	92	96
St. Helens	72	73	80	82
Sefton	81	89	97	92
Wirral	73	87	85	94
ENGLAND	53	54	63	74

Source: Table P213 Land Use

www.communities.gov.uk/planningandbuilding/planningbuilding/planningstatistics/livetables/landusechange

The area of (and percentage of total) PDL suitable for housing in each of the Merseyside districts in 2005 and 2007 shows that in 2007 Liverpool had the largest area of PDL available for housing (424ha) although the proportion of its total PDL which this area represents fell from 77.3% in 2005. Sefton had the lowest proportion in 2005 (5.1%) but this value climbed to 24.1% in 2007, above both Knowsley and Wirral.

Table C.38: The area of PDL suitable for housing

District	Area suitable (ha)		% of total PDL	
	2005	2007	2005	2007
Knowsley	**45**	**38**	**17.2**	**20.7**
Liverpool	**510**	**424**	**77.3**	**63.9**
St. Helens	**127**	**142**	**41.1**	**44.2**
Sefton	**17**	**70**	**5.1**	**24.1**
Wirral	**58**	**60**	**15.4**	**17.6**

Source: LCR Local Authority District NLUD Returns compiled by MM MIS (Jan 2009)

Sustainability Issue

There are no direct links between transport and soil management at the local level. However, the location and extent of (potentially) contaminated land, and the proportion of development on previously used land, have prospective implications regarding any new transport-related works.

Opportunity: Upgrading of existing transport infrastructure in preference to new infrastructure. Potential to remediate contaminated land as part of transport infrastructure works.

SEA Objective 16 - To provide good quality, affordable and resource efficient housing

Proportion of population in different housing types (owner occupied, rented private sector, social landlord etc.)

The following information is taken from the annual Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix (HSSA), which is the main tool that informs the development and monitoring of the Regional Housing Strategy.

Table C.39: Different Housing Type data

District	Local Authority	Registered Social Landlord	Other Public Sector	Private Sector
Knowsley	0	18,502	17	45,864
Liverpool	0	61,057	153	151,149
St. Helens	0	16,722	0	61,911
Sefton	0	18,663	0	105,319
Wirral	0	22,193	9	122,524

Source: 2008 Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix (HSSA)

Percentage of properties classed as 'unfit'

HIP Returns data presented in the Liverpool AMR 2008 shows that in 2008, there were 16,885 unfit dwellings in Liverpool (7.9% of total dwelling stock). This is a decrease from 2007 when 18,076 dwellings were unfit (8.6% of the total dwelling stock). Of the unfit dwellings in 2008, 894 are Registered Social Landlord dwellings (1.5% of RSL dwellings), 15,893 are owner occupied and private sector dwellings (10.5%) and 98 are other public sector dwellings (64%). Data for other Local Authorities in Merseyside was not knowingly available.

The HSSA for 2006/07 and 2007/08 has asked questions on housing conditions (fitness) using both the old Fitness assessment and the new (from April 2006) Housing Health and Safety Rating System in sections A (question 4) and section B (questions 1 to 3). This was to allow all local authorities to complete returns whether or not they had been able to reflect this change of standard in their evidence base and information systems for 2006/07 and 2007/08. In consequence however this data can not be aggregated or compared across Local Authorities, or at the regional or national level. It has therefore been decided not to publish the information returned by Local Authorities during this interim period.

Thermal efficiency of housing stock

The table below shows the average SAP[1] rating of the private sector (non RSL) dwellings. This is the most complete dataset in the 2008 HSSA which reports on thermal efficiency for the Merseyside Authorities.

Table C.40: Average SAP rating for private sector dwellings by district

District	Average SAP rating of the private sector (non RSL) dwellings
Knowsley	59
Liverpool	56
Sefton	56
St. Helens	51
Wirral	51

Source: 2008 Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix (HSSA)

[1] SAP stands for Standard Assessment Procedure, also known as Energy Ratings. Houses are rated from 0 - 100, 0 being very inefficient and 100 being highly efficient.

Fuel Poverty

The percentage of households in fuel poverty at equivalised full and basic incomes shows that Liverpool has the highest proportions of both across Merseyside. Sefton has the lowest proportion of households in fuel poverty in terms of full and basic income according to the data available.

Table C.41: Percentage of households in fuel poverty

District	% in Fuel Poverty - Full Income (equivalised)	% in Fuel Poverty - Basic Income (equivalised)
Knowsley	6.48	7.89
Liverpool	7.16	8.51
St Helens	5.88	7.07
Sefton	5.86	6.48
Wirral	5.90	6.63
Merseyside	6.37	7.41

Source: Fuel Poverty Indicator (www.fuelpovertyindicator.org.uk)[2]

Sustainability Issue

There are few direct links between transport and the provision of good quality affordable and resource efficient housing. The location of housing in relation to provision of public transport, and the level of car parking provided with housing units, can help contribute towards use of more resource efficient modes of transport.

Opportunity: Link planned new housing developments with new or existing transport infrastructure, especially public transport, and cycle and pedestrian routes.

[2] The Fuel Poverty Indicator is a statistical model of fuel poverty based on the 2003 English House Condition Survey (EHCS) and 2001 Census. The EHCS was used to predict the risk of fuel poverty for different household types, the results were then applied to the 2001 Census to predict the level of fuel poverty for all Lower Super Output Areas (LSOAs) in England.

Appendix D. Appraisal Tables

D.1. Goal One Appraisal

LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships				
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	+	**Moderate**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and regional strategic priorities, such as a Low Carbon Economy. In addition, the development of the Super Port was identified as a significant opportunity for sustainable resource use. Relevant partners identified: Liverpool vision; Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; The Peel Group; Local Authorities; Utility companies; Liverpool universities (University of Liverpool, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool Hope University and Edge Hill University); Defra; Non-governmental organisations; Friends of the Earth; Manufacturers; and Local Strategic Partnerships. Consultation with citizen and voluntary groups was considered important to guide sustainable resource use in Merseyside, supporting the Government Big Society approach.			
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	+	**Moderate**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments Recycling was considered a particularly significant issue by stakeholders, identifying a potential to work with local partners to recycle local resources. One such example included collecting cooking oil for bio-fuels. Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and regional strategic waste and recycling priorities. In addition, the development of the Super Port was identified as a significant opportunity for waste management. Relevant partners identified: Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; The Peel Group; Local Authorities; Merseyside waste disposal authority; The Development of bio-fuel partnerships Consultation with citizen and voluntary groups was considered important to guide waste management in Merseyside, supporting the Government Big Society approach. Policies and targets outlined in the Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy for Merseyside (2008) should also be considered.			
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	+	**Minor**	**Medium**	**Significant**
	Comments Reducing poverty and social deprivation should be about 'reducing the gap' so that the social gradient between the 'haves' and the 'have nots' is flattened. The objective should be reducing socio-economic inequalities. Transport can and does play a part in tackling poverty / social deprivation; it is one part of a wider jigsaw so working together collaboratively with other community / governance structures will help to realise benefits. As such, there is a potential positive interaction. Magnitude was considered minor as working with partners was not considered the way in which the LTP could bring about most change in terms of social deprivation. Working with partners to help deliver low carbon economy ambitions through the provision of efficient public transport services			

LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships				
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
	will help socially deprived areas in which there is generally less access to private transport. Reduced emissions will also be positive for socially deprived groups, who tend to experience poorer health outcomes. Exploring broader and deeper engagement with citizens in line with the Government's Big Society approach – could provide a voice to local people and will help to encourage cohesion. It will also help to identify the transport issues and needs for deprived communities more easily. Steps could be taken, for example, to secure more access from deprived communities to employment locations if these links are identified as lacking. Continuing to develop joint approaches to ensure good land use and transport integration, as well as encouraging other modes via the LTP and LDFs – this is quite likely to have a positive impact on deprived communities because a joined up approach could deliver employment sites that are well-served by public transport where access is not reliant on private car use; the latter tends to discriminate those on lower incomes who have less access to their own transport.			
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**Significant**
	Comments Cultural heritage is already heavily protected by legislation and controlled through the planning process, therefore focused partnership working would add little to the management of such impacts. Relevant partners identified: Liverpool vision; Groundwork: in the Northwest; Merseyside Environment Trust; The Peel Group; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities Defra; Non government organisations; Local Strategic Partnerships. Consultation with citizen and voluntary groups was considered important to preserving cultural heritage in Merseyside, supporting the Government Big Society approach.			
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments Relevant partners identified: Liverpool vision; Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities; Defra; Non-governmental organisations; Friends of the earth; and Local Strategic Partnerships Consultation with citizen and voluntary groups was considered important to guide biodiversity impact management in Merseyside, supporting the Government Big Society approach			
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments Partnership working is likely to generate moderate measurable positive outcomes for the region and is essential to work towards national and regional strategic priorities. In addition, the development of the Super Port was identified as a significant opportunity for managing the impact on landscape. Relevant partners identified: Liverpool vision; Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities; Defra; Non-governmental organisations; Local Strategic Partnerships; and The Peel Group.			
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**

LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships				
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
	Comments Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and regional strategic water quality priorities. In addition, the development of the Super Port was identified as a significant opportunity for water quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements (such as the Water Framework Directive) and the major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with water quality. Relevant partners identified: Liverpool vision; Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities; Defra; Non-governmental organisations; Local Strategic Partnerships; The Peel Group; and Friends of the Earth			
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**0**			
	Comments Whilst collaborative working is encouraged, the actions set out in goal one are not likely to have a direct effect on air quality. Improvements in local air quality can only be achieved through the implementation of schemes that discourage car use and focus on the reduction of vehicles on the road. A priority for the region is for the development of a key public transport interchange, of which would encourage the use of more sustainable modes of transport and help to improve local air quality.			
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**0**			
	Comments Whilst collaborative working is encouraged, the actions set out in goal one are not likely to have a direct effect on overall environmental quality. Liaison with the local development planning process is likely to be the most effective way to secure benefits to local environmental quality.			
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**Significant**
	Comments A transport system that supports the priorities of the local region is likely to provide an indirect benefit to improving health and to reducing health inequalities. The extent to which the Goal contributes toward the objective is influenced by the role / priority of health in each of the partnership strategies and policies. Therefore, the health sector has a role to play in influencing LSP priorities, MAA, emerging LEPs, and the local development planning process. There is existing uncertainty around how the new public health service (working with local authorities and GP consortia) will function. Once established it may be that there is an opportunity for more direct liaison between the transport system and the health (and education) sectors, rather than through alternative partnerships.			
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**D**	**Minor**	**Medium-High**	**Significant**
	Comments The Goal's purpose is not to improve safety and reduce crime so interaction is low, however, if there is close working with other partners, positive interactions could be realised in future. Hence, it is dependent on implementation. It was not considered that LSPs do much to address improvements in crime and safety and, therefore, joint working with the LSP would not make much of a difference in this regard. This Goal was rated as high in importance because crime and safety and anti-social behaviour are relevant in terms of people's confidence to travel.			
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments This Goal was not assessed in detail, but many of the points raised for Objective 3 of this Goal are also relevant. Transport is			

LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships				
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
	seen as playing a big role in local accessibility, but working with partners is not necessarily the main way in which the LTP hopes to fulfil the objective. Therefore, whilst the interaction is potentially positive the magnitude is low. The importance of delivering accessibility would, however, be high on the agenda in any partnership working. LEP, MAA and LSP priorities are likely to include objectives around accessibility, and therefore synergy with these governance bodies / strategies will help to maximise delivery against these goals. The issues around the Big Society approach and ensuring good land use and transport integration are also relevant here in that providing more of a voice to local people will help to highlight where accessibility needs to be improved and where community severance is presently an issue. Continuing to develop joint approaches to land use and transport integration via the LTP and LDFs is also likely to have a positive impact on improving local accessibility.			
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments In order to improve choice and encourage the use of more sustainable modes, joint partnerships are essential to ensure that all transport priorities are delivered, particularly those that encourage the use of more sustainable modes, such as walking and cycling.			
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and regional strategic priorities, such as a Low Carbon Economy. In addition, the development of the Super Port was identified as a significant opportunity for climate change management. Strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non-governmental organisations. Relevant partners identified: Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities; Defra: Non-governmental organisations; Local Strategic Partnerships; Friends of the earth; UK Climate Impacts Programme; and; Met Office			
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**

LTP3 Goal One: Ensure the transport system supports the priorities of the Liverpool City Region and its Local Strategic Partnerships				
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
	Comments Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and regional strategic priorities, and considered important to work towards national and regional strategic land and soil quality priorities. Relevant partners identified: Liverpool vision; Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities; Defra; Non-governmental organisations; Local Strategic Partnerships; The Peel Group; Friends of the earth; and Groundwork: in the Northwest Consultation with citizen and voluntary groups was considered important to guide land and soil quality impact management in Merseyside, supporting the Government Big Society approach. However, strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non-governmental organisations.			

D.2. Goal Two Appraisal (Part 1)

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Traffic				**2. Modal Shift**				**3. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Major**	***High***	***Highly Significant***	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	***Highly Significant***	**+**	**Major**	**High**	***Highly Significant***
	Comments The success of electric vehicle measures were heavily dependant on the proportion of electricity generated from renewable resources. The public sector is likely to play an important strategic planning role, while the private sector was a key funding source. The impact on water was considered to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.				***Comments*** Such measures are likely to be beneficial, however the potential magnitude of their impact in reducing emissions was limited. Therefore, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes should not be the sole method of encouraging modal shift. The aim of smarter choices and behavioural change programmes is to implement soft measures that would influence people's travel behaviour towards more sustainable options. However, in Merseyside the success of such measures is likely to depend heavily on infrastructure improvements, particularly for cycling. Smarter choices and behavioural change programmes also require consideration of options. Measures are required to focus on outcomes through the implementation of the Best Practical Environmental Option (BPEO). The monitoring and evaluation of the successes of such programmes also contributes to the identification of Smarter Choices and behavioural change programme BPEOs. The impact on water was considered by to be low in comparison to other resource use areas; however there may be hidden water use through the manufacturing of vehicles for example.				***Comments*** Public transport efficiency improvements were identified as a key priority, such as a reduction in the number of poorly used bus services and the smart ticketing system. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**0**				**0**				**0**			
3. To reduce poverty and social	**0**				**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**Not Significant**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**Not Significant**

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Traffic				**2. Modal Shift**				**3. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
deprivation and secure economic inclusion					***Comments*** Infrastructure to support higher levels of walking and cycling will be beneficial for all population groups, and in particular for non-car owners, who are disproportionately from deprived communities. Cycling and walking provision will be positive for low income groups.				***Comments*** The promotion and provision of a low carbon transport system is unlikely to have a significant effect on poverty and social deprivation; however general improvements to the public transport system will benefit socially deprived communities due to their reliance on public modes. The proposed Smartcard system would require people to have their own bank account, something that socially deprived groups are unlikely to have; this could, therefore, potentially marginalise these groups. The proposal to encourage Merseyrail Electrics to decarbonise their energy supply is likely to improve local air quality and this could benefit socially deprived groups who traditionally experience more from health inequalities.			
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**0**				**D**				**0**			
					Comments The successful implementation of Smarter Choices and behavioural change programmes is likely to require infrastructure improvement. Such infrastructure improvements, if implemented have the potential to negatively impact cultural heritage. However, infrastructure improvements could also have a positive effect if mitigated against - a transport scheme like pedestrainisation or public realm improvements may enhance the setting of a cultural/historical site.							
5. To protect,	**D**				**D**				**D**			

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Traffic				**2. Modal Shift**				**3. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	***Comments*** Actions to provide a clean and low carbon transport system are likely to have a positive effect on biodiversity, as this will involve travel by low emission vehicles and reduce noise, air and light pollution. However, habitats may be lost as a result of the land-take required for the provision of an electric charging infrastructure.				***Comments*** The successful implementation of smarter choices and behavioural change programmes was considered to require infrastructure improvement. Such infrastructure improvements if implemented have the potential to negatively impact biodiversity.				***Comments*** Dependant up on the actions implemented to provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system, there may be both positive and negative effects on biodiversity. There may be improvements in air and noise quality that may arise from a modal shift or there could be the potential for negative impacts from insensitive maintenance measures or from land take.			
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**D**				**D**				**D**			
	Comments The provision of electric charging infrastructure and a strategy to deliver the infrastructure required to support electric vehicles may help improve access to the landscape, even if such actions may have a negative impact on the landscape itself.				***Comments*** The successful implementation of Smarter Choices and behavioural change programmes was considered to require infrastructure improvement. Such infrastructure improvements, if implemented have the potential to negatively impact landscape However, infrastructure improvements and public transport information provision may help improve access to the landscape, even if such actions may have a negative impact on the landscape itself.				***Comments*** The provision of public transport may help improve access to the landscape, even if such actions may have a negative impact on the landscape itself.			
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**0**				**D**				**0**			
					Comments The successful implementation of Smarter Choices and behavioural change programmes was considered to require infrastructure improvement. Such infrastructure, improvements if implemented have the potential to negatively impact water quality.							
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**Not Significant**	**+**	**Major**	**Medium - High**	**Highly Significant**	**+**	**Major**	**Medium - High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments Actions to provide a clean and low carbon transport system are likely to have a positive effect on air quality, as this is likely to involve travel by less-polluting forms of transport. Improved infrastructure will lead to electric cars being a more viable alternative and therefore encourage greater use, switching away from modes that are more polluting.				***Comments*** Any change in modal shift will have a positive effect in reducing the number of private vehicle modes and is also likely to lead to improvements in air quality. Education on efficient driving techniques would have a positive effect, as hard acceleration causes higher emissions.				***Comments*** Providing better public transport services and increasing patronage is likely to help reduce use if motorised vehicles. Actions to reduce CO2 are likely to also help reduce nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter and therefore improve local air quality.			

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Traffic				**2. Modal Shift**				**3. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**D**				**+**	**Major**	**Medium**	**Highly Significant**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**Significant**
	Comments The contribution to the local environment depends on the design and placement of the infrastructure. Electric vehicles are likely to be cleaner and quieter and therefore contribute to a more pleasant environment. The actions are investigative in nature, rather than practical at this stage.				***Comments*** Achieving a modal shift away from motor vehicles is likely to improve local environmental quality. Less traffic is likely to make the urban environment more attractive and a more usable space for other road users (cyclists, pedestrians, etc). Generally, noise is likely to decrease too.				***Comments*** Increasing public transport patronage is likely to lead to a more critical mass of users which may mean that investment in infrastructure and maintenance will be improved. This is likely to have a direct impact on the streetscape and vehicle technology which is likely to have subsequent benefits for environmental quality. Generally, noise is likely to decrease too. However, there may be localised increases along arterial public transport routes.			
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**	**Not Significant**	**+**	**Major**	**Medium**	**Highly Significant**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**Significant**
	Comments The provision of electric vehicles and alternative fuels is not likely to have a measurable effect on the health baseline. However, the uptake of these technologies in the longer term is expected to help to improve air quality.				***Comments*** A modal shift from motorised transport to more active forms of travel such as cycling and walking is likely to have long term health benefits for the population. Active travel is part of a health lifestyle, contributing to improvements in physical health and mental health. Providing education can help people make informed choices about their travel modes, increasing the likelihood that more sustainable (including less polluting) modes are considered.				***Comments*** A low carbon world is generally good for public health. Actions to promote public transport as a sustainable travel mode may help to improve traveller choice and improve patronage. Low emission and quieter vehicles can help contribute to a cleaner, quieter and more pleasant local environment.			
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**0**				**0**				**0**			
12. To improve local accessibility of	**D**				**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**	**Not Significant**	**D**			

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Traffic				**2. Modal Shift**				**3. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	***Comments*** The provision of a charging network for electric cars could have a positive effect on local accessibility if charging points are located where there are local services and amenities. Local incentives for the use of electric vehicles, such as free parking at local centres could further improve access to amenities. However, the magnitude of this impact is not likely to result in a measurable effect on the baseline conditions.				***Comments*** Encouraging modal shift through improvements to walking and cycling facilities could help to boost access to local services.				***Comments*** Improvements to bus services could help to increase access to local services, if appropriately targeted at communities where accessibility is currently low. Replacing poorly used services with alternative services that are more responsive to users' needs (for example taxi services) would mitigate any negative impacts and could actually increase usage and local accessibility			
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	-	**Moderate**	**High**	**Highly Significant**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	**Highly Significant**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	**Highly Significant**
	Comments The promotion of electric vehicles will promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport; however this measure will not reduce the need to travel and may still encourage the use of private, single occupancy modes.				***Comments*** A modal shift is likely to discourage the use of private transport modes and encourage users to travel using more sustainable, active modes such as walking and cycling.				***Comments*** Improvements in the choice of public transport modes available will promote multi-modal journeys. A wider choice in sustainable modes of public transport is also likely to increase patronage and could also seek to reduce the repetition of services and instead, create opportunities to access other areas across Merseyside.			
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to	+	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**	+	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**Significant**	+	**Major**	**High**	**Highly Significant**

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Traffic				**2. Modal Shift**				**3. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Significance*
climate change including flood risk	***Comments*** The success of electric vehicle measures were heavily dependant on the proportion of electricity generated from renewable resources. The public sector is likely to play an important strategic planning role, while the private sector was a key funding source.				***Comments*** A modal shift from private vehicles to other modes is likely to reduce the number of cars on the road and associated congestion. Stakeholders believed such measures were beneficial, however the potential magnitude of their impact in reducing emissions was limited. Therefore, there should not be a focus on smarter choices and behavioural change programmes. Infrastructure development presented an opportunity to integrate climate change adaptation measures into transport systems. The aim of smarter choices and behavioural change programmes are implementing soft measures in influencing people's travel behaviour towards more sustainable options. However in Merseyside stakeholders outlined that the success of such measures depended heavily on infrastructure improvements, particularly for cycling.				***Comments*** Any new transport projects should be designed to adapt to the predicted effects of climate change, including an increased risk of flooding.			
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**0**				**D**				**0**			
					Comments The successful implementation of Smarter Choices and behavioural change programmes was considered to require infrastructure improvements. Such infrastructure improvements, if implemented have the potential to negatively impact land and soil quality.							

D.3. Goal Two Appraisal (Part 2)

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system														
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**													
	4. Fleet Vehicles				**5. Freight Traffic**			**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**			
	Interaction		*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**D**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Neg**	**Low**	
	Significance: **Highly Significant**				*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			
	Comments The success of this strategy depended on implementing the most appropriate scheme for each transport mode. Any positive measurable changes may be limited without the support of legislation and funding. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas Funds from developer offsetting may contribute to this goal				***Comments*** Legislation and planning policy is needed to support the success of such actions. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** During the workshop it was identified that land-use planning measures needed to be integrated into national, as well as local and regional planning policy in order to achieve this objective.			***Comments*** The careful planning of future transport projects could involve measures, such as the recycling of aggregates to help reduce carbon output and energy use.			
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**0**				**0**			**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	
	Significance:				*Significance:*			*Significance:*			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			
											Comments There is a potential for aggregate materials to be recycled and re-used in the maintenance of the network and highways assets that could help to reduce carbon output.			
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**0**				**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			
	Significance:				*Significance:*			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			*Significance:*			
								Comments Sustainable transport commitments made by developers may ensure that deprived social groups have better access to services, especially where there is affordable housing.						

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system														
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**													
	4. Fleet Vehicles			**5. Freight Traffic**				**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*		*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**0**			**-**	**Major**	**High**		**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	
	Significance:			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**				*Significance:* **Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			
				Comments The development of consolidation centres is likely to have a significant potential to impact negatively on Merseyside's cultural heritage. Measures should be taken to ensure the development consolidation centres do not affect local cultural heritage. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major quality of life effects associated with cultural heritage.				***Comments*** It was considered that measures to integrate sustainable transport and design, and Low Emission Strategy principles into the planning process would produce positive outcomes for cultural heritage. Strategic planning was identified as crucially important to this projected outcome. These actions are likely to have the potential to produce slight measurable changes in cultural heritage. Stakeholders identified that such measures would be beneficial, although some national legalisation is already in place to protect cultural heritage.			***Comments*** Measures to ensure that the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment and future climatic conditions would have the potential to produce substantial measurable outcomes for cultural heritage. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major quality of life effects associated with cultural heritage.			
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	**0**			**+**	**D**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	
	Significance:			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**				*Significance:* **Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			
				Comments The detailed actions set out under Freight seek to promote best practice and improve environmental performance; and develop procurement policies that support the uptake of low emission vehicles and fuels. As a result, it is likely that freight related transport emissions will reduce and air quality levels will improve. One of the actions is to consider the feasibility of consolidation centres and if they are implemented without giving due regard to the natural environment this could result in negative impacts on biodiversity. Consolidation centres will, however reduce the number of freight vehicles on the road, resulting in less congestion and improved levels of air quality.				***Comments*** Strategic planning was identified as crucially important to this projected outcome as the greening of routes would enhance biodiversity and through careful land-use planning habitats and sites of geological importance could be preserved. Such measures would be beneficial, although some national legalisation is already in place to protect Merseyside's landscape.			***Comments*** Measures to ensure that the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would have the potential to produce substantial measurable outcomes for biodiversity Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with biodiversity.			

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	4. Fleet Vehicles			**5. Freight Traffic**			**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**0**			**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance:			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant**		
				Comments The development of consolidation centres is likely to have a significant potential to impact Merseyside's landscape heritage. Measures needed to be taken to ensure the development consolidation centres did not impact local landscape.			***Comments*** Measures to integrate sustainable transport planning and design and Low Emission Strategy principles into the planning process would produce positive outcomes for Merseyside's landscape. Strategic planning was identified as crucially important to this projected outcome. These actions were considered to have potential to produce slight measurable changes in Merseyside's landscape. Stakeholders identified that such measures would be beneficial, although some national legalisation is already in place to protect Merseyside's landscape.			***Comments*** Measures to ensure that the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would have the potential to produce substantial measurable outcomes for landscape.		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**0**			**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance:* **Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
							Comments Stakeholders considered measures to integrate sustainable transport planning and design and Low Emission Strategy principles into the planning process would produce positive outcomes for water quality. Strategic planning was identified as crucially important to this projected outcome. These actions were considered to have potential to produce slight measurable changes in water quality. Stakeholders identified that such measures would be beneficial, although some national legalisation is already in place to protect water quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major quality of life effects associated with water quality.			***Comments*** Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with water quality.		

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	4. Fleet Vehicles			**5. Freight Traffic**			**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**+**	**Minor**	**Medium**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**		
	Significance: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*		
	Comments Improving environmental performance, including reducing emissions, is likely to lead to improved air quality			***Comments*** HGVs make a large contribution to urban air pollution and therefore reducing HGV movements in AQMAs would make a positive contribution to local air quality. Whilst the use of consolidation centres may reduce the overall number of HGV trips, although the area around the consolidation centre may experience a decrease in air quality. Greater use of low emission vehicles would also have a positive effect on air quality.			***Comments*** Considering air quality effects of proposed development through land use planning is an effective way to help limit future deteriorations in local air quality. Low Emission Strategies will also make a positive contribution					
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**+**	**Minor**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant**		
	Comments Improving environmental performance, including reducing emissions, is likely to lead to improved environmental quality, such as reductions in the levels of noise.			***Comments*** A reduction in HGV traffic is likely to improve local environmental quality. A reduction in volume and frequency of large vehicles can help the urban and rural streetscape appear more attractive and safer to other road users. HGVs are also associated with air and noise pollution, especially in urban areas and this influences people's perceptions of their local environment.			***Comments*** One of the key roles of the local planning system is to consider the local environment and amenity of communities. Actions to consider design and greening of routes will help to make sure that future transport provision contributes to environmental quality, rather than detracting from it. Low Emission Strategies will also make a positive contribution			***Comments*** The asset management programme includes actions to improving local environmental quality through fixing highway assets, maintaining and improving lighting (which can help reduce the fear of crime), providing safer pathways, highway cleaning regimes and facilitating recreational access (by maintaining public rights of way).		
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			*Significance*: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant**		
				Comments The actions identified are investigative in nature and therefore any benefit is likely to be indirect and small. Alternatives to current freight use or changes to the routeing of freight traffic could have positive effects on local environmental and air quality, which influences health. Consider alternatives to freight use			***Comments*** Targeting the planning system to provide sustainable travel choices for new development will help to tackle the source of potential negative effects on health and to maximise positive effects. Greening of routes and Low Emission Strategy principles would help to improve the quality of the local environment			***Comments*** Actions to maintain and manage the network have the potential to facilitate benefits to factors that influence health. This includes actions to reduce accidents, improving local environmental quality (e.g. fixing highway assets), reducing the fear of crime (e.g. street lighting), promoting health lifestyles (safer pathways for cycling) and facilitating recreational access (e.g.		

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	4. Fleet Vehicles			**5. Freight Traffic**			**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
				Consider changes to the routeing of freight traffic			(including air quality) which may encourage more active and healthy (outdoor) lifestyles.			maintaining public rights of way).		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**0**			**0**			**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance*:			*Significance:* **Not Significant**		
										Comments Actions to maintain and improve the transportation network are likely to reduce the fear of crime and improve safety. Improvements in lighting will help to reduce the fear of crime and improvements to footways and cycle routes may allow for the opportunity to design out crime, such as installing CCTV.		
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*			*Significance*: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments An increase in the capacity and choice of sustainable fleet vehicles is likely to have a minor, positive effect on the local accessibility of goods and services. Improvements in the environmental performance of fleet vehicles may increase efficiency in the services provided, particularly for those who have a high reliance on public transport.						***Comments*** Careful land-use planning is likely to identify and target areas across Merseyside where access is currently limited. The consideration of sustainable transport and design is likely to assist in the improvement of local access to goods and services through more efficient, environmentally sound modes.			***Comments*** It is likely that improvements to footways and cycle tracks would promote accessibility, in particular for non-car owners who can be from deprived communities and have associated health problems. Accessibility can also be improved through well-maintained pavements, particularly for those who find mobility difficult.		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Improving the environmental performance of sustainable fleet vehicles, including buses, taxis and freight vehicles is likely to lead to improved environmental quality and an increase in the use of more sustainable transport modes			***Comments*** Freight should be encouraged to use rail rather road to promote the use of more sustainable and lower emission transport modes			***Comments*** Measures to engage with planners to consider and encourage the integration of sustainable transport modes is likely to have a major, positive effect on the choice and use of more sustainable transport modes. Careful land-use planning can also reduce the need to travel if high trip generating development is encouraged in areas where public transport accessibility levels are high.			***Comments*** Maintenance and management of the transportation network is important for ensuring that the infrastructure remains open for traffic, therefore these measures would result in a minor, positive effect on sustainable transport. It is also important that footways and cycle paths are well maintained to increase the use of more sustainable modes of travel, such as walking and cycling.		

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	4. Fleet Vehicles			**5. Freight Traffic**			**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	+	**Major**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Working with bus, taxi and freight fleet operators to improve environmental performance was considered by stakeholders to positively contribute to the development of a low carbon transport system. However the success of this strategy depended on implementing the most appropriate scheme for each transport mode. These actions were considered to have potential to produce measurable changes in emissions. Although, stakeholders believed that such measures would be limited without the support of legislation and funding. Funds from developer offsetting may contribute to this goal. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with greenhouse gas reductions.			***Comments*** Public procurement policies to support the uptake of low emission vehicles were considered to positively contribute to the development of a low carbon transport system. The development of consolidation centres transferring goods to low emissions vehicles were also considered by stakeholders to contribute to this goal. However, legislation and planning policy is needed to support the success of such actions. These actions were considered to have potential to produce substantial measurable changes in emissions. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change mitigation.			***Comments*** Measures to integrate sustainable transport planning and design and Low Emission Strategy principles into the planning process would produce positive outcomes for climate change management. These actions were considered to have potential to produce substantial measurable changes in emissions, and provide the opportunity to integrate climate change adaptation measures into design. However, stakeholders identified that such measures needed to be integrated into national, as well as local and regional planning policy. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with resource use.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered measures to ensure the transport system takes account of future climatic conditions would potentially produce substantial measurable improvements in the resilience of the transport network to climate change impacts. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change impacts.		
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	0			-	**Major**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			*Significance*: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
				Comments Stakeholders considered the development of consolidation centres to have significant potential to impact Merseyside's land and soil quality. Measures needed to be taken to ensure the development consolidation centres did not impact local land and soil quality. The development of derelict land was highlight as a key issue for this action. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered that measures to integrate sustainable transport planning and design and Low Emission Strategy principles into planning would produce positive outcomes for land and soil quality. Strategic planning was identified as crucially important to this projected outcome. These actions were considered to have potential to produce slight measurable changes in land and soil quality.			***Comments*** Measures to ensure that the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would have the potential to produce substantial measurable outcomes for land and soil quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with land and soil quality.		

LTP3 Goal Two: Provide and promote a clean and low carbon transport system												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	4. Fleet Vehicles			**5. Freight Traffic**			**6. Land-Use Planning**			**7. Network Maintenance & Management**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
				are national statutory requirements and major quality of life effects associated with land and soil quality.			Stakeholders identified that such measures would be beneficial, although some national legalisation is already in place to protect land and soil quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health effects associated with land and soil quality.					

D.4. Goal Three Appraisal

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**-**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			*Significance:*		
	Comments Improving the cycling and walking network, with the aim of improving health and well-being, would potentially generate measurable reductions in emissions from travel. Although there are human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with resource use; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** Some road safety strategies, such as extending the network of low speed zones across Merseyside, were considered by stakeholders to produce negative outcomes for resource use. Stakeholder considered the traffic calming measures used in low speed zones created stop start driving cultures and congestion, which has the potential to result in slight measurable increases in emissions from travel. It was believed that other traffic calming measure should be considered which take account the impact on emissions. Although there are human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with resource use; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being.					
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance:*		
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**D**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Encouraging walking and cycling in socially deprived areas may contribute to overall improvements in health, as well as reduce health inequalities, already associated with social and economic disadvantage. The promotion of group walking initiatives has the potential to encourage community cohesion. Increasing the network of cycling and walking			***Comments*** Accident rates and child causalities in particular, are generally higher in socially deprived areas. Efforts to improve road safety, such as an extension of low speed zones across Merseyside could help to reduce the number of casualties in socially deprived areas. Ensuring spending on road safety remains at 2010 levels will help to reduce road accidents and			***Comments*** The effect on poverty and deprivation depends on the actions that arise as a result of the transport/ health impact assessment for major development proposals. Examining the potential for all major development proposals to be subject to a transport/health impact assessment as part of the transport SPD is likely to have a positive impact on socially		

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being											
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**										
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**				
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*		
	routes, based on programmes identified in the Active Travel Strategy, is also likely to contribute to this objective. Dedicated and well known routes also increase the feeling of security so more people are likely to participate. Expanding cycle and rail integration is likely to increase cycle use and rail patronage. Provision for cycles either at stations or on trains could help to ensure access. Measures such as this can help to reduce journey costs. Cycle training to schoolchildren, students and adults is also likely to have positive health benefits, promoting better outcomes for lower income groups. Free or low cost cycle provision is also likely to improve health outcomes for more deprived communities.			increase safety and the feeling of being safe in these areas.			deprived groups.				
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**-**	**D**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**0**			
	Significance: **Not Significant**				*Significance:*			*Significance:*			
	Comments Improving the cycling and walking network, with the aim of improving health and well-being, was considered to generate negative outcomes for cultural heritage. The development of infrastructure required to sufficiently improve the cycling and walking network to meet this goal, has the potential to produce slight measurable impacts on cultural heritage. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes to achieve this goal has the potential to lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution. The effects on Heritage Assets are also scheme dependent as some routes may aid accessibility to a cultural heritage site. The use of old railway lines as an example, may aid cultural and historical interpretation of the route with the provision of information about the route etc, and access to railway structures.										

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being										
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**									
	1. Cycling and Walking				**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**		
	Interaction		*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	+	-	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**				*Significance:*			*Significance:*		
	Comments Improving the cycling and walking network, with the aim of improving health and well-being was considered by stakeholders to generate both positive and negative outcomes for biodiversity. However, walking and cycling infrastructure is likely to have less of a negative impact on biodiversity in comparison to other types of infrastructure. Improvements to the cycling and walking network are likely to encourage a modal shift and thus reduce the number of vehicles on the road, resulting in improvements to the natural environment, including a reduction in emissions and levels of noise. The development of infrastructure required to sufficiently improve the cycling and walking network, to meet this goal, has the potential to produce slight measurable impacts on biodiversity. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes to achieve this goal has the potential to lessen this impact. Although there are human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with biodiversity; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being.									
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	+	-	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**				*Significance:*			*Significance*:		
	Comments Improving the cycling and walking network, with the aim of improving health and well-being, was considered by stakeholders to generate both positive and negative outcomes for landscape. The development of infrastructure required to sufficiently improve the cycling and walking network, to meet this goal, has the potential to produce slight measurable impacts on landscape.									

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes to achieve this goal has the potential to lessen this impact. Although there are quality of life effects associated with landscape; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being.								
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**-**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*			*Significance*:		
	Comments Partnership working was considered important to work towards national and regional strategic water quality priorities. In addition, the development of the Super Port was identified as a significant opportunity for water quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements (such as the Water Framework Directive) and the major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with water quality. Relevant partners identified include: Liverpool vision; Environment Agency; Merseyside Environment Trust; Local Authorities; Liverpool universities; Defra; Non-governmental organisations; Local Strategic Partnerships; The Peel Group; and Friends of the Earth								
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**+**	**Minor**	**Medium**	**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**	**0**		
	Significance: **Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*		
	Comments Air quality directly influences health so actions to improve health can often be achieved through improving air quality. The proposed actions could result in a modal shift away from motorised transport which would improve air quality.			***Comments*** Improved road safety could encourage greater use of non-motorised transport and therefore result in a modal shift. However, any changes are likely to be small.					
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**0**		
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Significant**			*Significance:*		

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	***Comments*** The proposed actions could result in a modal shift away from motorised transport which would benefit local environmental quality. Reducing the numbers of cars, vans and lorries would provide a quieter environment and make the streetscape more accessible for pedestrians and cyclists.			***Comments*** Perceptions of road safety are important factors in considering local environmental quality. Low speed zones could help to improve a sense of ownership of local streets, shifting the emphasis away from severance caused by car traffic.					
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**			*Significance*: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant**		
	Comments Actions to increase the amount of cycling and walking will have direct health benefits, for both physical and mental health. Implementing strategies such as the Active Travel Strategy, Travelwise and Bikeability will help to ensure a coordinated approach. Provision of new cycle and walk routes and cycle infrastructure (e.g. cycle parking) will help to provide long term benefits. Most health benefit is associated with leisure time and exercise, so it may not be that walking and cycling are an appropriate travel solution. The actions set out in the LTP3 should be tailored to the needs of areas within the LCR. They are unlikely to be equally effective throughout the LCR. Geography and remoteness are key issues in the areas outside of Liverpool. There are also areas with higher proportions of the population who are less mobile, where cycling may not be an option. Plans to implement free or low cost cycle provision scheme will help those who are on low incomes. Training programmes targeted at children will help to improve the rates of cycling. This behavioural change could have longer term benefits for health.			***Comments*** Road accidents and road safety have an obvious relationship with health; fatalities, long term and short term injuries. Funding for road safety measures should remain a high priority, with spending being “at least” that of 2010 levels. The actions do not set out the detail of the priorities or schemes so it is difficult to assess whether there are likely to be health inequality issues. Road safety measures can provide great benefit to health outcomes, through education, enforcement, and infrastructure changes (to address accident blackspots). Especially in protecting children and creating safer environments, which low speed networks			***Comments*** Explicit consideration of health issues in transport planning, the transport SPD and major developments is to be welcomed. Making journeys can be more difficult for vulnerable groups. Complying with Equalities legislation is unlikely to lead to a change in the baseline for health.		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**+**	**Minor**	**Medium - High**	**Safety: +**	**Major**	**High**	**D**	**Moderate - Major**	**Medium**
				Crime: D	**Minor**	**Low**			
	Significance: **Significant**			*Safety: Significance*: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant – Highly Significant**		
				Crime: Significance: **Not Significant**					

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being											
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**										
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**				**3. Health/Equality**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*		*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*		*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Comments The promotion of walking and cycling in an area may increase usage of public spaces and therefore the sense of personal security. This potentially will result in minor safety improvements and minor reductions in crime. However: - Encouraging walking and cycling could also encourage anti-social behaviour by some social groups. - Some people may feel safer in certain areas in their cars and consequently will be reluctant to walk or cycle. Expanding cycle and rail integration including the provision of secure cycle parking facilities at rail stations, carriage of cycles on trains and cycle hire are likely to help reduce theft. Group cycling activities increase the opportunities for collective travel which can feel and be safer than travelling alone.			***Comments*** Safety – There is likely to be a major, positive effect on safety. Crime - Improvements in safety and security measures by designing out crime are likely to reduce instances of anti-social behaviour and transport related crime. Although the capacity of the LTP3 to make significant impact on this problem is limited. Maintaining spending on road safety at the equivalent of 2010 levels, and ensuring police partnership within road safety is maintained at LTP2 levels will both ensure a continuing commitment to road safety.				***Comments*** Improvements in safety and a reduction in crime depend on the actions that will be implemented as a result of the health/equality assessments. Certain social groups, such as older people, are likely to be targeted by transport providers. All actions are in part governed by the need to meet developing Equalities legislation. While Equalities legislation does not explicitly include specific references to crime, many members of equality groups (such as women, children, older people, disabled people and BAME people) tend to express greater fear of crime, particularly in relation to transport, public transport and public transport use at night. Ensuring compliance with equalities legislation will therefore help to ensure that transport planning has the interests of groups at risk from, or with increased concerns about crime.		
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**-**	**D**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**		**Moderate**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**				*Significance:* **Significant**			
	Comments Improving pavement surfaces may benefit disabled people and older people who traditionally experience accessibility issues, by making them easier to traverse. Improvements to walking may disproportionally enhance accessibility for certain equality groups (younger people; BAME groups; women) who use this mode more. Expanding cycle and rail integration (as above) are, as above, likely to benefit younger people who cycle. Measures such as this are likely to improve access to a wider area and provide more options for most social, economic and cultural activities.			***Comments*** There is a potential for road safety measures to impede the local accessibility of an area; however this is likely to be dependant upon the specific actions that are implemented. The implementation of guard rails could restrict access to certain areas and act as a barrier. Certain traffic calming measures, for example speed humps can worsen the health of certain equality groups and may also increase the risk of falls and trips. Low speed zones are likely to have a positive effect on the local accessibility of vulnerable road users, such as cyclists and walkers and in particular the elderly.				***Comments*** The Equality Bill of 2010 has been introduced to ensure that public sector duties to give due regard to certain equality groups (on the grounds of gender, race and disability) is extended to cover all seven key equality groups. The proposal to ensure that all actions are governed by the need to meet equalities legislation therefore has the potential to deliver positive accessibility improvement to equality groups.		

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	+	**Major**	**High**	+ -	**Minor**	**Low**	0		
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*		
	Comments The actions outlined to support this Goal with regards to cycling and walking, including the provision for an increased network of cycle and walk routes is likely to reduce the need to travel via other sustainable modes; and also increase the health and well-being of the Merseyside population. In order to reduce the need to travel it is essential that planning and transport are integrated. Where travel is necessary, cycling and walking should be promoted in the first instance as the most sustainable modes.			***Comments*** A reduction in the need to travel may produce slight benefits for health and reduce traffic casualties due to a reduction in the number of people travelling, resulting in less congestion; or through the use of other modes such as public transport. This however, may be offset due to the vulnerability of active travel users for instance to road casualties.					
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	+	**Moderate**	**High**	-	**Minor**	**Low**	0		
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*		
	Comments Improving the cycling and walking network, with the aim of improving health and well-being, was considered by stakeholders to indirectly generate positive outcomes for climate change mitigation. These actions were considered to potentially produce measurable reductions in emissions from travel. Stakeholders also considered any infrastructure improvement to be an opportunity to incorporate climate change adaptation measures into design. Although there are human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being.			***Comments*** Some road safety strategies, such as extending the network of low speed zones across Merseyside, were considered by stakeholders to produce negative outcomes for climate change. Stakeholder considered the traffic calming measures used in low speed zones created stop start driving cultures and congestion, which has the potential to result in slight measurable increases in emissions from travel. Although there are human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with resource use; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being.					
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	-	**Minor**	**Low**	0			0		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance*:			*Significance:*		
	Improving the cycling and walking network, with the aim of improving health and well-being, was considered by stakeholders to generate negative outcomes for land and soil quality.								

LTP3 Goal Three: Ensure the transport system promotes and enables improved health well-being									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Cycling and Walking			**2. Road Safety**			**3. Health/Equality**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	The development of infrastructure required to sufficiently improve the cycling and walking network has the potential to produce slight measurable impacts on land and soil quality. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes to achieve this goal has the potential to lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution. Although there are quality of life and environmental effects associated with land and soil quality; stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as low in regard to the aim of improving health and well-being.								

D.5. Goal Four Appraisal (Part 1)

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Access to Employment			**2. Access to Healthcare**			**3. Access to Education**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments A focus on sustainable transport methods to support access to employment has a potential to achieve substantial measurable reductions in emissions from travel, due to the high journey volumes associated with employment travel. Travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes were identified as key measures that could improve access to employment while also reducing emissions. . The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** Travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes were identified as key measures that could improve access to healthcare while also reducing emissions. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** Similarly to employment, access to education is considered a key area for consideration in terms of emission reduction. This is due to the high travel volumes associated with education. Travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes were identified as key measures that could improve access to education while also reducing emissions. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.		
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance*:			*Significance:*		
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Minor-Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant - Highly Significant**		
	Comments Increasing access to employment through integration with individual actions in the City Employment Strategy is highly likely to reduce poverty and social deprivation in disadvantaged areas. Specific actions such as the provision of free cycles to those in disadvantaged areas, along with improvements to connect the cycle ways will assist people to overcome transport barriers to employment. The proposed targeted action plans for disadvantaged areas to determine what improvements are needed is a significant positive impact for people from deprived communities.			***Comments*** Equitable access to healthcare is likely to have a major, positive effect on areas where levels of poverty and social deprivation are already high. A greater commissioning of joint services to improve access to healthcare will further help to reduce the health inequalities experienced by socially deprived communities. The promotion of walking and cycling will help those who are reliant on non-private modes of transport; in addition these active modes will help to increase health and well-being of deprived communities.			***Comments*** Improvements in access to education are likely to have a moderate, positive effect on poverty and social deprivation within Merseyside. Enabling children to get to an appropriate school or college, without necessarily having to rely on the closest one due to access issues is important in terms of tackling lower educational attainment in poorer communities. Long term this could also assist with efforts to promote community cohesion and the employability of disadvantaged and vulnerable groups. Proposals to improve pedestrian links to and install secure cycle facilities at schools will also benefit those on lower incomes who tend to make		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Access to Employment			**2. Access to Healthcare**			**3. Access to Education**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	The planned continuation of the Let's Get Moving initiative to assist workless residents to overcome transport barriers is directly relevant to improving economic inclusion.						fewer private car journeys. Examining pooled resources with education sector providers to assist with travel costs to schools for those on low incomes will be directly beneficial to deprived groups.		
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance:*		
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance:*		
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance:*		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance:*		
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**	**+**	**Minor**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant**		
	Comments Improving connections and accessibility to employment sites could result in a modal shift away from motorised transport towards more sustainable modes, such as public transport. However, the actions are targeted at disadvantaged communities who are less likely to be car owners. Therefore any effect is likely to be small. Include "Public Transport" as an improvement in modal shift - as opposed to just walking and cycling.			***Comments*** These actions have the potential to result in a modal shift toward public transport and cycling and walking. This would improve air quality. However, the total number of trips is likely to be small and therefore changes to local air quality would be small.			***Comments*** These actions have the potential to result in a modal shift towards more sustainable modes, such as public transport and cycling and walking. This would improve air quality. Journeys to access education – e.g. the school run – are a large component of peak traffic and a reduction in car use for this purpose is likely to benefit local air quality		
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance:*			*Significance*:		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Access to Employment			**2. Access to Healthcare**			**3. Access to Education**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			*Significance*: **Significant**		
	Comments Transport can provide physical access to employment opportunities as well as enabling people to access training facilities that subsequently lead to employment opportunities. Employment can lead to improved mental well being and higher levels of income also contribute to better physical health. Specific actions to target workless residents and those living in disadvantaged areas (action plans and free cycles), would help to tackle social deprivation, secure economic inclusion and reduce unemployment. This would also help to reduce health inequalities.			***Comments*** Whilst the promotion of walking and cycling will have health benefits, these are not always the best travel modes for people to access services for healthcare, particularly if feeling unwell or for food shopping. Coordinating resources and commissioning will help to match the needs of patients with the provision of transport. However, there is an opportunity for wider work with the PCTs. This could be to reduce the need for travel (by delivering services locally) or by influencing travel providers (e.g. operators of bus services). No specific actions to reduce health inequalities are proposed.			***Comments*** Actions to promote more active forms of travel to schools will help to promote healthier lifestyles and provide a safe and healthy environment for children.		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**D**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*			*Significance*:		
	Comments Greater access to employment is likely to have a positive, indirect effect on crime and safety. High levels of crime and anti-social behaviour are often associated with areas where unemployment rates are high. Improvements in access to employment are likely to open up the number of job opportunities and reduce the levels of unemployment across the region. Links between deprivation and crime are well established. Improving conditions and opportunities in the most deprived areas is likely to contribute to a reduction in levels of crime and anti-social behaviour.						***Comments*** Improvements in safety and crime will be dependant upon the measures implemented through the adoption of the LTP3 to increase access to education in the Merseyside region. Through partnerships with schools, safer, accessible routes could be promoted and coordinated through school travel plans, particularly where younger school pupils are concerned. Secure storage facilities for cycles within the school grounds will encourage cycling and deter anti-social behaviour and crime. New educational opportunities may arise as a result of the improvements in access to education facilities, such as further education and access to new schools. This is likely to increase the number of people in full and part-time education, which may indirectly reduce anti-social behaviour, resulting in lower levels of crime, disorder and fear of crime.		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Access to Employment			**2. Access to Healthcare**			**3. Access to Education**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Improvements in accessibility and connectivity through investment in a high quality, sustainable transport system will have significant benefits in terms of improving local accessibility to goods, services and amenities through easier commuting.			***Comments*** Improvements links and connectivity with healthcare facilities will increase access to vital services. In terms of this objective significant interaction and positive impacts are likely. Working with bus operators will ensure that the timing of bus services coincide with hospital appointments, particularly for the elderly and other vulnerable groups			***Comments*** Improvements in access to education have a direct positive interaction with improving accessibility to local services and amenities.		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance:*			Significance:		
	Comments Reducing the need to travel can be facilitated through home-working however this action can only be promoted through partnerships with local companies and businesses that are willing to encourage home-working.								
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments A focus on sustainable transport methods to support access to employment has a potential to achieve substantial measurable reductions in emissions from private car travel, due to the high journey volumes associated with employment travel. Travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes were identified as key measures that could improve access to employment while also reducing emissions.			***Comments*** Travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes were identified as key measures that could improve access to healthcare and facilitate modal shift, while also reducing emissions associated with private car use. The specialist nature of healthcare services necessitates travel to a healthcare facility, especially by private car.			***Comments*** Similarly to employment, access to education is considered a key area for consideration in terms of emission reduction. Travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes were identified as key measures that could improve access to education and facilitate modal shift, while also reducing emissions associated with private car use.		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Access to Employment			**2. Access to Healthcare**			**3. Access to Education**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			*Significance*:		

D.6. Goal Four Appraisal (Part 2)

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities										
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**									
	4. Fares, Information & Ticketing			**5. Taxis & Community Transport**			**6. Public Transport**			
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*		*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**0**			**+**		**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance:			*Significance*: **Highly Significant**			
	Comments Improvements in fares and ticketing are likely to improve accessibility and encourage public transport use. These actions were considered to have a potential to produce measurable reductions in emissions from travel. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.						***Comments*** Improvements in the accessibility of the public transport system were considered by stakeholders to generate positive outcomes for resource use. Such improvements were highlighted as having the potential to produce substantial measurable reductions in emissions from travel. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**0**			**0**			**0**			
	Significance:			Significance:			*Significance*:			
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**D**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**D**	**Moderate**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Significant**			
	Comments Improvements in access to information at the local level are important to help people make informed choices, particularly in disadvantaged communities where travel horizons are limited. However, the level of interaction is likely to depend on the measures implemented and which areas of Merseyside are targeted. Discounted tickets would have a positive impact but may not be introduced if independent bus operators who influence the price of tickets do not buy-in.			***Comments*** An increase in the number of taxi and community transport services is particularly likely to have a positive effect on socially deprived areas. Taxi use is often highly associated with disability groups as they can be easily accessed. Taxis and community minibuses would provide a more cost effective way to travel, particularly if the same service can be offered to a small group of people. They can provide a service that is not only cost effective but one that is flexible and could help to promote social and economic inclusion in socially deprived areas.			***Comments*** Public transport budget and savings measures could indirectly assist in the reduction of poverty and social deprivation however, this is likely to be dependant on the where efficiency savings are made. In addition, the LTP3 only has a certain level of influence in terms of resources, as the bus services are run by private operators			
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural,	**0**			**0**			**0**			
	Significance:			*Significance:*			Significance:			

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Fares, Information & Ticketing			**5. Taxis & Community Transport**			**6. Public Transport**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
historical and built environment and archaeological assets									
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	**0**			**0**			**+** / **-**	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance:			Significance:			*Significance*: **Not Significant**		
							Comments Actions to promote and encourage the use of public transport are likely to result in a modal shift away from private modes of transport, which is also likely to improve local air and noise quality in the long-term. There may however, be negative impacts on the natural environment that could arise from land take or insensitive maintenance measures.		
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**0**			**0**			**+** / **-**	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance:			Significance:			*Significance*: **Not Significant**		
							Comments The local character and accessibility of the landscape could be both positively and negatively affected through the provision of a clean and low carbon transport system. A modal shift is likely to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles on the road and thus reduce congestion. However, the provision of new infrastructure to support a low carbon transport system may result in significant adverse effects on the landscape.		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			*Significance*:		
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**	**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			Significance: **Not Significant**		
	Comments These actions have the potential to result in a modal shift away from motorised transport. This would improve air quality.			***Comments*** Greater use of community transport or multi-trip transport (such as taxis) could remove the need for some individual trips and therefore have a positive effect on local air quality.			***Comments*** These actions have the potential to result in a modal shift away from motorised transport. This would improve air quality.		
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			*Significance*:		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Fares, Information & Ticketing			**5. Taxis & Community Transport**			**6. Public Transport**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)									
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Minor**	**Low**	D		
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Not Significant**			Significance:		
	Comments Actions to improve the affordability of public transport are likely to have positive effects in making public transport accessible (by providing flexibility) and in reducing health inequalities, especially as these measures are targeted at low income households. People on low incomes are often those less able to take advantage of the most cost-effective tickets. Information and education can help people on how to use the bus or make the best financial choices for public transport use.			***Comments*** Actions to facilitate the role of community organisations in the transport system are welcome – they can provide access to healthcare and services for those that are in need. A key issue is likely to be the longer term financial viability of these services. Taxis can prolong independence and provide an alternative for those groups that who may be considering giving up driving.			***Comments*** The actions set out are not specific enough to determine whether they will result in health benefits or tackle health inequalities.		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**Low**	+	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Not Significant**			*Significance*: **Not Significant**		
	Comments Ticket pre-pay schemes have the potential to reduce crime by reducing the need to carry cash on public transport.			***Comments*** The door-to-door travel that community transport and taxi services offer is likely to reduce personal safety concerns (as compared to other public modes). In the long term, if a single taxi licensing authority for Merseyside was established it would help to ensure that all licensed taxi drivers are held on record, and that a single licence format could be made available, which the public could be made aware of. This would reduce the risk from bogus taxi drivers, help to protect both drivers and passengers and reduce the fear of crime particularly among women.			***Comments*** Travel training has the potential to make public transport users more aware of all aspects of public transport use, including awareness of personal security and crime. However, this will only be successful if such training is targeted at the right audiences.		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Fares, Information & Ticketing			**5. Taxis & Community Transport**			**6. Public Transport**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**D**			**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Developing a range of affordable ticketing opportunities to assist low income households will help to open up more opportunities for accessing local services and amenities. This will help to ensure that access improvements are made for those who need them most.			***Comments*** Through expanding the use of community transport and taxi use as part of the wider public transport offer, there will be more opportunities to tailor services so that they provide access to essential local services. This will be particularly beneficial for those population groups who traditionally experience more accessibility problems than the population per se; notably older people and disabled people.			***Comments*** Sharing services with providers in other sectors is likely to improve accessibility across the Merseyside region and reduce community severance. Neighbourhood Travel Teams will support people to use public transport. They will also seek to identify what services people require and give good advice on how to access local services and amenities.		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**+**	**Minor**	**Medium**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**			*Significance*: **Highly Significant**			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Measures to improve ticketing, the provision of information and fares can help to inform people's choice and use of more sustainable transport modes. Consequently, the operation of public transport modes is likely to be more efficient if such measures of the existing public transport system are enhanced, which will encourage the use of more sustainable modes			***Comments*** Taxis and community transport, including car share schemes should be promoted in order to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles and reduce congestion. In areas of low accessibility, taxi and community transport should be promoted as the preferred method of travel.			***Comments*** Although measures to improve public transport will not reduce the need to travel, they will however seek to reduce the number of single occupancy trips made.		
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**0**			**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			*Significance*:			*Significance:* **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Improvements in fares and ticketing are likely to improve accessibility and encourage public transport use. These actions were considered to have a potential to produce measurable reductions in emissions from travel.						***Comments*** Improvements in the accessibility of the public transport system were considered by stakeholders to generate positive outcomes for climate change mitigation. Such improvements were highlighted as having the potential to produce substantial measurable reductions in emissions from travel.		
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			*Significance*:			*Significance:*		

D.7. Goal Four Appraisal (Part 3)

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities			
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**		
	7. Joint Working to address Common Objectives		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Joint working had the potential to ensure that transport takes regard of resource use issues, enabling the consideration of the minimisation or sustainable resource use in the improvement of the transport system. Stakeholders considered there to be a potential to generate measurable positive changes for the region. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with sustainable resource use. Stakeholders identified that joint working should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non-government organisations. Also, joint working with resource use organisations could provide funding opportunities. The impact on water resources was considered to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.		
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Similarly to resource use, stakeholders also identified that joint working should ensure that transport takes appropriate consideration of waste minimisation, potentially producing measurable positive changes for the region. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with waste. Recycling was considered a particularly significant issue by stakeholders. They identified potential in the development the transport system to work locally to recycle resources. One such example included collecting cooking oil for bio-fuels locally. Stakeholders thought that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. Stakeholders also highlighted that working in partnership with waste organisations could provide funding opportunities.		
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities			
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**		
	7. Joint Working to address Common Objectives		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
inclusion	***Comments*** Reducing poverty and social deprivation should be about 'reducing the gap' so that the social gradient between the 'haves' and the 'have nots' is flattened. The objective should be to reduce the socio-economic inequalities. Transport can, and does play a part in tackling poverty / social deprivation; it is one part of a wider jigsaw, so working together collaboratively will help to realise benefits. As such, there is a potential positive interaction. Magnitude was considered minor as working with partners was not the way in which the LTP could bring about most change in terms of social deprivation. Working with partners to help deliver Low Carbon Economy ambitions through the provision of efficient public transport services will help socially deprived areas where there is generally less access to private transport. Reduced emissions will also be positive for socially deprived groups, who tend to experience poorer health outcomes. Continuing to work collaboratively will help to integrate transport planning with wider objectives, which is likely to lead to positive outcomes in turn, reducing poverty and tackling deprivation / economic inclusion. Continue to develop joint approaches to ensure good land use and transport integration via the LTP and LDF's –a joined up approach could deliver employment sites that are well-served by public transport where access is not reliant on private car use; the latter tends to discriminate those on lower incomes who have less access to their own transport.		
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders identified that joint working could ensure that transport takes appropriate consideration of cultural heritage issues to potentially produce measurable positive outcomes in the enhancement of the transport system. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high. There are national statutory requirements and quality of life impacts associated with the management of cultural heritage. However, cultural heritage is already heavily protected by legislation and controlled through the planning process; therefore focused joint working in addition to this would add little to the management of cultural heritage impacts. Stakeholders thought that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. Stakeholders also highlighted that working in partnership with cultural heritage organisations could provide funding opportunities.		
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities			
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**		
	7. Joint Working to address Common Objectives		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	***Comments*** Stakeholders outlined that joint working would ensure that the improvements of transport system takes appropriate consideration of the impacts on biodiversity, potentially producing measurable positive outcomes in the enhancement of the transport system. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements (such as Green Infrastructure and biodiversity actions plans) and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with biodiversity management. It was considered that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. In addition, working in partnership with biodiversity organisations could provide funding opportunities.		
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders identified that joint working would ensure that improvements to the transport system takes appropriate consideration of the impact on landscape, potentially generating measurable positive changes for the region. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and quality of life effects associated with landscape management. Stakeholders identified that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. These groups also highlighted that working in partnership with landscape management organisations could provide funding opportunities.		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders identified that joint working would ensure that improvements to the transport system takes appropriate consideration of the impact on water quality, potentially generating substantial measurable positive changes for the region. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are international statutory requirements (such as the Water Framework Directive) and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with water quality management. Stakeholder identified that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. In addition, working in partnership with resource use organisations could provide funding opportunities.		
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Joint working to confirm commitments to sustainable travel and environmental improvement have the potential to result in a modal shift away from motorised transport. This would improve local air quality.		
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Joint working to is likely to prove beneficial to achieving a high quality local environment as this should be a common objective across all organisations. Specifically, the outcomes of the Liverpool Transport and Land Use study should identify those issues where transport and the environment positively interact and opportunities to improve local environmental quality can be pursued.		
10. To improve health and reduce	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities			
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**		
	7. Joint Working to address Common Objectives		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
health inequalities	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments There are many social determinants of health, so coordinating and integrating travel and accessibility with other strategies is fundamental to addressing these influencing issues to achieve better health outcomes. Highlighting strategies such as the City Region Child and Family Poverty Framework will help to tackle existing social and health inequalities.		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**D**	**Minor**	**Medium-High**
	Significance: **Significant**		
	Comments The LTP's purpose is not to improve safety and reduce crime, so interaction is low, however, if there is close working with other partners, positive interactions could be realised in future. Hence, it is dependent on implementation. It may be that in the MAA and with the LSPs their focus is not on crime and anti – social behaviour, therefore they are unlikely to address such issues with importance.		
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Transport is seen to play a big role in local accessibility; however joint working is not necessarily the main way in which the LTP hopes to fulfil this objective. Therefore, whilst the interaction is potentially positive the magnitude is low. The importance of delivering accessibility would, however, be high on the agenda in any partnership working. MAA and LSP priorities are likely to include objectives around accessibility, and therefore synergy with these governance bodies / strategies will help to maximise delivery against this goal.		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Through joint working, the integration of transport and land-use planning is likely to be successful and thus, reduce the need to travel. Efforts should be made to ensure that new development, particularly housing is centred on town centres to encourage a range of high trip generating uses in town centres. This is because town centres often tend to be the places with best access by public transport. Also, locating different uses together often reduces the number of different journeys that have to be made.		
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders identified that joint working would ensure that improvements to the transport system takes appropriate consideration of climate change, potentially generating substantial measurable positive changes for the region. In addition, stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are international statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change management. Stakeholders considered that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. In addition working in partnership with climate change organisations could provide funding opportunities.		

LTP3 Goal Four: Ensure the transport system supports equality of travel opportunity by ensuring people can connect easily with employment, services and social activities			
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**		
	7. Joint Working to address Common Objectives		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders identified that joint working would ensure that improvements to the transport system takes appropriate consideration of land and soil quality, potentially generating substantial measurable positive change. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are international statutory requirements and major quality of life and environmental effects associated with land and soil quality. Stakeholders identified sustainable land use planning, particularly the prioritisation of derelict land, as an important consideration concerning the development of the transport system. Stakeholders identified sustainable land use planning joint working had significant potential to produce positive outcomes in regard to land and soil quality. Stakeholders highlighted that strategic partnerships should focus on the 'big players', such as non-departmental public bodies, government departments and non government organisations. In addition, joint working with land and soil quality organisations could provide funding opportunities.		

D.8. Goal Five Appraisal

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments The provision of park and ride facilities in Merseyside was supported by stakeholders as a measure to encourage public transport use and in turn reduce transport emissions. Stakeholders outlined that park and ride facilities should be developed to support a modal shift from private car. Therefore, sites should be strategically placed in areas of high private car use and provide access to key facilities. Some stakeholders believed that many park and ride services were not focused on key impact areas and were located in areas already well served by public transport, such as train stations. It was also suggested that park and ride sites should not be located in areas of deprevation, where levels of air quality are already likely to be poor. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** Stakeholders believed that targeted legislation and planning policy was needed to support the success of such actions. It was therefore important that freight is integrated into the land use planning process across Merseyside, aiming to support environmental agendas. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** Current walking and cycling infrastructure was considered to be insufficient. Stakeholders illustrated that the success of such measures was considered heavily dependant on the provision of supporting infrastructure. In addition, the development of cycle and walking facilities required strategic planning, with a focus on supporting short journeys to public transport facilities. The application of the Manual for Streets in the improvement of the cycling and walking network was considered by stakeholders to generate measurable positive outcomes for resource use. Stakeholders that the cycling and walking network in Merseyside required significant infrastructure improvements. The application of the Manual for Streets recommendations in the development of such infrastructure would enable the consideration of materials and resource use into design. The impact on water and was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.		
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance:		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
and recovery rates	***Comments*** The introduction of new technologies for public transport, such as the use of bio-fuel from waste oil could produce positive outcomes for the local economy, and attract new businesses to the area.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered working with the Freight Quality Partnership to promote best practice and support environmental agendas would produce measurable waste management benefits. This measure would enable consideration of and action to support waste management issues, particularly recycling. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health and environmental effects associated with waste management.			***Comments***		
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**D**	**Negligible**	**Low-Medium**	**0**			**D**	**Negligible**	**Low**
	Significance: Not **Significant**			Significance:			Significance: **Not Significant**		
	Comments Improvements in the accessibility of the rail network could have a positive effect on socially deprived areas, as access to rail services in deprived areas is currently very poor. This would be dependent on location of stations. Tram measures in particular could help to reduce poverty and promote social inclusion; however careful consideration will need to be given to the location of tram stops to ensure that socially deprived areas have access to services. Actions to improve ticketing, information and accessibility of services are likely to have positive effects on the less affluent areas of Merseyside through the provision of more cost effective ways to travel. The provision of high quality and more frequent bus services is likely to promote social inclusion and improve access to services and jobs. Investment in public transport generally results in positive impacts for economically deprived groups. Providing enhanced cycling and walking facilities			***Comments***			***Comments*** Through integration with other sustainable modes of public transport, cycling can further increase beneficial impacts on socially deprived communities within Merseyside by boosting non – private travel opportunities. The provision of enhanced cycling facilities whenever possible is likely to improve accessibility from socially deprived areas however the impacts would be dependant on the location of any new connections.		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods												
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**											
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**					
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*			
	whenever possible is likely to improve accessibility from socially deprived areas. Enhancing the role of community transport and voluntary sector organisations may benefit those from more deprived socio economic groups and help to reduce the impact of social exclusion.											
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	-	**Minor**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**			
	Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**					
	Comments The development required to sufficiently improve public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure to meet this goal is likely to impact on cultural heritage. However, the scale of the impact is expected to be low due to the provision of cultural heritage management legislation. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes may lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution as some infrastructure development is considered to be required.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered working with the Freight Quality Partnership to promote best practice and support environmental agendas would produce measurable cultural heritage benefits. This measure would enable to consideration of and action to support cultural heritage issues.			***Comments*** Stakeholders felt that the cycling and walking network in Merseyside required significant infrastructure improvements. The application of the Manual for Streets recommendations in the development of such infrastructure would enable the consideration cultural heritage into design.					
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	+ -	**Minor**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+ -	**Minor**	**High**			
	Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Significant**					
	Comments The development required to sufficiently improve public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure to meet this goal may have both positive and negative impacts on biodiversity. However, the scale of the impact is expected to be low due to compliance with biodiversity management legislation. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes may lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution as some infrastructure development is considered to be required.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered working with the Freight Quality Partnership to promote best practice and support environmental agendas would produce measurable biodiversity benefits. This measure would enable to consideration of and action to support biodiversity issues.			***Comments*** The development required to sufficiently improve public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure to meet this goal is likely to impact biodiversity. However, the scale of the impact is expected to be low due to the provision of biodiversity management legislation. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes may lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution as some infrastructure development is considered to be required.					

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	+ =	**Minor**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+ -	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments The development required to sufficiently improve public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure to meet this goal is likely to impact biodiversity. As outlined in Goal 2 under the Public Transport action measures to improve the public transport system to ensure the efficient movement of people and goods have the potential to improve visual amenity or affect the existing landscape. However, the scale of the impact is expected to be low due to the provision of landscape management legislation. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes may lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution as some infrastructure development is considered to be required.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered working with the Freight Quality Partnership to promote best practice and support environmental agendas would produce measurable landscape benefits. This measure would enable to consideration of and action to support landscape issues.			***Comments*** Stakeholders felt that the cycling and walking network in Merseyside required significant infrastructure improvements. The application of the Manual for Streets recommendations in the development of such infrastructure would enable the consideration landscape issues into design.		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	-	**Minor**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments The development required to sufficiently improve public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure to meet this goal is likely to impact biodiversity. However, the scale of the impact is expected to be low due to the provision of water quality management legislation. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes may lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution as some infrastructure development is considered to be required.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered working with the Freight Quality Partnership to promote best practice and support environmental agendas would produce measurable water quality benefits. This measure would enable consideration of and action to support water quality issues.			***Comments*** Stakeholders felt that the cycling and walking network in Merseyside required significant infrastructure improvements. The application of the Manual for Streets recommendations in the development of such infrastructure, such as the implementation of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) would benefit water quality.		
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Negligible**	**Low**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Not Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Comments An efficient public transport system will have the aim of achieving modal shift at its core. Where there is a modal shift away from motorised transport, local air quality is likely to improve. The provision of more public transport services (bus, rail, tram) has the potential to remove other vehicle trips from the road and therefore improve air quality. Park and ride schemes are aimed at reducing vehicle movements in areas where they are needed the most. The effects of park and ride schemes on air quality are generally positive, although there could be deteriorations locally			***Comments*** HGVs make a large contribution to urban air pollution and therefore reducing HGV movements in AQMAs would make a positive contribution to local air quality. Whilst the use of consolidation centres may reduce the overall number of HGV trips, although the area around the consolidation centre may experience a decrease in air quality. Greater use of low emission vehicles would also have a positive effect on air quality			***Comments*** Improvements in cycling provision have the potential to reduce vehicle numbers and improve air quality. However, the changes are likely to be very small		
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Not Significant**		
	Comments An efficient public transport system will help improve local environmental quality by reducing the amount of motorised traffic. This has benefits for reducing noise. Increased patronage is likely to create demand for better infrastructure and services which could mean that upgraded and smarter bus stops, rail stations and routes are commissioned, helping to improve environmental quality			***Comments*** HGVs make a large contribution to road noise and therefore reducing HGV movements would make a positive contribution to local environmental quality. Whilst the use of consolidation centres may reduce the overall number of HGV trips, although the area around the consolidation centre may experience a increase in noise, particularly at night			***Comments*** Cycling is likely to have positive effects on local environmental quality, as low levels of noise are associated with this mode of transport. There may however be an increase in lighting along cycleways. Although the provision of linkages with Green Infrastructure could provide benefits to the local community		
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**+**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Not Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Comments There are a number of actions proposed that will help support the economic success of the LCR. These actions may have direct health benefits themselves, and achieving the goal will help to address social determinants of health including poverty reduction, economic inclusion and increased employment. Reducing the number of poorly used services is likely to have negative effects on those people that rely on these services to access services. Tram and Park and Ride measures are not likely to have any effect on health. Actions to improve ticketing, information and accessibility of services are likely to have positive effects on health by providing more cost effective ways to travel, either to work or to training opportunities The investigation into the use of flexible bus services should consider replacement services to meet the needs of t travellers currently using services that would be reduced. The provision of high quality and more frequent bus services is considered to have positive effects for health.			***Comments*** Actions to manage the volume of freight traffic and investigate consolidation centres are likely to have a positive effect on health. A targeted approach to addressing the issue within existing Air Quality Management Areas will help to improve air quality and improve the health of people already exposed to pollutants that could be damaging to health.			***Comments*** Actions to consider cycling in the development planning process are likely to produce the most benefits to health. Improving connections for active travellers is likely to help promote healthy lifestyles through recreational activity. Similarly, access to Green Infrastructure will have a positive, but less direct, effect on health by improving accessibility to open spaces.		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**0**			**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Medium-High**
	Significance:			Significance:			Significance: **Significant**		
							Comments The promotion of walking and cycling in an area may increase usage of public spaces and therefore the sense of personal security in those areas, resulting in minor safety improvements and minor reductions in crime. Training programmes for children in particular will improve their confidence and safety when using a bike. However encouraging walking and cycling could		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
							also encourage anti-social behaviour by some social groups.. Provide connections between cycle and pedestrian friendly areas to create routes for active travellers – such link have potential to enhance safety and security. Seeking funding to ensure cycle training is available to secondary school children and adults, Bikeability level 2 training is available to primary school children, and cycle maintenance training is available to all is likely to reduce the risk of accidents involving cyclists due to poorly maintained cycles.		
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	+	**Negligible**	**Low**	**0**			+	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **NotSignificant**			Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments It was highlighted that rail is the least likely of all public transport modes to improve the local accessibility to goods, services and amenities. The MerseyTram system, if implemented is likely to increase the capacity of those travelling by PT and has the opportunity to link smaller towns and villages to major services; however these links would only be to the services that are located along the specific tram corridors. Transport measures are dependent on the specific scheme, though are likely to provide a benefit. The impact of the transport measure is likely to be dependent on the concentration and types of services that a particular transport measure may serve.						***Comments*** Provide connections between cycle and pedestrian friendly areas to create routes for active travellers. This has links with Green Infrastructure initiatives. All cycling initiatives are likely to have a positive impact on young people as they make more journeys by bike than any other age group.		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more	+	**Moderate**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**	+	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
sustainable transport modes	***Comments*** Improvements in existing public transport modes and infrastructure are highly likely to increase the use and choice of modes available. Expanding Merseyrail is likely to positively impact on groups which rely on rail services. In addition this may encourage more people to use the rail service, which may lead to a modal shift away from the private car to more sustainable modes of transport. Better ticketing and real-time information on public transport services will contribute to an increased use.			***Comments*** Efforts should be made to encourage the use of more sustainable transport modes for the transportation of freight. More sustainable transport modes such as railways and inland waterways should be favoured over other modes. Freight should however be integrated into the land-use planning process to ensure that the infrastructure exists to support the use of more sustainable modes. Consolidation centres, whereby goods are transferred to low emission vehicles could potentially reduce the number of journeys made by less sustainable modes of transport.			***Comments*** Cycling is one of the most sustainable modes of transport and can help to decrease congestion on the roads, cut exhaust emissions and improve people's health and well-being. Improvements to the cycling network are likely to encourage people to make short trips using this environmentally friendly mode and consequently reduce the need to travel by car.		
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Comments The integration of cycle and walking facilities with public transport to encourage multi-modal journeys was considered by stakeholders to produce measurable reductions in emissions from travel. However, current walking and cycling infrastructure was considered to be insufficient. Stakeholders illustrated that the success of such measures was considered heavily dependant on the provision of supporting infrastructure. In addition, the development of cycle and walking facilities required strategic planning, with a focus on supporting short journeys to public transport facilities. Proposals for rail expansion capacity improvements in Merseyside were not a priority as a high level of rail infrastructure was already in place. Although, such measures would improve accessibility and encourage public transport use, in turn producing reductions in travel emissions. In addition, stakeholders outlined that the electrification and decarbonisation of the Mersey ail energy supply would also generate significant emission reductions. Improvements in fares and ticketing and in particular smart ticketing were considered by stakeholders to improve accessibility and encourage public transport use. These actions were considered to potentially produce measurable reductions in emissions from travel.			***Comments*** The development of consolidation centres, transferring goods to low emissions vehicles and the implementation of carbon reduction action plans across Merseyside were considered by stakeholders reduce transport emissions. However, stakeholders believed that targeted legislation and planning policy was needed to support the success of such actions. It was therefore important that freight is integrated into the land use planning process across Merseyside, aiming to support climate change mitigation and adaptation agendas.			***Comments*** Improving the cycling and walking network and programme to encourage cycling were considered to potentially produce measurable reductions in transport greenhouse gas emissions. Stakeholders also considered any infrastructure improvement as an opportunity to incorporate climate change adaptation measures into design.		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Measures to Increase the efficiency of bus services were considered by stakeholders to produce reductions in emissions from travel. The provision of park and ride facilities in Merseyside was supported by stakeholders as a measure to encourage public transport use and in turn reduce transport emissions. Stakeholders outlined that park and ride facilities should be developed to support a modal shift from private car. Therefore, sites should be strategically placed in areas of high private car use and provide access to key facilities. Some stakeholders believed that many park and ride services were not focused on key impact areas and were instead, located in areas already well served by public transport, such as train stations. Stakeholders considered any infrastructure improvement an opportunity incorporate climate change adaptation measures into design. Improvements in the accessibility and sustainability of the public transport system, with the aim of encouraging the efficient movement of people and goods, were considered by stakeholders to generate positive outcomes for climate change. These improvements were highlighted as having the potential to produce substantial measurable reductions in emissions from travel. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements in regard to encouraging the use of sustainable transport. In addition, human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with greenhouse gas emissions.								
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**-**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**0**		
	Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance:		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	1. Public Transport			**2. Goods**			**3. Cycling**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Comments The development required to sufficiently improve public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure to meet this goal is likely to impact biodiversity. However, the scale of the impact is expected to be low due to the provision of land and soil quality management legislation. The use of travel wise, smarter choices and behavioural change programmes may lessen this impact; although these measures are not considered a solution as some infrastructure development is considered to be required.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered working with the Freight Quality Partnership to promote best practice and support environmental agendas would produce measurable land and soil quality benefits. This measure would enable consideration of and action to support land and soil quality issues. The utilisation of derelict land was a key issues raised in this area by stakeholders. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with land and soil quality.			***Comments***		

D.9. Goal Five Appraisal (Part 2)

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Maintenance			**5. Traffic**			**6. Travelwise**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments There is a potential for recycled aggregates to be used for the resurfacing of roads and footpaths, reducing energy and water consumption.			***Comments*** Working with partners to educate and provide information on sustainable vehicle choice and fuel efficient driving techniques was considered by stakeholders to potentially produce measurable reductions in transport emissions. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.			***Comments*** The implementation of travel plans, targeted behavioural change programmes and smarter choices were considered by stakeholders as likely to produce measurable reductions in transport emissions. The impact on water and mineral resource was considered by stakeholders to be low in comparison to other resource use areas.		
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**0**		
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance:			Significance:		
	Comments There is a potential for recycled aggregates to be used for the resurfacing of roads and footpaths.								
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			Significance:		
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			Significance:		
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**		
	Significance:			Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance:		
				Alternatively fuelled taxis are likely to result in improvements in air quality and again, reduce the number of private vehicles on the road through sustainable vehicle choice.					
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility	**0**			**+**	**Negligible**	**Low**	**0**		
	Significance:			Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance:		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Maintenance			**5. Traffic**			**6. Travelwise**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
of the landscape across the sub-region				Positive effects may include greening the landscape of new routes to make them more attractive through the promotion of sustainable design.					
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			Significance:		
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Significant**		
				Comments Cleaner fuels and less erratic driving would reduce emissions and improve air quality			***Comments*** Actions to help people make sustainable travel choices and to make more use of the public transport system will help to reduce reliance on motorised transport and have a positive effect on air quality.		
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance:			Significance: **Significant**		
	Comments The asset management programme includes actions to improve local environmental quality through fixing highway assets, maintaining and improving lighting (which can help reduce the fear of crime), providing safer pathways, highway cleaning regimes and facilitating recreational access (by maintaining public rights of way). If these actions were not implemented, there would be a detrimental effect on local environmental quality.						***Comments*** Actions to help people make sustainable travel choices and to make more use of the public transport system will help to reduce reliance on motorised transport and have a positive effect on local environmental quality		
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**+**	**Moderate**	**High**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Many components of the asset maintenance plans have the potential to facilitate benefits to factors that influence health.						***Comments*** Travelwise initiatives have the potential to help to tackle existing health inequalities. Actions on Smarter Choices and Personal Travel Planning to target disadvantaged communities are likely to		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Maintenance			**5. Traffic**			**6. Travelwise**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	This includes actions to reduce accidents, improving local environmental quality (e.g. fixing highway assets), reducing the fear of crime (e.g. street lighting), promoting health lifestyles (safer pathways for cycling) and facilitating recreational access (e.g. maintaining public rights of way). It is assumed that the needs of vulnerable members of society will continue to be considered; for example, by providing crossing facilities that are accessible for all equality groups. Many of the actions that are likely to form part of the asset management programme have the potential to facilitate benefits to factors that influence health. The health benefits associated with each asset maintenance action could be explicitly identified and taking into account in prioritising the programme. Similar to the proposals to take account of climate change.						have the most direct effects on health by assisting social and economic inclusion and providing equitable access to health, social, education and welfare services		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**+**	**Minor**	**Low**	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance: **Not Significant**			Significance:			Significance: **Not Significant**		
	Comments A regularly, well maintained and efficient network is likely to be safer for all users and modes of transport. Good maintenance should also deter anti-social behaviour and vandalism, as people generally take more pride in areas that are well looked after. However, regular maintenance can potentially cause temporary disruptions to traffic flows but such enhancements, in the long-term will outweigh the short-term negative traffic disruptions.			***Comments***			***Comments*** Smarter Choices and initiatives such as Travelsafe may help reduce the fear of crime on Public Transport.		
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**	**0**			**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**
	Significance: **Significant**			Significance:			Significance: **Significant**		
	Comments A well maintained and enhanced network is likely to lead to increased accessibility to local goods and services, and promote a network that is more efficient on a day to day basis. There is likely to be a wider choice of modes available if all of the infrastructure and vehicles are kept in good			***Comments***			***Comments*** Smarter Choices information targeting is likely to increase access, particularly for those in deprived areas as their choices will be more informed and such information provision will enable them to identify a variety of ways in which to travel to access the services they need.		

LTP3 Goal Five: Ensure the Transport System Supports the Economic Success of the LCR by the Efficient Movement of People and Goods									
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**								
	4. Maintenance			**5. Traffic**			**6. Travelwise**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	condition on a regular basis, which is also likely to improve access to key services and reduce community severance.						Information on Smarter Choices should be targeted towards groups that are less informed and also to all local communities to increase access for all.		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
				Comments The effective targeting of education and information on sustainable vehicle choice is likely to increase the use of more sustainable modes of transport and reduce the need to travel by car. Efforts should be concentrated on areas within Merseyside that currently have high levels of private car use to help influence behaviour and encourage them to adopt a mores sustainable travel pattern by highlighting the benefits associated with mores environmentally friendly modes, such as walking and cycling.			***Comments*** Travelwise initiatives have the potential to discourage the use of less environmentally friendly modes of transport through effective marketing and the promotion of more sustainable modes. Smarter Choices and public transport marketing will help people to make more informed choices and enable them to identify the direct benefits associated with such modes.		
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Moderate**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders considered measures to ensure the transport system takes account of future climatic conditions would produce positive outcomes for climate change adaptation. These actions were considered to have potential major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable improvements in the resilience of the transport network to climate change impacts. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change impacts.			***Comments*** Working with partners to educate and provide information on sustainable vehicle choice and fuel efficient driving techniques are likely to produce measurable reductions in transport emissions. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with reducing greenhouse gas emissions.			***Comments*** The implementation of travel plans, targeted behavioural change programmes and smarter choices were considered by stakeholders as likely to produce measurable reductions in transport emissions. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with reducing greenhouse gas emissions.		
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**0**			**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:			Significance:		

D.10. Goal Six Appraisal

LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard						
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**					
	1. Complete Asset Management Register			**2. Produce effective asset management programme**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
1. To use energy, water and mineral resources prudently and efficiently, increase energy generated from renewable sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:		
2. To minimise the production of waste and increase reuse, recycling and recovery rates	**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:		
3. To reduce poverty and social deprivation and secure economic inclusion	**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:		
4. To protect, enhance and manage Merseyside's rich diversity of cultural, historical and built environment and archaeological assets	**0**			**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
				Comments Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure that the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would produce positive outcomes for cultural heritage. These actions were considered to have potential major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable changes for cultural heritage. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major quality of life effects associated with cultural heritage.		
5. To protect, enhance and manage biodiversity, the viability of endangered species, habitats and sites of geological importance	**0**			**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
				Comments Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would produce positive outcomes for biodiversity. These actions were considered to have potential major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable changes for biodiversity. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with biodiversity.		
6. To protect, enhance and manage the local character and accessibility of the landscape across the sub-region	**0**			**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard						
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**					
	1. Complete Asset Management Register			**2. Produce effective asset management programme**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
				Comments Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would produce positive outcomes for landscape. These actions were considered to potentially result in major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable changes for landscape. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health and quality of life effects associated with landscape.		
7. To protect, improve and where necessary, restore the quality of inland, estuarine and coastal waters	**0**			**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
				Comments Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would produce positive outcomes for water quality. These actions were considered to potentially result in major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable changes for water quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, due to national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with water quality.		
8. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local air quality	**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:		
9. To protect, manage and, where necessary, improve local environmental quality (noise, light nuisance)	**0**			**+**	**Minor**	**Low**
	Significance:			Significance: **Not Significant**		
				Comments The asset management programme includes actions to improving local environmental quality through fixing highway assets, maintaining and improving lighting (which can help reduce the fear of crime), providing safer pathways, highway cleaning regimes and facilitating recreational access (by maintaining public rights of way).		
10. To improve health and reduce health inequalities	**0**			**+**	**Moderte**	**Medium**
	Significance:			Significance: **Significant**		

LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard						
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**					
	1. Complete Asset Management Register			**2. Produce effective asset management programme**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Comments			***Comments*** The asset management programme includes actions to reduce accidents, improving local environmental quality (e.g. fixing highway assets), reducing the fear of crime (e.g. street lighting), promoting health lifestyles (safer pathways for cycling) and facilitating recreational access (e.g. maintaining public rights of way). It is assumed that the needs of vulnerable members of society will continue to be considered; for example, by providing crossing facilities that are accessible for all equality groups. Many of the actions that are likely to form part of the asset management programme have the potential to facilitate benefits to factors that influence health. The health benefits associated with each asset maintenance action could be explicitly identified and taking into account in prioritising the programme. Similar to the proposals to take account of climate change.		
11. To improve safety and reduce crime, disorder and fear of crime	**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:		
12. To improve local accessibility of goods, services and amenities and reduce community severance	**0**			**0**		
	Significance:			Significance:		
13. To reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of more sustainable transport modes	**0**			**+**	**Moderate**	**Medium**
	Significance:			Significance: **Significant**		
				Asset maintenance actions and preservation of Merseytram Line 1 are likely to promote sustainable transport.		
14. To mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change including flood risk	**+**	**Major**	**High**	**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance: **Highly Significant**			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
	Comments Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure the transport system takes account of future climatic conditions would produce positive outcomes for climate change adaptation. These actions were considered to have potential major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable improvements in the resilience of the transport network to climate change impacts.			***Comments*** Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure the transport system takes account of future climatic conditions would produce positive outcomes for climate change adaptation. These actions were considered to have potential major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable improvements in the resilience of the transport network to climate change impacts.		

LTP3 Goal Six: Maintain our Assets to a High Standard						
SA/SEA Objectives	**LTP3 Actions and Interventions**					
	1. Complete Asset Management Register			**2. Produce effective asset management programme**		
	Interaction	*Magnitude*	*Importance*	*Interaction*	*Magnitude*	*Importance*
	Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change impacts.			Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major human health, quality of life and environmental effects associated with climate change impacts.		
15. To protect, manage and restore land, soil quality and geo-diversity	**0**			**+**	**Major**	**High**
	Significance:			Significance: **Highly Significant**		
				Comments Stakeholders considered that measures to ensure the transport system takes account of the impact on the environment would produce positive outcomes for land and soil quality. These actions were considered to have potential major positive outcomes, producing substantial measurable changes for land and soil quality. Stakeholders valued the importance of responding to this issue as high, as there are national statutory requirements and major quality of life and environmental effects associated with land and soil quality.		

Appendix E. SA/SEA Consultation Reponses

Table E.1: SA/SEA Consultation Comments

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
Letter dated 23rd November 2010, from Clare Warburton, Natural England.	
Methodology We welcome and support the efforts made by the Merseyside Transport Partnership in preparing the SA Report and we are pleased to see that our comments made at the SEA Scoping stage have been taken forward (as detailed in Appendix A of the SA Report). We are pleased to see Natural England's comments on issues and opportunities have been incorporated into Table 4.4 and that the SA/SEA objectives have been amended to take account of our comments.	No action required.
The SA Report is well laid out and the Non-Technical Summary provides a clear summary of the findings of the assessment, although we do have some reservations relating to the lack of identification of the significant effects that have been predicted to result from the implementation of the LTP (see more detailed comment on this issue below).	No action required. Significant effects addressed below.
With respect to the assessment of the LTP3 Strategy we note that a very thorough appraisal of the LTP3 goals and major schemes has been undertaken using a workshop format and we welcome this robust approach to identification and prediction of effects. However we are concerned that there is no clarity as to which of the identified effects are considered to be significant. It is a requirement of the SEA Regulations to identify, describe and evaluate the likely significant effects on the environment of implementing the plan and therefore as it stands the SEA does not fully accord with the Regulations.	A column will be added to the Appraisal tables to determine the significance of the effect.
When undertaking the initial appraisal on the LTP3 Strategic options (Section 6) it has clearly been identified which of the effects were considered to be significant using the '+++' and '---' nomenclature. Unfortunately the more detailed appraisal of the LTP3 strategy that followed has not taken forward this approach and it is therefore not clear whether an individual appraisal result (e.g. '-' 'major' 'medium' as identified in relation to Merseytram Line 1 for SA/SEA objective 5) is considered significant or not.	As above.
The need to describe the significant effects identified in the appraisal is recognised in Section 10 of the SA Report, i.e. "Monitoring the significant sustainability effects of implementing the LTP3 is an essential ongoing element of the SA/SEA process." and "… the monitoring proposals outlined in Table 10.1 have been selected from SA/SEA indicators presented in Table 4.6 and focus on significant affects …". However it is not clear from Table 10.1 what significant effects are to be monitored, as the proposed indicators are linked to SA/SEA objectives rather than being associated with identified significant effects.	Monitoring proposals have been reviewed against the actions/interventions that were identified as producing negative significant effects and Table 10.1 has been updated to reflect this.

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
On a point of clarity, the description of the methodology at the start of Section 7 makes reference to the "DfT Sustainability Appraisal methodology" on which the appraisal has been based. We note that the only DfT guidance document included in the References (Section 11) is the 'Draft: Strategic Environmental Assessment for Transport Plans and Programmes – TAG Unit 2.11'. This guidance does not use the methodology that you have adopted and we would therefore welcome clarification as to which DfT methodology is being referred to here.	The methodology adopted is based on the DfT Tag Unit 2.11D Guidance and Merseytravel's methodology, as adopted in the LTP2. Section 7 will be updated to reflect this.
Objectives and Indicators With regard to indicators we would like SA/SEA objective 13 to include a target on the length (km) of new access routes for walkers, cyclists and horseriders that are proposed to be created through the LTP.	An indicator for Objective 13 has been added that includes a target on the length of new access routes for walkers, cyclists and horse riders. .
Appraisal With regard to the findings of the assessment against the biodiversity objective (SA/SEA objective 5) there appears to be some inconsistency when compared to the findings of the HRA, specifically in relation to the LTP's support for the SuperPort under Goal 1. Task 1 of the HRA has concluded that there is the potential for Likely Significant Effects (alone and in-combination) on the Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore proposed SPA and Ramsar; Liverpool Bay SPA; and Mersey Estuary SPA and Ramsar as a result of the LTP's support for the SuperPort project. However the SA/SEA assessment for Goal 1 against the biodiversity objective makes no reference to the potential adverse effects. We would welcome clarity on this issue. There are similar inconsistencies with the Easter Access Transport Corridor which is assessed in the HRA but not in the SEA.	The action to support SuperPort was assessed collectively with the other actions under Goal 1. The Eastern Access Transport Corridor is an action under Goal 5 and has been accessed collectively under the 'Goods' topic. More information on the nature and scale of the EATC is now available and the HRA has been updated to state that there will no likely significant effects.

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
We think that some impacts on biodiversity and landscape have not been included and would suggest modifications to the Appraisal Results. We believe the following actions in the LTP could have a positive and negative effect on biodiversity and landscape. The positives arise mainly from the modal shift that would potentially take cars off the road thereby potentially improving air quality, noise, and visuals impacts and with consequent effects on the natural environment and the landscape. However many of the above have the potential for negative impacts from land take or insensitive maintenance measures. Goal 2: Traffic Biodiversity D Landscape D Public Transport Biodiversity D Freight Biodiversity + Goal 3: Cycling and Walking Biodiversity +/- Landscape +/- Goal 4: Public Transport Biodiversity +/- Landscape +/- Goal 5: Public transport/cycling/Maintenance Biodiversity +/- Landscape +/- Traffic Biodiversity + Landscape +	The modifications suggested by Natural England have been made to the Appraisal results for Goals 2, 3, 4 and 5 with regards to the effects on biodiversity and landscape. However, under Goal 5, we believe that it is unlikely that there will be no negative effects on either landscape or biodiversity as, according to the individual actions of the LTP3 there will be no land-take as they largely refer to the upgrading and maintenance of the infrastructure. We do agree, however that there may be positive, negligible effects on biodiversity and the landscape, as a well maintained transport infrastructure is likely to result in a reduction in carbon emissions due to an efficient transportation network; and improvements in environmental quality.
Goal 6: We would like recognition of the potential negative impacts on biodiversity (see also comments below). We think it is misleading to assess the cumulative effects of all the LTP3 goals on the biodiversity and landscape objectives as neutral, as this does not take into account the assessment of major schemes. It is also difficult to assess whether this is a accurate conclusion given that there has been no assessment of the significance of the impacts.	Goal 6 The actions specified in the LTP3 under Goal 6 largely refer to a review of the existing policy and policy areas. There are no individual actions that refer to infrastructure improvements and therefore it is unlikely that there will be any negative effects on biodiversity and landscape. The assessment has been split into each of the six goals and then was further split into the action/intervention topics. Although each individual action was not assessed, they have each been considered during the assessment. As stated above, a significance column has been added to the assessment tables.
For the major schemes we notice that the SuperPort is not included in this list. We are not sure if this is an LTP project or not. We would also prefer to see acknowledgment that there could be negative biodiversity impacts from the Edge Lane scheme and the Hall Lane Strategic Gateway due to landtake. We would also suggest that the Access to the Port of Liverpool scheme is likely to have negative effects on biodiversity and landscape.	SuperPort is not considered to be a Major Scheme, as it is more of a concept that has locational elements attached to it. The separate elements, for example access to the port of Liverpool and Liverpool John Lennon Airport would be subject to a separate assessment outside of the remit of the LTP. We have reviewed the assessment of the three major schemes (Edge Lane, Hall Lane Strategic Gateway and Access to the Port of Liverpool) and have changed the assessment to 'D – Dependant on Implementation'. A high level review of all three areas revealed that they each have low ecological value as they are all

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
Mitigation and Enhancement	located in built up areas. However, some trees and open space may be lost depending on the nature of the works.
We are pleased to see that the SA Report recommends a wide range of mitigation and enhancement measures and we look forward to these being incorporated into the final LTP3.	
We note that there are no biodiversity or landscape mitigation and enhancement measures proposed for the following goals, and would suggest the following additional measures are included:	All of the suggested additional measures have been included in Section 8.1.
Major schemes:	
Additional measures for the biodiversity and landscape objectives: the need to recognise the importance of protecting and enhancing the natural environment, including biodiversity, landscape, geodiversity and soils, by avoiding, mitigating or compensating for negative impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure, where possible securing environmental gain from all activities affecting the maintenance, operation and improvement of the transport networks.	
Goal 2: Modal Shift	
An additional measure under landscape: public transport should provide options for travel to the natural environment as well as to other facilities and services.	
Goal 3 : Walking and cycling and Goal 5: Cycling	
An additional measure under landscape: cycling and walking networks (including the ROW network) should improve access to the local countryside and greenspaces close to where people live.	
An additional measure under health: recognising the mental and physical health benefits associated with access to the natural environment.	
Goal 4:	
An additional measure under objective 13 'more sustainable modes': recognising the role that walking and cycling (including Rights of Way) can play in accessing employment, education and healthcare.	
Goal 6:	
This goal has the potential to have a negative effect on biodiversity. Making the network more resilient to climate change can, if not done carefully, impact negatively on wildlife. A mitigation measure would be welcome on this.	A mitigation measure on this issue has been added.
Transport networks can also play a role in providing valuable ecosystem services that can actually assist in the management of, and adaptation to climate change. For example, linear transport features (such as canal towpaths, PROW, road verges, cycle routes and railway embankments) are well suited to enhancing wildlife connectivity across our countryside, as well as providing areas for carbon storage, enabling better water conservation, and in towns and cities, providing valuable cooling systems. This is recognised in the recent Lawton review, 'Making Space for Nature'. An enhancement measure recognising this would be welcome.	An enhancement measure on this issue has been added

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
Letter dated 30th November 2010, from Cllr Malcolm Kennedy Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Transport, Liverpool City Council.	
There is still uncertainty regarding the long term future of the RSS. The RSS has been included within the SA/SEA because much of the LTP3 development has been influenced by the policies. Despite the ongoing uncertainty, the document may need to review current policy developments in line with the localism agenda.	Local planning policy has been reviewed in the SA/SEA. Priorities may change as a result of the localism agenda and the LTP3 should be reviewed in light of any changes.
Not all of the major schemes have been referenced in the SA/SEA with North Liverpool and International Gateway the main omissions. Monitoring the significant sustainability effects of implementing the LTP3 is an essential ongoing element of the SA/SEA process. However, despite detailing a comprehensive monitoring programme and identifying potential data sources, it does not say who will be accountable or who will oversee the results.	North Liverpool and International Gateway are not classified as major schemes in the LTP3 and were therefore not assessed. Section 10 of this report has been updated to include information on who is responsible for the monitoring process.
Email dated 16th November 2010, from Sarah Jolly, Climate Change Officer, Merseyside LTP Support Unit.	
Some comments on the Integrated Assessment and a couple of spellings I noticed. How do the proposed SA/SEA monitoring indicators relate to the ones which Motts are looking at for LTP3? There seem to be an awful lot of them as it stands.	The proposed SA/SEA monitoring indicators have been cross checked against the proposed 17 LTP3 monitoring indicators that were discussed in a workshop on Friday 17th December. The list of SA/SEA indicators has been revised and updated to ensure that the relevant indicators were taken forward where significant negative effects were identified for each action/intervention.
Pg. ix, 1st paragraph, lines 1-3: Don't think we can claim that electric charging infrastructure will improve accessibility given the high upfront cost of purchase	Comment addressed. Sentence amended to include '…modal shift and the provision of a charging network for electric and low emission vehicles…'
Pg. ix, 2nd paragraph, line 4: Should read 'examining funding streams for cycle training'	Comment addressed.
Pg. ix, 2nd paragraph, line 15: Missing word - 'what road safety measures are implemented'	Comment addressed
Pg. x, 2nd paragraph, lines 8-9: 'Actions to improve the movement of people and goods are likely to promote the use of more environmentally friendly modes' doesn't sound right, could it be removed or changed to 'Actions to improve the movement of people and goods focus on promoting the use of more environmentally friendly modes'.	Comment addressed
Pg. xi, 3rd paragraph, line 7: Should read 'Therefore, an overall neutral effect…'	Comment addressed

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
Pg. xii, 1st paragraph, lines 1-3: Agree that landtake, habitat loss, waste generation, resource use are likely to happen, but whilst disturbance to heritage assets could happen I wouldn't think that it is likely.	We believe that there is a potential for heritage assets to be disturbed as the provision of new transport infrastructure could (depending upon implementation) impact the setting of heritage assets or disturb undiscovered archaeological remains. Therefore, based on this reasoned justification this particular comment has not been addressed.
Pg 17, 4th paragraph, line 1: Should read 'reducing the need to travel and encouraging accessible public transport.'	Comment addressed.
Pg 22, 1st row, 3rd column: Could we alter the 2nd point to read 'Increase electric charging point network and infrastructure for low emission vehicles and fuels'	Comment addressed.
Pg 23, 4th row, 3rd column: Might be worth including something about freight here as it is a significant contributor to air quality problems in certain areas	Comment addressed. The following, in relation to freight has been included: Opportunities to reduce freight movements; Encourage alternative fuels and modes; and Encourage strategic freight networks.
Pg 25, 2nd row, 3rd column: As above, can we alter the point about electric vehicles to include other low emission vehicles and fuels? Nothing about climate change adaptation currently.	Comment addressed. Climate Change Adaptation is already covered in the same section: *'Making use of green infrastructure associated with transport networks for climate change adaptation e.g. carbon storage, sustainable drainage, energy generation and water conservation'.*
Pg 40, 2nd paragraph, line 1: The sub-topic is focussed on delivering infrastructure for low emission vehicles and fuels, not just electric vehicles. Can we make sure that the IA refers to both not just electric vehicles?	Comment addressed.
Pg 40, 2nd paragraph, lines 6-7: As above, I don't think that electric cars would have a positive effect on accessibility.	Comment addressed.
Pg 46, 3rd paragraph, line 6: Table 7.5 shows that the Travelwise actions are likely to have positive effects on a number of SEA objectives but only air quality is picked out in this sentence – reduced reliance on motorised transport would have a positive impact on resource use, sustainable transport and climate change also.	This summarised section has been updated to include the comment about resource use, sustainable transport and climate change.
Pg 58, Table 8.1: Not clear on what is meant by 'in the short-term the LTP3 should highlight the impacts of not encouraging the development of infrastructure for electric vehicles' – perhaps the sentence could be clarified. Also think that the point should be under Goal 2 rather than Goal 1.	Sentence deleted as the LTP3 already addresses the benefits of low emission and electric vehicles.

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
Pg 58, table 8.2: A lot of these points don't seem to relate to the actions under the goal; I've identified a few below but there are quite a lot: Row 1. Traffic 'ensure smart ticketing does not inadvertently discriminate against people from deprived backgrounds…' shouldn't be in the traffic section, more applicable to row 3. Public transport. 'cycling and walking to help ensure potential safety blackspots are addressed' - move to row 2. Modal shift. 'cost of using public transport can be a barrier to those on lower incomes' move to row 3. Public transport. Row. 2. Modal Shift 'SUDS and other measures may act as mitigation measures' – move to row 7. Network maintenance and management. As above, the references to electric vehicles need changing to include other low emission vehicles and fuels.	All points have been moved to the most appropriate actions, as mentioned,
I would also say that some of the points are reiterations of the actions proposed within LTP3 rather than enhancements or mitigations, and needs some more work e.g. Row 3. Public Transport 'procurement of low emission buses, decarbonisation of the rail network'. There's also some evidence of this in other tables e.g. Table 8.3 Row 2. Road Safety 'consider low speed zones'.	The mitigation and enhancement measures have been cross0checked against the actions in the LTP3 and updated where appropriate to avoid duplication.
Pg 64, 3rd bullet point As above, this point could be clearer – it also needs clarification that the actions in LTP3 refers to electric and low emission vehicles not just electric vehicles.	All points that reference electric vehicles have been updated to consider low emission vehicles also.
Pg 67, Table 10.1 Row 1, could we include reduced GHG emissions from transport as an indicator as we already have the information through the Merseyside Atmospheric Emissions Inventory?	Indicator added.
Email dated 22nd November 2010, from Judith Nelson, English Heritage. Thank you for your email sent on the 1st November 2010 consulting English Heritage on the above report. EH has produced guidance on SEA/SA and the historic environment see http://www.helm.org.uk/upload/pdf/Strat-env-ass.pdf?1290424305 This guidance includes a list of relevant plans and programmes and critically for Merseyside the UNESCO World Heritage Convention is missing as is the Liverpool WHS management plan and SPD.	Appendix B of this report has been updated to include a review of the UNESCO World Heritage Convention is missing as is the Liverpool WHS management plan and SPD.
The appraisal includes objectives relating to the historic environment and local character (4&6) but goes on to find that the "effect depends on implementation". (table 6.1). The report could have helpfully drawn out or given examples of how interventions could be implemented in ways which avoided or minimised and mitigated harmful impacts and maximised opportunities for enhancing the historic environment, i.e. building on table 4.4.	Section 8 of this report details specific examples of mitigation and enhancement measures that relate to the historic environment.

Consultation Comments Received	Mott MacDonald response
The appraisal results in section 7.2 highlight some potential negative impacts for heritage assets but the reasons why are not explained in the commentary. For example why should better walking and cycling routes harm heritage assets. Making sympathetic improvements to the public realm, maintaining and decluttering streetscapes can both enhance the historic environment and make places more pleasant to walk in. If there are harmful impacts what can be done about them.	The effects on Heritage Assets are also scheme dependent as some routes may aid accessibility to a cultural heritage site. The use of old railway lines as an example, may aid cultural and historical interpretation of the route with the provision of information about the route etc, and access to railway structures.
The report highlights potential negative impacts on the Historic environment from the proposed Merseytram lines. It will be important that early consultation is had with both English Heritage and Liverpool/Merseyside conservation staff about this matter. The appraisal report could highlight this for inclusion in the LTP and the need for development and proposals to safeguard the significance of heritage assets and their setting.	A mitigation and enhancement table has been included in Section 8 for the Major Schemes and this comment has been highlighted in the table as a potential mitigation measure to safeguard the significance of heritage assets and their setting.